ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

TÁLUKDÁRI SETTLEMENT OFFICER

FOR THE YEAR 1890-91.

J. J. K. 51

Bombay:
PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS.

1891.

Digitized with financial assistance from

Government of Maharashtra

on 01 February, 2020

ADMINISTRATION REPORT, 1890-91.

No. 748 OF 1891.

FROM

H. O. QUIN, ESQUIRE,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer;

To

J. K. SPENCE, ESQUIRE,
Commissioner, N. D.

Ahmedabad, $\frac{8}{11}$th August 1891.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the following report on the administration of the Tálukdári Settlement Department for the year 1890-91.

2. From the 1st August to the 11th October 1890 the office was in my charge in addition to my duties as Assistant Collector of Ahmedabad, and I held it again under the same circumstances from the 12th October till the 3rd of November, Mr. Younghusband taking charge for one day only and then proceeding on privilege leave. On the 3rd November I was relieved by Mr. A. F. Maconochie, who remained in charge till the 12th December, when he was in turn relieved by me. Since then I have retained the office, and it thus appears that practically it has been in my charge for almost the whole of the year under report. Up till the 6th February 1891 the duties of the First Assistant Collector, Ahmedabad, were performed by me in addition to my work as Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

3. Owing to the changes above alluded to in the *personnel* of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer the tour was considerably shortened and it was not till the middle of January that I was able to commence visiting my Tálukdári charge. I have, however, been able to travel through a great portion of the Tálukdári estates in the Dholka, Sánand, and Dhandhuka Tálukas of the Ahmedabad district, and have visited most of the principal ones in the Mátar, Nadiád, Mehmadabad, Ánand and Borsad Tálukas of Kaira. My camp was in the Gogha Táluka of Ahmedabad also for some time. The intended visit to Broach has had again to be postponed, but it is hoped that it may be undertaken next year. From the 7th to 12th May I was encamped at Ijpura, a village in the Mahi Kántha Agency, in connection with some special duty relating to compensation for lands belonging to Chiefs taken up for the Viramgám-Mehsána Railway. My report on this subject has been submitted to Government through the Political Agent of Mahi Kántha.

4. The following table shows, at a glance, the total number and revenue of estates of all descriptions under management and of the Tálukdári villages in Ahmedabad under revenue charge in the year under report and the preceding year. The different classes of estates shown in the table are noticed separately below:—

	Number of Estates.		Aggregate Revenue for collection.		Amount realized by sale under decrees.	
	1889-90.	1890-91.	1889-90.	1890-91.	1889-90.	1890-91
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ahmedabad Talukdars under Act VI of 1862—Appendix I	38	62	77,879	65,640	..	..
Ahmedabad Talukdars removed from Act VI of 1862 but continued under management—Appendix Ia.	8	8				
Kaira Thákors under Act XXI of 1881—Appendix II	50	49	90,512	91,420	..	..
Broach Thakors under Act XXI of 1881—Appendix III	7	7	59,357	58,726	..	..
Minors' Estates under Act XX of 1864—Appendix V	31	45	56,536	62,781	..	..
Miscellaneous Estates managed by agreements of owners and under Act VI of 1888—Appendix VI	8	15	27,142	1,80,746	..	..
Estates managed under attachment for default, &c., section 144 Revenue Code—Appendix VIII	11	12	98,611	79,151	..	..
Estates—						
(a) Managed under section 320 of the Civil Procedure Code—Appendix IX, Part I	136	176	48,631	41,278	..	..
(b) Under process of sale—Appendix I, Part II	61	110	..	..	..	3,870
Estates managed under section 504 of the Civil Procedure Code—Appendix X	6	7	7,389	5,398	..	..
Total	356	491	4,66,027	5,85,140	..	3,870
Talukdári villages under Revenue charge	356	356	3,71,508	3,85,628	..	..

ENCUMBERED ESTATES IN AHMEDABAD.

5. The number of estates under management under Act VI of 1862 at the beginning of the year under report was given in last year's report as 38. This figure, however, was not correct, as the estates noted in the margin were each treated as one instead of being shown as consisting of more than one. Also the estates of Rámsur Hardás and Dáda Rájshi being joined during 1889-90 by the death of the first-named, the number of estates at the end of that year should have been given as 37. Thus the number under management at the commencement of 1890-91 was 62. They are shown in Appendix No. 1. Section 34, Act VI of 1888, provides that Act VI of 1862 shall not be applied to any estate after six months from the coming into force of the Tálukdárs' Act VI of 1888. That period expired in September 1889, and hence no new estate has been brought under management under Act VI of 1862 during the year under report. The number of estates removed during the year from management under the provisions of that Act is two. The number under management at the end of the year under report was therefore 60.

Rudatal ...	...	4
Sinaj and Kánpura	...	5
Aniáli Kasbáti ...	...	15
Jhánjharka ...	...	5

6. The claims against the estates of Aniáli, Jhánjharka and Sinaj, alluded to in the 7th paragraph of last year's report, have been enquired into and awards made in connection with them. The settlement schemes for those estates as well as those for Rudátal and Dábhsar have been sanctioned by Government during the year under report.

7. The two estates removed from management are those of Meghábhai Rupábhai of Aniáli and Bái Devba and Bái Shábba of Jhánjharka. Both are now free from encumbrance and are in a satisfactory condition.

8. The number of estates removed from the operation of the Act but remaining under management on account of indebtedness to Government was at the beginning of the year 8. During the year the estate of Anandpura in Viramgám has paid off its debt to Government and it has been released from management. Seven estates are therefore still kept under management, and the balance of their debt to Government is Rs. 9,626 as shown in Appendix IA.

9. Of the estates at present under management as per Appendices I and IA,—

30 are managed by this office directly.

37 are managed by the Tálukdárs under the supervision of this office.

3 are managed by creditors under special arrangements.

10. The advances to the amount of Rs. 49,774, sanctioned in the Resolutions noted in the margin, have been taken from the Government Treasury and paid to the creditors of the estates of Rudátal in Viramgám and Jhánjharka and Bela in Dhandhuka.

Government Resolution	No. 7145 of 8th October 1890.
Do.	No. 8917 of 13th December 1890.
Do.	No. 9153 of 22nd do.

11. The financial position of all the estates is shown in Appendices I and IA. An abstract of these statements is contained in Appendix IV, from which it will be seen that Rs. 13,259 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 10,085 to Government and that a sum of Rs. 3,23,981 remains to be paid, of which Rs. 1,78,176 are due to private creditors and Rs. 1,45,805 to Government.

12. The payments made on account of debt settlement during the year under report, and those made during the previous year, are shown together for comparison in the following table :—

	1889-90.	1890-91.
	Rs.	Rs.
Total debt outstanding at commencement of the year	1,67,461	1,47,196*
Added during the year	...	1,94,089
	1,67,461	3,41,285
Paid during the year—		
To reduction of debt	20,554	17,304
To interest on loans	5,295	6,040
	25,849	23,344
Balance ...	1,46,907	3,23,981

*The difference in this figure and the balance shewn as outstanding at the end of the year 1889-90 is on account of the corrections made by the Accountant General in the figures for that year.

13. The average payment for the year under report is 6·8 per cent. and the reduction of debt is 5 per cent. The unfavourable appearance of these figures when compared with those of last year is due to two causes. First, the badness of the season decreased considerably the amount of surplus receipts to be devoted to the reduction of debt, and secondly, the total amount due was more than doubled during the year under report. The debts of the estates the settlement of claims against which were sanctioned during 1890-91 amounted to Rs. 1,93,836. The details are given in the margin. The difference between this total and the amount shewn in the table above is due to a sum of Rs. 253, which was wrongly shewn in the report of 1888-89 as having been paid by the Bela estate of Jethábhai Wastábhai, when in reality it had not been paid.

	Rs.
Jhánjharka	33,597
Rudátal	32,865
Aniali and Bubáwáv ...	46,448
Sináj	40,646
Jethipura	7,149
Dábhsar	23,626
Khámbha	9,505
	1,93,836

14. At the end of last year 23 of the estates under management were indebted to Government. During the year under report two estates of Rudátal, four of Jhánjharka and two of Bela have received advances from the Government Treasury. One estate—that of Anandpura—has paid off its debt. The number of estates indebted to Government at present is therefore 30. The total amount due from them to Government is Rs. 1,45,805. Seven of these estates as mentioned in paragraph 8 above are no longer under the operation of Act VI of 1862. The remaining 23 are managed under that Act. In the cases of those not under the Act, mortgage bonds have been taken from the holders as security for the debt still due to Government.

15. With the exception of the village of Návda in the Dhandhuka Táluka, the estate of Latifkhan Sardarmahomed is in a satisfactory condition, and it is hoped that in the course of two years it will be free from debt. Should the Bhal drainage project to which I refer below be sanctioned and carried out, the condition of Navda will be much improved. The suit connected with this village to which allusion was made in the 84th paragraph of my last year's report has been decided. The result of the decision is to leave matters as they are, and to prevent any further damage being done by the Limbdi Darbár.

16. Want of rain told rather severely on the Makhiáv estate. The chief crops failed, and only Rs. 1,600 were paid in liquidation of the debt. To the same cause is due the fact that most of the estates in Sánand and Dhandhuka have not done well during the year under report.

17. It was stated last year in my 30th paragraph that the surplus income of the Bela estate was lying in deposit on account of a dispute between the creditors. During the year under report the dispute having been settled, the money has been paid in liquidation of the debts of the estate.

18. The estate of Khambha in Gogha has this year been given in farm to one Jiwabhai Mánábhai of Bhávnagar. The result of this measure is satisfac-

tory, as notwithstanding the bad season, a sum of Rs. 990 has been paid towards the liquidation of the estate's debt. The advance sanctioned for this estate has not yet been drawn.

19. A portion of the debt of Virábhai Sumrabhai of Awánia has been satisfied from the surplus income of his estate and the remainder will be paid off by an advance which is now to be applied for.

20. The creditors of the estates of Rudatal, Sinaj, Jethipura and Dabhsar are so numerous that I have not yet had time to have worked out the proportional distribution of the profits of these estates. The amounts to be divided, and the number of creditors entitled to share in them are as follows :—

	Rs.	Creditors.
Rudátal	2,000	113
Jethipura	800	26
Dábhsar	2,200	65
Sinaj	6,500	105

This matter will be disposed of at an early date. These estates are progressing fairly, as are also those of Umáji Jodháji and Hazurji Godadji of Rudátal, which received an advance from the Government Treasury.

Remarks on other estates in the Ahmedabad District.

21. One of the most important events of the year, connected with the working of this Department, was the attachment of the estate of the Thákore of Sánand and Koth under section 26, Act VI of 1888. This estate, which is the largest in the Ahmedabad District, consists of 23 villages and 26 wantas and has a revenue of over Rs. 1,25,000. It had for some years been managed by Bái Rupáliba, widow of the late and mother of the present Thákore, who attained his majority on the 15th January last. More than a year previously however Mr. James, as Collector of Ahmedabad, had been in communication with the young Thákore and his mother, and it had come to his knowledge that the boy who had quarrelled with and left his mother had got into bad company and become addicted to evil habits. One result of the position taken up by the young Thakore under the advice of those whom he had around him was that after giving a written promise to go on a tour with a tutor, an arrangement which was approved by the Collector and the District Judge and was anxiously desired by his mother, he declined to fulfil his undertaking and although an engagement had been made with a gentleman to accompany him, he insisted on remaining in Bombay, thus defying alike the commands of his lawful guardian and the authority of the District Court. Taking into consideration all the circumstances of the case, and feeling that a youth who could thus set at defiance the orders which it was his duty to obey, who took the advice of so-called friends who abetted him in his ill behaviour, and who gave himself up to vicious habits, was not a fit person to be entrusted with the welfare of a táluka such as that of Sánand and Koth, Mr. James applied to Government to attach the estate under section 26, Act VI of 1888, and to have it managed by the Collector or an Agent appointed by him. The orders asked for by Mr. James were issued in Government Resolution No. 9205, of 23rd December 1890, and on the day on which the young Thákore attained his majority his estate was attached and it has since been managed by me. The young Thákore protested against the action taken by Government and submitted a memorial addressed to His Excellency in Council, which has just been disposed of by a Resolution of Government declining to reconsider the orders already passed. Although the income of the estate is large and its owner has for some 19 years been a minor, I am afraid it is involved in debt to a very considerable extent, a circumstance which is not creditable to the administrative powers of the Thákore's mother and her advisers. Under section 29 of the Tálukdárs' Act, 1888, the powers of a manager are limited to dealing with the management of the landed estate and do not extend to interference in the manner of disposing of the surplus revenues. The profits have to be handed over to the Thakore, who is at liberty to squander them as he chooses. This year, owing partly to the bad season and partly to the fact that before attachment a considerable sum due for the current year's rents was collected by the Thakor's mother, there are not any large profits to deal with. The gross income of the estate

realized during the year under report was Rs. 1,26,919, of which Rs. 15,371 were collected and expended by Bái Rupáliba before the attachment. The expenditure since the 15th of January 1891 amounted to Rs. 1,04,117. The cash balance in hand at the end of the year under report was therefore Rs. 22,802. The ordinary charges against the estate amount to about Rs. 88,000 per annum and the gross income may be estimated at Rs. 1,27,000. The balance available for liquidation of debts, &c., will, therefore, be about Rs. 39,000 per annum. With the consent or connivance of Bái Rupáliba decrees for Rs. 70,000 were obtained against the estate a few days after it was attached. These decrees have since been reversed by the High Court, on the ground that the minor Thákore had not been represented by a guardian *ad litem*. A suitable allowance has been fixed for the Thákore and is paid to him monthly. He is a man of but little education and a weak mind, and it is to be feared that he will always be in the hands of some designing adherent. At the same time I do not think he is in himself either wicked or unscrupulous, and I have no doubt that the personal influence of the Tálukdari Settlement Officer may from time to time be brought to bear on him for his good. He is very much dissatisfied with the result of the administration of the estate during his minority, but I hope that litigation between mother and son may be averted. Mr. Hathising Raechand, who for more than twenty years was Head Clerk in this office, has been appointed Deputy Manager of the estate, and under his immediate supervision very good results will, I think, be attained. Steps are being taken, in the direction suggested by Mr. James in his review of my last year's report, to induce cultivators on the Sanand estate to grow dry crops in the old rice lands which have not for some years received sufficient rain to produce a good outturn.

22. There is nothing of special importance to remark in connection with the Gangad Estate. The litigation in which this unfortunate property is involved continues to drag its slow length along, but during the year no definitive decisions have been given, and we are apparently no nearer a declaration as to who is the rightful heir to the estate. In the meantime owing to bad seasons, the paying off of the debts is not progressing satisfactorily and the creditors of the secured debt complain periodically that their instalments are not sufficiently large. The interest on this debt is unnecessarily high, and I should like to see my way to paying it off by a loan bearing a lower rate, but the question is a very complicated one and I fear there are difficulties in the way. As things are at present the estate will not be freed from debt for at least 16 or 17 years. In May last Bái Bákuwarba, widow of the late Thákore Gagubha Abhesing and mother of late Thákore Nánbha Gagubha, died at Gangad, after being for some years in a very bad state of health. By her death the lands constituting her *jivai* revert to the estate and will add some Rs. 3,000 to its income. Some of this may, however, have to be given up as maintenance to the other widows of the family.

23. During the year under report I have commenced the measuring into reasonably small numbers of the land in certain villages of the estate, which has hitherto been divided into large portions only by the *Gol Mápni* Survey. When this work has been completed the Tálukdári Settlement Officer will be in a position to substitute throughout the Gangad Estate the *Bigoti* system for that of *Bhagbattai* which now prevails and to the disadvantages attendant on which have been due much of the trouble given in the management of this property. It is hoped that the introduction of the *Bigoti* system will lead to the cultivation of large areas now lying waste. Should the Bagodra Drainage scheme (included in the Bhal project) which has lately been submitted to Government be sanctioned certain villages of the Gangad Estate will after a few years be very much improved and the income of the estate increased.

24. The enquiry into the unsecured debts of the Gangad Estate, which is mentioned in the 9th paragraph of the Commissioner N. D.'s review of last year's report, was entrusted to me by the Collector of Ahmedabad. I regret to have to report that the enquiry has come to nothing. All the debts have been decreed by a Civil Court and it is not possible now to obtain such information as would enable us to apply for a review of judgment. I have gone very carefully into the matter and my views have been laid before the Commissioner, N. D., whose further instructions are now awaited.

25. During the year under report Rs. 10,000 were paid towards the satisfaction of the secured debts of the Gangad Estate. The unsecured creditors received a sum of Rs. 20,000, of which Rs. 9,000 had been paid into Court last year, but had not been distributed pending the result of a reference made by the Subordinate Judge to the High Court. This reference related to the powers of the Collector when executing a Court decree, and the High Court declined to decide it. The Honourable Chief Justice, however, remarked in the course of the hearing that neither the decree-holders nor the Court have any power to interfere with the action of the Collector in the execution of a decree which has been transferred to him. This is the view held by the Legal Remembrancer also.

26. During the months of March and April last the Commission appointed under Government Resolution No. 5436, dated 2nd September 1890, to enquire into the Sial-Bávli boundary dispute to which the Gangad Estate is a party, sat at Junágad in Káthiáwár. Gangad was represented by a Vakil, and no efforts were spared to put forward the case of the estate as strongly as possible. The decision of the Committee has not yet been made known. No reply has as yet been received to the appeal preferred on behalf of the Gangad Estate to the Government of India against the orders of the Government of Bombay in the matter of this dispute.

27. A suit has recently been filed against the Collector as Administrator of the Gangad Estate by Bái Májirájba, widow of the late Thákore Gagubha, to recover sums levied as jama on her *jivai* lands. This course was newly adopted in 1889 on account of the very involved condition of the estate, which renders necessary every effort that can be made to free it. As the estate is under attachment for the security of Government revenue the levy of jama from all lands comprised in it appears to be legal. The question of defending the suit has been referred to Government for orders.

28. I am not in a position to give any definite information about the condition of the Gamph Estate, as it is not in any way under my charge. During my tour I had an interview with the young Thákor, who only last year succeeded to the *gádi*, and gave him some advice regarding the completion of his education. As far as possible a watch will be kept over the administration of this very important estate.

29. Government were pleased in their Resolution No. 5063, dated 19th July 1890, to grant the application of Badi Bibi of Dholka for assistance in repairing her ancestral house. The necessary repairs are being executed, and the work will shortly be completed.

30. The lease for 11 khálsa villages of the Dholka Táluka alluded to in paragraph 35 of last year's report has not yet been given to Bapumia Shermia. As the lease which has now expired was executed by the Collector, I have asked that the same course may be pursued in regard to the new lease. I had to point out some omissions in the draft which was referred to me in November last. It has now been returned to me by the Collector in order that I may have it executed by the lessee.

31. The Mul Gametis of the village of Khámbhda in Dhandhuka have applied to have their village restored to them by the Limbdi Darbár, who, as they allege, are in possession as mortgagees only. The Mul Gametis have produced certain documents which they allege to be genuine, and I have reported on the matter to you with a view to its being laid before the Legal Remembrancer for his opinion.

Season.

32. The season of 1890-91 in the Ahmedabad District was a bad one, more especially in the táluka of Dhandhuka, where the crops failed almost entirely, and as a consequence the realization of a portion of the jama has had to be postponed. The rice crop in Sánand suffered severely from insufficiency of rain, and the ravi crop was injured by frost. In Viramgám the cotton crop was fair, being about 12 annas in the rupee, but its value was diminished by the fall in price. The Gogha crops were from 6 to 12 annas in the rupee. In the month of June locusts appeared in many parts of the district, but caused little damage as there were then no crops for them to destroy.

Encumbered Estates in Kaira, Act XXI of 1881.

33. The number of estates managed under the Act at the beginning of the year under report was 49. During the year the six estates noted in the margin have been removed from under the operation of the Act. There are, therefore, 43 now under management. The six estates which have been released are now free from any encumbrances. The Thákore of Kherda was enabled to pay off his debt by the generosity of a relative, who presented him with a sum of money for the purpose.

Káshamali Amumia of Páli.
Meghábhái Kaslábhái and others of Wárshang.
Mádhavsing Jesingji of Kherda.
Pathibhái Sardársing of Khodwád.
Himatsing Nársingji of Ahima.
Khumánsing Bahdarsing, &c., of Sálol.

34. No advance was taken from the Government Treasury during the year under report.

35. The financial position of each of the 49 estates is shown in Appendix II. An abstract of this statement is contained in Appendix IV, from which it will be seen that Rs. 889 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 31,759 to Government, and that a total sum of Rs. 1,99,483 remains to be paid of which Rs. 6,679 are due to private creditors and Rs. 1,92,804 to Government.

36. The payments made on account of debt settlement during the year under report, and those made in 1889-90, are shown in the following table :—

	1889-90.	1890-91.
	Rs.	Rs.
Total debt outstanding at commencement of the year ...	2,43,876	*2,21,880
Added during the year	.....	.....
	2,43,876	2,21,880
Paid during the year—		
To reduction of debt	22,007	22,397
To interest on loans	11,392	10,351
	33,399	32,748
Balance ...	2,21,869	1,99,483

* The difference between this figure and the balance shown as outstanding at the end of last year is due to the corrections made by the Accountant General.

37. The average payment for the year under report is 14·75 per cent and the reduction of debt is 10 per cent. These figures compare favourably with the similar ones for last year. The sum of money available for payment of debts was somewhat less than in 1889-90, but as the amount due becomes less each year the percentages shown ought to increase annually.

38. The appeals filed by the Pátidárs of Dabhán Wánto, to which allusion was made in the 52d paragraph of last year's report, have not yet been decided. They have been transferred to the High Court, where they are now pending.

Season.

39. The season in Kaira was on the whole a good one, though in some tálukás the crops suffered from the scanty rainfall.

Encumbered Estates in Broach, Act XXI of 1881.

40. The number of estates under management under the Act is the same as last year, viz. 7. The financial position of each of them is shown in Appendix III. An abstract of this statement is contained in Appendix IV, from which it will be seen that Rs. 3,037 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 13,050 to Government, and that a total sum of Rs. 74,877 remains to be paid, of which Rs. 34,150 are due to private creditors and Rs. 40,727 to Government.

41. The payments made on account of debt settlement during the year compare with those of the previous year as follows :—

	1889-90.	1890-91.
	Rs.	Rs.
Total debt outstanding at commencement of the year ...	1,04,854	88,525
Added during the year	...	...
	1,04,854	88,525
Paid during the year—		
To reduction of debt	16,329	13,648
To interest on loans	3,082	2,439
	19,411	16,087
Balance ...	88,525*	74,877

42. It will be seen that the average percentage of payment is 18·2, and the reduction of debt is 15·4 per cent. These figures are only slightly higher than the similar percentages of last year, but as the amount available for reduction of debt was somewhat less, the result may be regarded as satisfactory.

43. All the estates in Broach are making fair progress towards the liquidation of their debts.

44. I regret to have to report that the Thakore of Sárod and his eldest son are not on good terms with each other, and I have found it necessary to settle a separate allowance for the son.

45. A considerable extent of land, adjacent to that owned by the Thakore of Janiadra, has recently at his own desire been bought for his estate, and I trust that the transaction will prove remunerative. To make this purchase some money, about Rs. 1,500, had to be borrowed, and as a condition of my sanction to the Thakore's obtaining a loan, the estate is to remain under my management for a year longer. Otherwise as his debt to Government would have been all paid off this year, the estate would have been released from attachment. I am making enquiries as to the possibility of draining a portion of this estate which, for years, has been water-logged to such an extent that it has been thrown out of cultivation, and should my endeavours prove successful, there will be a considerable increase in the Thakore's income.

46. The suit alluded to in paragraph 60 of last year's report was tried on remand by the Assistant Judge at Broach, and judgment was again given in favour of the defendant. Against the decision the plaintiff has once more filed an appeal in the High Court, and this is now pending.

SEASON.

47. The season in Broach was not a good one. The outturn of crops nowhere exceeded 12 annas, and in the Broach and Amod Tálukas it was very poor.

MINORS.

48. The estates of 42 minors were under the management of this office under Act XX of 1864 at the beginning of the year under report, and 4 were added during the year. One estate was released from management. The total at its close was therefore 45. Of these estates 41 are in Ahmedabad, 2 in Kaira and 3 in Broach.

49. The minor who attained his majority during the year was Subámia Bápusáheb of Ránpur, whose circumstances were alluded to in para. 69 of the report for 1889-90. As stated therein the estate has been restored to its owner free of incumbrances.

50. The minors whose estates have come under management during the year are those whose names are given in the margin. The Nadiád estate is comprised of lands in twenty villages of the Nadiád, Ánand and Mátar Tálukas of the Kaira District, and its income is about Rs. 4,500

Khánji Karansing. Nádháji Karansing.	Aghár in Viramgám.
Kishordas Wághjibhai. Kalidas Wághjibhai.	Nadiád.

per annum. It has no encumbrances. Neither of the estates of the other two minors is of any importance.

51. The estates of the minor Thakores of Mátar and Jhanor in the Broach District are both in a very satisfactory condition. The sum of Rs. 8,716 has been paid to the Jhanor estate as arrears of allowance by the Baroda Darbar. After some correspondence between the Collector of Broach, the Commissioner N. D. and Government, it has been decided that no further action should be taken in regard to certain income which was said to be due to the Jhanor estate from the village of Indi in Rájpur territory. The appeal relating to the genuineness of the Mátar minor, alluded to in paragraph 65 of last year's report, is still pending.

52. The application of the Jhanor minor's grandmother to be allowed to bring a suit *in forma pauperis* against the Collector of Broach as administrator of the estate, for a sum which she claims as maintenance, has been rejected. During the year under report she applied to the Collector for payment of the arrears of the allowance which she was granted for the keep of the minor Thakore. This allowance was fixed at Rs. 85 per mensem, and was paid her till the commencement of the litigation concerning the genuineness of the child. It was then stopped and was not resumed until after the suit was decided. She has claimed the allowance at the rate of Rs. 85 per mensem for the period during which it was stopped. Mr. Allen, on the grounds that, while his claim to the estate was doubtful, the young Thakore would not have been supported in the same style as if his right were acknowledged, has allowed the payment of arrears at the rate of Rs. 10 per mensem only.

53. Of the minors' estates in Ahmedabad, 11 are unencumbered. During the year under report the estate of the Thakore of Rojka has been freed from debt, and it is now in a prosperous condition.

54. Appendix V shows that, putting together all the minors' estates under the charge of this office, the total realizations including the previous year's balance, amounted to Rs. 2,18,245, of which Rs. 55,003 were expended in Government jama and the maintenance and other charges, and Rs. 1,63,242 were partly devoted to the payment of debts and partly carried over as savings. The total amount invested in Government Securities is Rs. 90,745, and in landed property Rs. 20,000.

Education of Minors.

55. The young Thákore of Utelia still continues to attend the Rájkumár College and to make satisfactory progress in his studies. Eleven boys attend the Tálukdári Girássia School, Wadhwan, of whom 4 are from Ahmedabad, 3 from Kaira and 4 from Broach. Two new boys, the Thákores of Matar in Broach and Wanthal in Ahmedabad, have been sent to the school during the year under report. With the exception of the Thákore of Jhanor, who is said to be idle, all the minors are favourably reported on by the Head Master. During the year Himatsing Mobatsing of Bhankoda and Jitsingji Somsingji of Janiadra have left the school, being too old to continue their attendance there.

56. As appears from Appendix V most of the minors under the control of this office are being educated either at school or by private tuition. The condition of their estates in the great majority of cases will not allow of their being sent to such an institution as the Tálukdári Girássia School at Wadhwán. Arrangements for sending to school those few minors who are not now being educated will when possible be made during the current year.

57. The only minor the guardianship of whom has given me any trouble during the year under report is Fulbha Raesingji of Makhiav. He is a young boy whose mother is dead and who is at the Tálukdári Girássia School with his elder step-brother. The two boys have partisans in their village who have always done their best to set the brothers against each other and to make the younger one believe that his life is in danger. I have had to remove from attendance on Fulbha, an old servant whose influence over him was far from good, and to make special arrangements for his holidays. I trust that in time the two boys may be brought together more closely and that they will then lose that distrust of each other which has hitherto been fostered by their respective servants.

Tálukdárs' Jubilee Memorial Fund.

58. The amount in hand of the Tálukdárs' Jubilee Fund is Rs. 22,156-7-5 of which Rs. 18,500 are in fixed deposit for a year in the Bank of Bombay at 3 per cent. interest and Rs. 3,656-7-5 are in the Post Office Savings Bank at Ahmedabad. Of the Rs. 3,700 which at the end of last year remained to be collected no portion has yet been realized. Steps will be taken to collect this sum when the object of the expenditure of the Fund has been definitely settled.

59. I regret to have to report that no decision has as yet been come to in regard to the shape to be taken by the Jubilee Memorial. At the end of last rains a meeting of the subscribers was called to discuss Mr. Younghusband's proposal, the object of which as I stated in last year's report is to draw closer the connection between the Tálukdárs of Gujarát and the Tálukdári Girássiá School at Wadhwán. This proposal had the support of Mr. James, the then Commissioner, N. D., and Mr. Reid the then Collector of Ahmedabad, and both were good enough to be present at the meeting, Mr. James being in the chair. Five or six only of the subscribers attended and all of them stated that they were not in favour of Mr. Younghusband's scheme. They object to their money being spent in Káthiáwár, and they do not, in reality, approve of the Tálukdári Girássia School, although the excellence of that institution is beyond doubt. In view of the opposition raised by the subscribers, the views of the minority present at the meeting being I believe representative of those of the absent majority, the scheme could not of course be pressed and a sub-committee with myself as chairman was appointed to consider the whole question anew and to formulate proposals for the disposal of the Fund. The great desire of the subscribers is to establish a Tálukdári School in Ahmedabad. This cannot be done without a large addition to the amount of money now at our disposal. The sub-committee, therefore, made an attempt to induce those Tálukdárs who had not already done so to subscribe to the memorial. This attempt has been entirely unsuccessful. Further, it has been ascertained that the number of children who would be sent to such a school would be entirely inadequate. Another scheme which has been proposed by the Thákore of Amod is now under consideration by the sub-committee. This is to rent a large house in Ahmedabad, to be used partly as a place where Tálukdárs visiting Ahmedabad can put up for a few days and partly as residential quarters for the sons of Tálukdárs who will attend the various schools in the city. A building which I think is suitable has been found, and the scheme when fully worked out will be laid before a general meeting of the subscribers.

Miscellaneous Estates.

60. There are 13 estates under this head. Of these 6 are in Ahmedabad, 4 in Kaira and 3 in Broach. The reasons for their being under the management of this office are shown in detail in Appendix VI.

61. As remarked last year the estate of Musámia of Sháhálam is only nominally under management. I had intended to have given up all interference at the end of the year under report, but at the request of the owner I have decided to continue my connection with the estate for another year. Musámia has still some debts unpaid and he considers it beneficial to him that the Tálukdári Settlement Officer should exercise supervision over his accounts.

62. Allusion was made to the circumstances of the Chándna Estate in the 77th paragraph of my report for 1889-90. During this year only Rs. 200 have been paid in liquidation of the debt, which now amounts to Rs. 2,366. There is no doubt that within the last few years the value of the village has considerably decreased and the annual payments in satisfaction of the debt due to Government are not and cannot be so large as it was at first estimated they would be. Some of the land has been thrown out of cultivation and some has been washed away by the river. It was stated in the report for 1889-90 that only one year was now left to the Kasbati in which to pay off his debt. On looking up the correspondence which took place on this subject some years ago

I find that in his No. 4350, dated 21st December 1882, the Commissioner, N. D., sanctioned a remission proposed by Mr. Crawley-Boevey, the then Tálukdári Settlement Officer, the effect of which measure was to postpone for two years the time before which the debt must be liquidated. This being so, steps will not be taken to resume the village on behalf of Government until September 1893. I visited Chándna during my tour and found the Kasbati in a very miserable condition and quite hopeless as to the liquidation of his debt.

63. It is hoped that the decree for mesne profits against the Dharoda estate, alluded to in the 78th paragraph of my last report, will be fully satisfied in 1891-92.

64. During the year under report the estates of Sagpur in Paráńtij Táluka and of Dájibáwa Sardársing of Jhanor in Broach have been brought under the management of this office, under section 28, Act VI of 1888, *i. e.*, at the request of the Tálukdárs. There are now three estates managed under this section, the third being that of Takhatsing Sardársing of Bhánpura in Borsad Táluka.

65. During the year under report the estate of the Thákore of Dehwan, a village situated on the northern bank of the Mahi, has been attached and is now managed by this office, under Act VI of 1888. The late Thákore Fattesingji Rupsingji died last June 1890, and in February 1891 Merámansingji Fattesingji, who alleges that he is the eldest son, made an application to me to have his estate managed for five years according to the provisions of section 28 of the Tálukdárs' Act. As I was not then aware that Merámansing's claim to the heirship was in dispute, I recommended that his application should be granted, and Government were pleased by their Resolution No. 1696, dated 5th March 1891, to permit of the estate being brought under the management of the Collector or his agent. It subsequently, however, came to my notice that Khumánsing Fattesing, a brother of Merámansingji, disputes the right of the latter to be considered the eldest son of their father, and that an enquiry into the subject was being conducted by the Mámlatdár under the orders of the Collector. I visited the village during my tour and saw enough of the state of feeling there to make me consider it advisable, in order to prevent a breach of the peace, and also in order to put a stop to the harassing of the tenants, that the estate should be managed, not on behalf of either party but by the Collector under section 26 of the Tálukdárs' Act. Accordingly I reported the matter through the Collector of Kaira, and Government were pleased by their Resolution No. 3513, dated 21st May 1891, to order the substitution of an attachment under section 26 for that previously allowed under section 28. This attachment still continues, and I have not as yet been informed of the result of the Collector's enquiry into the question of the seniority of the two rival claimants. Whatever may be the decision of the Revenue authorities I should not be surprised if the matter be taken into the Civil Courts by the defeated party. The revenue of the estate is about Rs. 12,600 and it is understood to be in debt to the amount of Rs. 10,000. This would be cleared off in a few years if the estate were managed with care and economy.

66. The circumstances under which the provisions of section 26, Act VI of 1888, have been applied to the estate of the Thákore of Sánand and Koth have been described in full in my paragraph 21 above. Owing to the state of affairs alluded to in paragraph 85 of my last year's report the village of Chasiána has been attached and is now managed under section 26 of Act VI of 1888. During the year, I have made repeated, but vain, efforts to effect a settlement of the dispute between the sharers.

67. It is with regret that I have to report the death on the 7th July last of the Kazi of Broach, who by his intelligence and common sense was steadily freeing his estate from the debt in which it is involved. He leaves two minor sons, and I have asked the Collector of Broach to take the necessary measures for being appointed guardian of their property. The condition of the estate calls for no special remark. The Kazi was in receipt of an allowance from Government of Rs. 50 per mensem and the minor's grandfather is about to apply for its continuance to the sons of the deceased.

GENERAL REVENUE ADMINISTRATION OF TÁLUKDÁRI VILLAGES IN AHMEDABAD.

68. There are 356 Tálukdári villages in Ahmedabad under the Revenue charge of this office, and their jama inclusive of the Local Fund cess amounts to Rs. 3,79,867 for the year under report.

69. The nature of the season has been described in para. 32 above. Except in the Dhandhuka Táluka, where the insufficiency of the rainfall was most felt, the *jama* of the whole district has been collected during the year under report. The outstandings in the Dhandhuka Táluka now amount to Rs. 9,007-0-5.

70. As stated in para. 83 of last year's report Government were pleased to remit the sum of Rs. 2,870 on account of the jama of the village of Návda for 1888-89, the net income realized having been short by that amount of what was due as jama. By Government Resolution No. 2518, dated the 10th April 1891, Government have been further pleased to sanction the remission of Rs 1,754 for the year 1887-88, and to empower the Commissioner, Northern Division, to grant remissions in similar cases in future, pending the introduction of the revision settlement. The net income for 1889-90 was Rs. 5,179-4-6, and a separate application has been made to and granted by the Commissioner, Northern Division, for remission of the sum of Rs. 2,425-10-11 for that year. For the year under report the gross income was Rs. 5,740, and after expenses have been deducted, the balance will fall short of the jama by about Rs. 2,600, which sum also will have to be written off.

71. The suit brought by the Tálukdárs of Návda against the Limbdi Darbár relative to the river Utávli has been decided during the year under report. The Court held that the channel excavated by Limbdi need not be filled up, but also found that the excavation already made by the Darbár was sufficient to satisfy the decree of the High Court and that no more should be allowed. This result is on the whole satisfactory, though the Návda Tálukdárs have not got all they want.

72. As mentioned above the Chasiána Estate is now under management under section 26, Act VI of 1888. The surplus receipts in hand amount to Rs. 44,843 of which Rs. 43,000 are invested in Government paper. Rs. 1,400 have been paid by me into Court on behalf of one of the rival sharers, in order to save his share being sold at a great loss. There does not seem to be any likelihood of the dispute being settled.

73. The Bhal drainage project, which was alluded to in the 87th paragraph of the report for 1889-90, after very careful consideration by Mr. Doig, the Executive Engineer, has been prepared in detail and submitted to Government. The project is, I believe, in three distinct parts. One provides for the drainage of the water-logged land lying round the Gangad village of Bagodra in Dholka, the other two deal with the Bhal country proper, *i. e.* the central and southern portions of the Dhandhuka Táluka. The greater part of the land to be benefited by the Bagodra work belongs to the Gangad Estate, and a considerable area of that which will be effected in Dhandhuka is also the property of Tálukdárs. The village of Návda, the income of which for the last four years has not been sufficient to meet the Government claim for jama, will, it is hoped, be much improved by the drainage works proposed by Mr. Doig. Should Government be pleased to sanction his scheme it will be necessary to consider the extent to which the new jama settlements ought to be affected by the hoped-for improvement in the productiveness of the land. The influence of the drainage works will probably not be felt for some few years, but as the new settlements will, it is presumed, be for thirty years, the improvement of the land must not be neglected in fixing the amount of the jama. I understand that the project has been made out by Mr. Doig to be a very remunerative one, and I trust that Government may be pleased to sanction it.

74. Nothing more has been done as regards the waste lands of the Tálukdárs who were addressed by Mr. Younghusband on the subject of handing over the management to Government. I believe that their objection to comply with Mr. Younghusband's suggestion was due to the fact that they are afraid that their rights as landlords over the tenants who would hold the

waste lands would be affected by the land being in the possession of Government for 20 years. This misunderstanding I will do my best to remove when I am making the revision settlement of estates containing waste land, and I trust that the liberality of the offer made by Government will then be appreciated. In the Gangad Estate, of which the Collector of Ahmedabad is the Administrator, and which contains many thousands of acres of waste, I propose to treat a large area as managed under the terms of para. 4 of Government Resolution No. 4580 of the 27th June 1889. Similar action may be possible as regards land in the Sanand and Koth Estate.

75. The following table shows the collection and expenditure of the Local Fund in the Tálukdári villages of Ahmedabad in the year under report :—

Name of Táluka.	Amount of Local Fund collected.	Amount of Local Fund expended.		
		Object.	Rupees.	Total Rs.
	Rs.	Contribution towards building a school-house...	24	
		Repairs to school-houses ...	58	
Dholka	7,406 ...	Do. to dharmsháls ...	206	
		Do. to wells	118	
		Deepening tanks	340	
				746
		Repairs to school-houses ...	476	
		Building a dharmshála	314	
		Repairs to dharmashálas ...	45	
Dhandhuka ...	19,399 ...	Do. to wells	227	
		New wells	600	
		Deepening tanks	1,744	
				3,406
		Repairs to school-houses ...	72	
Viramgám ...	2,710 ...	Do. to dharmshála ...	19	
				91
		Repairs to school-houses ...	287	
Sanand	3,636 ...	Do. to dharmshalas ...	24	
		New wells	599	
				910
		Repairs to school-houses ...	48	
		Building a dharmshála ...	252	
Gogha	1,752 ...	Repairs to dharmshálas ...	35	
		Do. to wells	131	
				466
		Total ...	...	5,619

17. The collection and expenditure as shown above compare as follows as with those of the previous two years :—

Year.	Amount collected from the villages.	Amount expended in the villages.	Percentage.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1888-89	26,604	3,840	14·4
1889-90	26,604	7,614	29
1890-91	34,903	5,619	16

77. The increase in the receipts is due to the levy of additional Local Fund cess from the Tálukdári estates of Limbdi.

78. The following table shows the collection and expenditure of the Local Fund in the Tálukdári villages of Kaira : —

Name of Táluka.	Amount of Local Fund collected.	Amount of Local Fund expended.		
		Object.	Rupees.	Total, Rupees.
	Rs.			
Thásra ...	442	Repairs to school-houses	...	21
Borsad ...	2,443	Do. do.	24	
		Repairs to roads	35	
		New wells	1,816	
		Repairs to wells	873	
		Charges on account of water-bearer ...	34	
				2,782
Mátar ...	1,005	Repairs to roads...	...	95
Anand ...	1,579	Nil	...	...
Mehmadabad ...	217	Nil	...	...
Kapadvanj ...	138	Nil	...	...
				2,898

79. The collection and expenditure as shown above compare as follows :—

Year.	Amount collected from the villages.	Amount expended in the villages.	Percentage.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1888-89	5,824	148	2·5
1889-90	5,824	591	10·2
1890-91	5,824	2,898	49

80. A comparison of the figures for Ahmedabad and Kaira shows that in the latter district a considerably smaller share of the collections in Tálukdári villages is expended in those villages. During the three years no money seems to have been spent in the estates in either of the tálukas of Kapadvanj or Mehmadabad.

81. The question of the sum to be paid as Local Fund cess on the lands in the Ahmedabad District held by the Limbdi Darbár on Tálukdári tenure was decided by Government in their Resolution No. 6069, dated 26th August 1890. According to these orders the sum of Rs. 9,000 is to be levied annually from the Thákore Sáheb instead of the amount which he has hitherto paid, viz., Rs. 700. He has appealed against the decision of Government, and it was not till he had been threatened with compulsory process that he at last consented to pay the amount due for 1890-91. He has now made the payment under protest.

82. Appendix VIII gives a list of the Tálukdári estates which are under management for the collection of jama.

Revision Survey and Settlement.

83. During the year under report the appointment of Assistant Settlement Officer in charge Tálukdári Survey has been held by Messrs. Francis and Holland, the latter relieving the former on 23rd May 1891. The revision survey of the Dhandhuka Táluka villages was completed during the season and also that of the villages in the Gogha Táluka.

84. In March Mr. Francis submitted his report on the Dholka Táluka with his recommendations in regard to the maximum rates to be imposed. His proposals were supported by the Survey and Settlement Commissioner, and Government in their Resolution No. 3733, dated 2nd June 1891, were pleased to sanction their adoption. The papers have now been returned by me to the Assistant Settlement Officer in order that he may fill in the assessment figures. When

this has been done and the papers have come back to me I shall be in a position to commence the settlement of the estates in the Dholka Táluka.

85. One question of difficulty has arisen in connection with the work of the revision survey. It has reference to the method of dealing with estates in which partition has taken place since the time of Mr. Beyt's survey. For the purposes of the settlement register it is necessary to have on record detailed information as to all shares held in severalty, but as the present survey work is being carried out for the purpose of the immediate revision of jama, it was not perhaps the intention of Government that any detailed work should be done by the Assistant Settlement Officer. The matter is one which I referred some months ago to Mr. James and quite recently I have received further instructions from you. Now that the point at issue has been clearly recognized some definitive orders will no doubt be passed.

86. I had the honour to submit for your instructions a point connected with the settlement of alienations in Tálukdári villages which has now been dealt with by Government in their Resolution No. 4738, dated 13th July 1891. This Resolution supports the view which had been submitted by me, and orders that the paragraphs of Government Resolution No. 4580 of 27th June 1889 which deal with alienations which took place previously to the passing of the Tálukdars' Act, 1888, should be considered as cancelled. The result of these orders will be greatly to simplify the work of revision settlement. What effect they may have on the amounts to be fixed as *jama* it is not yet possible to say. Each case is to be dealt with on its own merits, and the general result cannot yet be foreseen. Certain points relating to the form of the new agreement to be given to Tálukdárs will form the subject of a separate report, which I hope to have the honour of submitting at an early date. Pending the issue of orders on the general question of alienations I have been unable to bring these matters to your notice.

ESTATES UNDER SECTIONS 320 AND 504 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE.

87. There were 460 decrees under execution under section 320 of the Civil Procedure Code at the end of 1889-90. The number received for execution during the year under report was 161 as against 116, 149 and 126 in the three preceding years. The total number under execution in the year 1890-91 under section 320 was therefore 621. It will be noticed that this number is larger than in previous years.

88. In addition 33 decrees were received in reply to notices issued under section 322. The total number was, therefore, 654.

89. Of the estates to which these decrees relate—

354 were under management;
109 were under process of sale;
62 were returned to Courts, and
129 were under enquiry.

90. Of the 62 decrees returned to the Courts—

20 have been satisfied by compromise;
16 have been satisfied by paying the amount from the defendants' property under management;
6 were returned as Government declined to accord the sanction required by section 31, Act VI of 1888;
2 were satisfied by the sale of the defendants' property;
2 were returned as no bid was made for the property;
16 were returned to the Court without execution, as they were received after action had been taken under section 322 and for other reasons.

91. The following table shows the manner in which the decrees received in each year and those brought over from the previous years were disposed of during the last three years:—

	1888-89.		1889-90.		1890-91.	
	Number of decrees.	Amount.	Number of decrees.	Amount.	Number of decrees.	Amount.
		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.
Returned after disposal by compromise, &c.	122	87,758	118	29,967	62	39,884
Under payment by instalments from revenues of estates taken under management	221	2,59,852	282	3,27,710	354	3,86,234
Under process of sale	41	55,317	53	74,068	109	1,92,655
Under enquiry	154	1,09,293	125	1,17,212	129	1,13,705
	538	5,12,210	578	5,48,957	654	7,32,378
Amount paid to creditors direct or through the Civil Courts ...	...	35,709	...	35,327	...	63,818

92. The large increase in the last item which appears from this year's figures is due to the fact that the amount which should have been paid in 1889-90 to the Gangad creditors was kept in deposit pending a reference to the High Court and was paid this year.

93. The decrees for the year 1890-91 above shown belong to the tálukás of Dhandhuka, Dholka, Gogha and Viramgám in the Ahmedabad Collectorate. No decrees of the Kaira or Broach Collectorate are sent to this office for execution.

94. There were 6 estates under management under section 504 at the end of 1889-90. Three estates came under management during the year under report, making a total of 9. Of these, one belonging to the Paránțij Táluka was removed and 8 remained under management at the close of the year. Of these, one belongs to Borsád Táluka in the Kaira District and the rest to the tálukás of Viramgám and Dhandhuka in the Ahmedabad Collectorate.

95. The money realized from the management and sale of the estates under decrees and sent to the Civil Courts in the year under report amounted to Rs. 56,010.

96. It will be noticed that the number of decrees received during the year and the total number under execution are greater than in previous years. The ability of my present office establishment to cope with the increased work is a question in regard to which I may have to submit a separate report. At present I am afraid the decree papers remain some time in the office before they can be disposed of owing to the pressure of the work.

97. It is stated in Government Resolution No. 3099, dated the 9th June last, that of the decrees disposed of in the 11 districts to which section 320, Civil Procedure Code, has been applied, 48·6 per cent. were disposed of in 1889 by mutual agreement. In this office, during the year under report, only about 38 per cent. have been so dealt with. My predecessor, Mr. Pestonji Jehangir, was I believe of opinion that the compromise of a decree was a transaction which was often unfair to the judgment-debtor. If this is so, it is satisfactory to find that the compromises effected through this office are not in proportion so numerous as those which take place in the eleven districts above referred to. They are, however, more numerous than in the districts where the Collectors do not execute decrees, and this seems to strengthen the theory that the interference of the Collector tends to induce settlements by mutual agreement.

98. Another point to be noticed in the figures now under report is the large proportion of decrees the property relative to which is kept under management. Ahmedabad appears to be the only district in which this course is adopted for the satisfaction of a decree, and perhaps this office is the only one in the

Presidency which avails itself of the provisions of section 323 (2) (*d*) Civil Procedure Code. The large number of estates managed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer under the Civil Procedure Code is, I think, due to three causes. First, as the special work of the office is connected with the management of estates, the Tálukdári Settlement Officer does not hesitate to manage rather than to mortgage or sell in cases in which he thinks it best for the parties concerned that he should do so. Secondly, the decree-holders are aware of the advantages of having the property over which they have a claim managed by an officer who has practically, as regards the realization of rents, the powers of a Collector, and they are, therefore, in many cases either themselves anxious that the estates should be kept under management or they are easy to persuade into desiring the adoption of this course by a judgment-debtor who does not want his land to be disposed of in any other way. Thirdly, as the land to which the decrees relate is almost all Tálukdári, it cannot be sold without the sanction of Government under section 31, Act VI of 1888, and consequently, as the only means of satisfying the decrees, in cases in which sanction has been refused, or has not been applied for, the land is kept under management.

Partition.

99. Ten applications for partition made under section 11 of the Tálukdárs' Act, 1888, were pending at the beginning of the year under report. Fifteen fresh applications were received during the year, making a total of 25 to be disposed of. In eleven of these cases an order for partition was made under section 15 of the Act; in four of them the Tálukdári Settlement Officer declined to grant the application until the questions in dispute had been determined by a competent Court; and four applications were refused under section 14. Six cases still remain under enquiry.

100. In ten of the cases disposed of appeals were made to the District Judge, who, in six of them confirmed the decisions of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer and in the remaining four returned the record for re-hearing.

101. In three partition suits which had been instituted in the Civil Court previously to the passing of Act VI of 1888, the decrees were sent to this office in order that the partition should be made by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, as the Collector's Assistant, under section 255, Civil Procedure Code. The names of the three estates are given in the margin.

Dholera Girássiás' Estate.
Nána Mátra do.
Nagarka do.

Suits.

102. Twenty-four original suits and appeals were pending at the beginning of the year under report, and thirteen have since been added. Twenty-seven cases have been decided during the year, so that the number still pending is 10. Details of these cases are given in Appendix XI.

103. The suits sent back by the High Court for re-trial by the lower Court, in which the Thákore of Limbdi sued the Secretary of State for recovery of sums levied as *jama* on the half share of Akru, which has remained in the possession of the Mul Gametis, were decided against Government by the Assistant Judge. The Court held that as Akru is one of the villages included in Limbdi's permanent settlement, no jama could be levied on the portion of it which he has assigned to the Girássiás. This result was not unexpected, as to have obtained any other finding would have necessitated the production of evidence to prove that Limbdi held the village as mortgagee only, a point which was in issue in the litigation of 1863 and subsequent years, and was never finally disposed of.

104. The appeals filed by the Pátidárs of Dabhán have been rejected by the District Court.

105. Jivandas Anandji's appeal to the High Court against the decision in the case of the *jivai* lands of the villages of Ukharla and Trimbak is still pending.

106. In the Sárod succession case which was remanded for a new trial, the Assistant Judge, Broach, again decided in favour of the defendants, of whom the Tálukdári Settlement Officer is one. An appeal has been filed by the plaintiff in the High Court.

107. The Assistant Judge, Ahmedabad, decided that certain tenants of the Gangad Estate were entitled to recover from the Administrator of the Estate sums paid by them as Local Fund cess on the lands cultivated by them, which they claim to hold at a fixed rental. This decision if upheld will affect adversely the interests of all large Tálukdárs, and it has, therefore, been appealed against to the High Court.

108. Of the 27 cases decided during the year, twenty-one have been given in favour of this Department and six against it.

109. The following table shows the cost of litigation incurred by the estates during the year under report:—

Total expenditure incurred by the Estates.	Recovered from Plaintiffs.	Net cost to Estates.
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
456 9 8	63 0 11	393 8 9

Financial Review.

110. The following abstract gives at one view the financial results of the management of estates for the year under report:—

	Number of Estates.	Gross Revenue including previous year's outstanding balances.	Total Revenue realized, including past year's balance in hand.	Charges of all kinds.						Paid to creditors.	Balance in hand at the close of the year.	Outstanding balances written off.	Outstanding balance at the close of the year.
				Government dues.	Collection &c., charges in the Tálukás.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer and establishment charges.	Maintenance, education and other expenses of Tálukdárs.	Miscellaneous.	Total.				
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Estates under Encumbered Estate Acts ...	126	2,45,950	3,01,015	65,268	12,855	9,175	51,133	5,869	1,44,300	72,179	84,536	1,753	38,722
All other Estates under management	365	4,24,943	6,33,811	1,34,237	18,927	9,487	66,756	33,852	2,63,259	97,426	2,73,126	7,559	64,251
Total ...	491	6,70,893	9,34,826	1,99,505	31,782	18,662	1,17,889	39,721	4,07,559	1,69,605	3,57,662	9,312	97,973

111. The details of this abstract will be found in Appendix XII.

112. The percentages of collection, remission and outstanding balances to the total realizable revenue of the estates under management for the year under report and the previous two years are shown in the following table:—

	Year.	Gross Revenue, including arrears.	Amount collected during the year.	Amount remitted.	Amount outstanding.	Percentage of collection.	Percentage of remission.	Percentage of outstanding balances.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
Ahmedabad	1888-89	2,80,984	2,48,438	2,000	30,546	88½	¾	10¾
	1889-90	3,08,815	2,63,355	11,193	34,267	85¼	3½	11¼
	1890-91	4,02,776	3,39,345	4,427	59,004	84·2	1	14·6
Kaira ...	1888-89	1,07,421	1,02,707	357	4,357	95⅔	⅓	4
	1889-90	1,03,606	1,00,029	89	3,488	96½	...	3½
	1890-91	1,25,524	1,28,804	1,051	10,565	91·7	0·74	7·5
Broach ...	1888-89	1,23,169	80,769	2,564	30,836	65½	·2	32½
	1889-90	1,91,866	1,68,206	421	23,239	87½	¼	12¼
	1890-91	1,42,593	1,10,355	3,834	28,404	77·39	2·6	19·9
Total ...	1888-89	5,11,574	4,31,914	4,921	74,739	84½	1	14½
	1889-90	6,04,287	5,31,590	11,703	60,994	88	2	10
	1890-91	6,70,893	5,78,504	9,312	97,973	84·35	1·3	14·2

113. It will be seen that owing to the unfavourable character of the season the percentage of collections this year is lower and that of outstanding balances higher than those of the last in the Ahmedabad and Broach Districts. As regards Kaira the lower percentage of collection and the higher percentage of outstanding balances are on account of Dehwán and the Nadiád Estates, both of which came under management towards the close of the year. In the former the collection was greatly hampered by the disputes between the two brothers for succession to the estate and in the latter there were large arrears of past years.

114. The remission in Ahmedabad was on account of the Gangad Estate, where a balance of Rs. 4,000 which was outstanding before the estate came under management had to be written off as irrecoverable. The remission in Kaira was on account of the Dharoda and Nadiád Estates. There was some outstanding balance of previous years which being found irrecoverable was written off. The large remission in Broach was on account of the Jhanor Estate. A balance of Rs. 2,123 was shown in the accounts as outstanding on account of certain haks due to the estate from the Ali Rájpur Darbár in Central India and Nándod in Rájpipla. This balance was written off as there is now little or no hope of recovering it.

115. The following table shows the average percentage of expenses of management to realizable revenue and to actual collection of each district separately and of all the three districts together for the year under report :—

Collectorate.		Tálukdari Settlement Office.	Local expenses of collection, &c.	Total average percentage.
Ahmedabad ...	On realizable revenue	2·52	4·79	7·31
	On actual collection	2·99	5·68	8·67
Kaira	On realizable revenue	2·81	4·52	6·33
	On actual collection	3·2	4·93	8·13
Broach ...	On realizable revenue	3·07	4·30	7·37
	On actual collection	3·97	5·55	9·52
Total average percentage	On realizable revenue	2·72	5·49	8·21
	On actual collection	3·22	4·63	7·85

WORKING OF THE GUJARA'T TA'LUKDA'R'S ACT VI OF 1888.

116. The chief subjects with which this Act deals are,—

(*a*) Survey and Settlement.
(*b*) Partition.
(*c*) Liability for jama.
(*d*) Management of Estates.
(*e*) Police Officers and Establishment.
(*f*) Borrowing Powers of Tálukdárs.

I will now make some brief remarks under each of these heads.

117. As stated in para. 83 above the field work of the revision survey of the Tálukdári villages in Dhandhuka and Gogha has been completed, and the maximum rates for the Dholka Táluka have been sanctioned by Government. It is hoped that the settlement of Dholka will be carried out next cold weather. The revision survey of the Tálukdári villages in Sánand and Viramgám and an original survey of the Mehwási villages in Paráńtij remain to be done, as also such work as may be found necessary in the Broach District.

118. The figures showing the partition work done during the year are given in para. 99 above. From these it will be seen that considerable advantage was taken of the provisions of the new Act. There is no doubt that these provisions

reduce greatly the expenses which had formerly to be incurred by persons desiring partition. It is true that in many cases the parties are represented before me by vakils or mukhtyárs, but as the application is treated as one for which the vakil's fee is only Rs. 10, the cost to the parties is not great. Two points connected with the Act call for remark. One is the provision contained in section 15 (3) that the procedure to be observed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer shall be that laid down in the Civil Procedure Code for the trial of original suits. There is no doubt that the formality thus introduced into the hearing of partition cases tends to prolong them, and in some ways to hamper their settlement. The object of altering the law as regards partitions in the case of Tálukdári Estates was as I understand to provide for Tálukdárs a cheap and easy method of dividing their landed property without having recourse to the cumbrous and expensive procedure of the Civil Courts. This being so I think it is a matter for regret that section 15 (3) should have been inserted. The four cases returned for re-trial by the District Judge were sent back because the strict procedure of the Code had not been followed in disposing of them. They had been heard by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer before the provisions of the new Act had been fully grasped by him.

119 The second point which I desire to notice has reference to section 15 (1) which lays down that if any objection to the application for partition raises a question as to the right of the applicant to such partition, or any other question of title which has not been already determined by a Court of competent jurisdiction, the Táludári Settlement Officer may either decline the application until the questions in dispute have been determined by a competent Court, or he may himself proceed to inquire into the merits of the objection. In some of the cases in which applications were made during the year under report there were in dispute complicated questions of title which had not been determined by a competent Court, but the party making the application desired to have them enquired into by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer under the authority of the last sentence of section 15 (1). The object of this was no doubt to obtain a decision which would have the effect of, and cost very much less than, the decree of a Civil Court. I have, however, not read the Act to mean that the Tálukdari Settlement Officer should usurp the functions of a Civil Court in matters with which he is much less competent to deal, and I have, therefore, in the cases referred to, declined to grant the applications until a Civil Court's decision had been obtained. I have laid it down as a general rule that I will take action in those cases only where the parties all agree or in which the questions in dispute have already been determined by the judgment of a competent Court. The course adopted by me has been upheld on appeal by the District Judge, and it is I think in strict conformity with the law.

120. As regards (*c*) the liability for *jama* there has been only one case during the year under report in which recourse has been had to the provisions of section 24 (2) of the Act. In this case an order has been issued to recover the *jama* due from the mortgagee in possession. It is of course the fact that these provisions are not new, but their re-affirmation in the special enactment relating to Tálukdári Estates will perhaps have a beneficial effect. In one point of view, however, it is possible that injury may result to the Tálukdár. The mortgagee or other person paying the jama on the default of the Tálukdár is entitled to credit in account against him and such a state of affairs may not impossibly result in the Tálukdár's circumstances becoming even more involved than before. However, the provision is absolutely necessary for the security of the Government revenue and it is not new.

121. Under sections 26—29 of the Act Tálukdári Estates may be taken under management by Government officers in two distinct ways. Under section 26 Government has power to attach and manage any estate in which the Governor in Council deems that on account of disputes among sharers or for other cause, there is reason to apprehend danger to the peace of the country or injury to the well-being of the inferior holders. Under section 28 the Tálukdári Settlement Officer with the sanction of Government is empowered to manage the estate of any Tálukdár who so desires. During the year under report the Koth, Chasiána and Dehwan Estates were attached under section 26, for

reasons which have been stated elsewhere, and those of Ságpur, Dájibáwa of Jhanor, and Bhánpura have been taken under management under section 28. That the advantages of management by this office are not unappreciated is shown by the fact that during the year under report new applications under section 28 have been received from the owners of the estates marginally noted, which had previously been managed under Act XXI of 1881. The point which seems to call for remark in connection with these provisions of the new Act is the limitation of the power of the manager. He is the steward of the property. His duties extend only to collecting the rents and to incurring such expenditure as may be necessary to keep up the estate, but he has no power to deal with surplus receipts, and cannot even interfere in connection with debts which may be alleged to be due by the estate. As the management prescribed by law is in the one case on behalf of the Tálukdár and in the other for the security of the inferior holders, it is no doubt equitable that the owner should be left to deal with his profits as he chooses. In practice, however, the limitation of the manager's powers hampers the action and affects the influence of the officer appointed by Government.

Ahima.
Khodwád.
Sálol.
Wárshang.

122. During the year under report no final orders have been passed in regard to the question of Police officers and establishment for Tálukdári villages, but the subject has been and still is under consideration. The scheme for the Limbdi villages to which I alluded in last year's report has had to be given up in so far as it relates to the entertainment of District Police. The Act empowers Government to levy the cost of a village establishment only and fresh proposals for the revision of the present village force have been submitted by me and are now being considered by the District Magistrate of Ahmedabad and the Commissioner, N. D.

123. For certain of the villages in the Kaira District a scheme has been prepared by the District Magistrate and submitted to Government, who have been pleased to give the villagers concerned an opportunity of stating any objections they may have, before passing orders on Mr. Cooke's proposals.

124. Amongst the most important provisions of the Tálukdars' Act, 1888, are those contained in section 31 relating to the limitations which have been placed on the power of a Tálukdár to alienate or encumber his estate. Government have already ruled that no sale of Tálukdári land will be sanctioned by them unless it be necessary for the preservation of an estate from complete ruin, or for the protection of Government revenue. During the year under report several decree-holders were referred to Government for the necessary sanction to the sale of land, and were refused. In the case of one estate a sale in execution of decrees was sanctioned. The whole of one separate share in the Kotda Estate owned by Nathiboo, daughter of Lalima Hanifima, was sold to one Nagarvalabh Kanji, &c. as the only means of preserving the Tálukdár from ruin. Favourable terms were made by the decree-holder, who agreed to allow the Tálukdár to retain 30 bighás of land and two houses. This sale was sanctioned by Government in their Resolution No. 602, dated 24th January 1891. In the cases of the estates noted in the margin, Government were pleased to sanction private sales of Tálukdári lands. In these cases the property was transferred only from one sharer to another and the transaction was not, therefore, open to the objections which present themselves when land forming part of a Tálukdári estate is alienated to a purchaser who is not a Tálukdár.

Bámamiá—
Fattesing Gobarbhai, &c.
Jhinjhar—
Satabhai Banesing, &c.
Alau—
Khachar Devit Alig.

125. During the year under report, 65 applications for permission to borrow money on the security of Tálukdári lands were received. The aggregate amount which it was desired to borrow was Rs. 3,86,044. These figures for last year were 46 and Rs. 2,56,500 respectively. The increase in the sum of which the Tálukdárs apparently found themselves in need may perhaps be, to some extent, due to the bad season. It may also be attributed in part to the fact that the provisions of the law have now become more generally known and Tálukdárs are unable to raise money on their estates unless the sávkár is satisfied that the transaction has been duly sanctioned. In 30 out of the 65 cases

the required permission to borrow was granted for sums ranging from Rs. 90 to Rs. 95,000 and aggregating Rs. 2,39,509. It must be noted, however, that in very few of the cases in which the sanction was accorded has the loan been obtained as yet by the borrower. Even with sanction some of the Tálukdárs find a difficulty in getting a sávkár to lend them money. The amount actually borrowed up to date is only about Rs. 14,000. In three cases the applications were subsequently withdrawn and in three they were refused. Twenty-nine cases are now under enquiry.

126. The amount the borrowing of which has been sanctioned during the year is so largely in excess of the similar amount for 1889-90, that some explanation of the course adopted by me in connection with this matter is perhaps necessary. Last year the aggregate borrowings amounted to Rs. 33,850 only, and about 21 per cent. of the applications were refused. During the year under report only 5 per cent. were thus dealt with. In disposing of these applications I have followed very closely the lines laid down for his own guidance by my predecessor, Mr. Pestonji Jahangir, but I have at the same time thought it necessary to recognize that it could not have been the intention of the Legislature, in providing as they have done for the limitation of the borrowing power of a Tálukdár, to make his position so intolerable as it would be if section 31 were worked with any undue strictness. Such undue strictness would be as unjust to the man whose interests it is our duty to protect as it would be useless in securing his protection. Numbers of the Tálukdárs of Gujarát are in so impoverished a condition that in a bad season such as that of 1890-91 it would have been impossible for them to have met many of their necessary expenses without borrowing. If the sanction required by law were not accorded by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, they would, I have no doubt, have raised the funds they wanted in some other way which would cost them much more. Under these circumstances I have thought that what was called for from me was the most careful enquiry into the objects of the loan, and the closest supervision over the terms on which it was granted. I proceed to give some details as to the cases in which borrowing was sanctioned. In twenty cases the loan was taken in order to pay off old debts, which were running at high rates of interest, the interest on the new loan being lower. The highest rate sanctioned by me is 9 per cent. In each case every precaution is taken to see that the old debt has been duly satisfied and that the terms of the loan are such as should be approved of. The largest sums for which sanction was given for this purpose were as below :—

Rs. 95,000 to the Bhimnath Estate.
„ 12,000 to Khodábhai Kalábhai of Pipli.
„ 11,500 to Alambhai Badánia.

None of these amounts have yet been borrowed. In five cases sanction was given for loans to meet the expenses of marriage ceremonies. The only large loan desired for this purpose was that applied for by Subania Bápusáheb of Ránpur, who asked for sanction for Rs. 10,000. His estate is worth Rs. 1,00,000, and has just been released from management free from encumbrance.

In three cases the loan was taken partly to satisfy decrees and partly to pay off old debts which were running at high interest. Had the borrowers not been able to obtain the loans their property would have been sold up, and they would have been harassed by their creditors in other ways.

127. In connection with the subject of the working of the Gujarát Tálukdárs' Act, I mentioned in paragraph 125 of my last report that the Thákores of Limbdi, Jasdan, Vala and Vánkáner had forwarded to Government for submission to the Secretary of State memorials protesting against the Act. These memorials have now been dealt with by the Secretary of State, who has declined to interfere in the matter.

Resume'.

128. The following is a resumé of the operations of this Department during the year under report in all the districts under the several heads mentioned :—

1. Estates under management—491.
2. Their total revenue—Rs. 6,85,789.
3. Amount collected—Rs. 5,78,504.
4. New estates brought under Act VI of 1862—Nil.
5. Number of creditors' claims under Act VI of 1862 enquired into and awards made—35.
6. Debt Settlement Schemes submitted—3.
7. Amount of creditors' claims recorded—Nil.
8. Decrees received from the Civil Courts for execution—161.
9. Decrees inclusive of those brought over from previous years executed by compromise, sale, management, &c.—525.
10. Amount paid to private creditors—Rs. 67,059.
11. Amount paid to Government—Rs. 54,894.
12. Amount paid to Tálukdárs, Thakores, &c, for maintenance and other expenses—Rs. 1,17,889.
13. Paid for Government Jama—Rs. 1,99,505.
14. Paid for expenses of collection, supervision, &c.—Rs. 50,444.
15. Minors' money invested in Government security—Rs. 90,745, landed property Rs. 20,000, total investment Rs. 1,10,745.
16. Estates under enquiry for partition—25.
17. Original suits and appeals—37.
18. Revenue of 356 Tálukdári villages in the Ahmedabad Collectorate under the revenue charge of this office collected—Rs. 3,74,585.
19. Percentages of collection, remission and outstanding balance to realizable revenue—84·35, 1·3 and 14·2.
20. Percentage of collection and management charges to total realizable revenue and to actual collection—4·63, 7·85.

Establishment.

129. During the year under report Government have been pleased to dispose finally of the question of the revision of the establishment charges of this office. A letter No. 948 R-152-2, dated 12th September 1890, conveyed the sanction of the Government of India to a permanent contribution from Provincial revenues of Rs. 500 a month towards the salary and establishment of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer and to an additional contribution of Rs. 200 a month during the currency of the impending jama settlement operations. By their Resolution No. 611, dated the 24th January 1891, Government were pleased to sanction the distribution of the increased contribution between the pay and travelling allowance of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, in the manner proposed by the Commissioner, Northern Division, which is as follows :—

	Rs.	Rs.
Out of the sum of		... 500
Pay	400	
Travelling allowance	100	
	500	

Out of the additional Rs. 200 a month during the currency of the impending jama settlement operations,—

	Rs.
Pay	200
Travelling allowance	Nil.
	200

130. The question of allowing to the estates any credit in respect of the pension contribution on the share of the pay of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer which is now payable by them remained to be dealt with. It was disposed of by Government Resolution No. 970, dated the 17th March 1891, in which Government were pleased to rule that the matter was not one between Government and the estates but between Government and the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, and that the Tálukdári Settlement Officer should be exempted from paying 4 per cent. pension contribution on that portion of his pay for which the estates are liable.

131. The work of my office establishment during the year under report has been satisfactorily performed. The transfer of the services of Mr. Hathising Raechand, who had been head clerk for a great number of years, has been a heavy loss to me. His intimate acquaintance with the circumstances of all the estates and his long experience of the working of the office, which extended over 24 years, made him a most valuable adviser and one whom it will be impossible to replace. He is now doing good work as Deputy Manager of the Sánand and Koth Estate. His successor, Mr. Mulji Kalidas, has not been long enough in the office for me to say much about him, but he has so far given me satisfaction. The Shirastedár, Mr. Shivshanker Bechar, has worked during the year with his usual zeal and industry, and has given me the greatest assistance in disposing of the heavy vernacular correspondence of the office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. O. QUIN,

Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX I.

APPEN

Ahmedabad Tálukdárs

Number.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
				Rs. a. p.
	Dhandhuka Táluka.			
1	Samadiála	Dhanráj Virsal	7th April 1875 ...	5,958 7 11
2	Do.	Rámráo Lákha	Do. ...	5,799 10 7
3	Do.	Bhága Lakhman	Do. ...	1,301 13 7
4	Návda	Latifkhán Sardármahomed ...	9th January 1876 ..	76,341 5 4
	Sánand Táluka.			
5	Makhiáv	Gagubha Ráesingji	19th May 1883 ...	55,893 0 0
	Dhandhuka Táluka.			
6	Bagad	Punja Vikamsi	12th July 1883 ...	8,437 8 0
7	Do.	Bhoka Oghad	Do. ...	5,276 8 0
8	Do.	Naja Ebhal	11th September 1884 .	7,417 0 0
9	Hadmantála	Bulákhibhái Modji	3rd April 1884 ..	17,226 0 0
10	Kinára	Válji Modji	23rd October 1884 ...	5,374 0 0
11	Khokhanesh	Jivábhái Desubhái	29th October 1885 ...	2,193 0 0
12	Do.	Takhatsing Bhávábhái... ...	Do. ..	1,199 12 0
13	Do.	Rupábhái Bhávábhái	Do. ...	1,648 0 0
14	Do.	Málekbhái Partápsing	Do. ...	1,100 0 0
15	Do.	Modji Partápsing	Do. ...	850 0 0
16	Dela	Vikábhái Dosábhái	5th May 1887 ...	7,986 0 0
17	Do.	Jethábhái Vastábhái	Do. ...	5,750 0 0
18	Jhanjarkha	Bhagvatsing Devising	6th June 1889 ...	14,359 0 0
19	Do.	Rámsingji Khodábhái	Do. ...	10,164 0 0
20	Do.	Harising Bhupatsing	Do. ..	4,535 0 0
21	Do.	Vajesing Hothibhái	Do. ...	2,439 0 0
	Viramgám Táluka.			
22	Rudatal	Umáji Jodháji, &c.	6th June 1889 ...	12,263 0 0
23	Do.	Hazurji Godádji	Do. ...	2,285 0 0
	Gogha Táluka.			
24	Bhojpura	Devising Bháiji...	10th May 1877 ...	1,792 2 0
	Dhandhuka Táluka.			
25	Bodána	Gokal Jivan	29th March 1877 ...	14,886 8 0
26	Do.	Ashkaran Gangádás	Do. ...	5,666 8 11
27	Do.	Puna Teja	Do. ...	6,438 1 3
28	Do.	Khimráo Ghela...	Do. ...	3,602 6 9
29	Do.	Dáda Rájsi	Do. ...	1,285 0 0
30	Do.	Bhála Ránsur	Do. ..	2,594 4 0
31	Do.	Pána Sámat	Do. ...	1,220 5 0
32	Do.	Ápa Khima	Do. ...	2,827 6 6
33	Do.	Nágráo Virsal	Do. ...	1,675 0 0

DIX I.

under Act VI of 1862.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1890 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1890-91 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1890-91 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1891 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Outstanding Balances.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
1,518 12 9	75 4 6	1,594 1 3	300 0 0	1,294 1 3	20	Estates Nos. 1 to 23 have received advances from Government Treasury. Payments in column 9 are payments to Government.
1,024 13 2	50 11 1	1,075 8 3	250 0 0	825 8 3	24	
919 1 11	45 3 0	964 4 11	350 0 0	614 4 11	38	
14,198 14 10	657 1 10	14,856 0 8	5,500 0 0	9,356 0 8	38·7	
39,494 15 3	1,966 11 2	41,461 10 5	1,600 0 0	39,861 10 5	4	
7,475 0 2	373 12 0	7,848 12 2	.....	7,848 12 2	...	Estates Nos. 24 to 64 have not received advances from Government. Payments in column 9 are payments to private creditors.
3,322 3 8	166 1 9	3,488 5 5	.....	3,488 5 5	...	
3,926 4 0	196 5 0	4,122 9 0	.....	4,122 9 0	...	
11,485 4 7	494 3 5	11,979 8 0	.....	11,979 8 0	...	
3,410 7 7	130 8 5	3,541 0 0	.....	3,541 0 0	...	
1,620 8 10	81 0 5	1,701 9 3	.....	1,701 9 3	...	
582 6 5	29 1 11	611 8 4	.....	611 8 4	...	
1,049 13 11	52 7 11	1,102 5 10	.....	1,102 5 10	...	
813 14 5	40 11 1	854 9 6	.....	854 9 6	...	
552 10 8	27 10 2	580 4 10	.....	580 4 10	...	
7,386 0 0	108 6 2	7,494 6 2	2,786 0 0	4,708 6 2	37·7	
5,203 0 0	106 0 5	5,309 0 5	703 0 0	4,606 0 5	13·5	
14,359 0 0	273 7 8	14,632 7 8	1,654 0 0	12,978 7 8	11·5	
10,164 0 0	198 7 11	10,362 7 11	1,164 0 0	9,198 7 11	11·3	
4,535 0 0	84 9 3	4,619 9 3	700 0 0	3,919 9 3	15·4	
2,439 0 0	47 2 10	2,486 2 10	300 0 0	2,186 2 10	12·3	
12,263 0 0	263 14 3	12,526 14 3	1,063 0 0	11,463 14 3	8·6	
2,285 0 0	49 7 8	2,334 7 8	185 0 0	2,149 7 8	8	
565 8 4	.....	565 8 4	162 0 0	403 8 4	28·6	
9,186 8 0	.....	9,186 8 0	400 0 0	8,786 8 0	4·3	
3,875 8 11	.....	3,875 8 11	42 0 0	3,833 8 11	1	
4,409 1 3	.....	4,409 1 3	20 0 0	4,389 1 3	·4	
2,996 6 9	.....	2,996 6 9	18 0 0	2,978 6 9	·6	
990 0 0	.....	990 0 0	.....	990 0 0	...	
1,109 4 0	.....	1,109 4 0	36 0 0	1,073 4 0	3·2	
427 0 0	.....	427 0 0	.....	427 0 0	...	
992 0 0	.....	992 0 0	.....	992 0 0	...	
585 0 0	.....	585 0 0	.....	585 0 0	...	

APPENDIX

Number.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
				Rs. a. p.
	Gogha Táluka.			
34	Bhawánipura...	Kárába Káshamji, &c.	4th January 1881 ...	10,508 0 0
35	Awánia	Bhupatsing Sáwábhái	5th January 1882 ...	6,804 0 0
36	Do.	Sumrábhái Chámpábhái ...	Do. ...	1,408 0 0
	Dhandhuka Táluka.			
37	Aniáli Kasbáti and Bubáváv .	Khanubhái Pirbhái	6th June 1889 ...	6,326 0 0
38	Do. ...	Chandrasingji Amiji	Do. ...	4,107 0 0
39	Do. ...	Meghábhái Rupábhái	Do. ...	.....
40	Do. ...	Báwáji Jethibhái	Do. ...	10,399 0 0
41	Do. ...	Fattebhái Achábhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	7,273 0 0
42	Do. ...	Manubhái Báwáji, &c.	Do. ...	4,385 0 0
43	Do. ...	Báwámia Rámbhái	Do. ...	45 0 0
44	Do. ...	Sardármia Rájbha	Do. ...	45 0 0
45	Do. ...	Dádubha Narsingji, &c. ...	Do. ...	1,744 0 0
46	Do. ...	Motáji Bhagwánji	Do. ...	1,536 0 0
47	Do. ...	Amarsing Páthábhái	Do. ...	1,090 0 0
48	Do. ...	Bápábhái Dádásáheb	Do. ...	1,795 0 0
49	Do. ...	Bápubha Hothiji	Do. ...	1,090 0 0
50	Do. ...	Bápusáheb Jonáji, &c.... ...	Do. ...	4,556 0 0
51	Do. ...	Murcha Sultánbhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	2,057 0 0
52	Jhájharkha	Bái Devba and Sháhba ...	Do. ...	2,100 0 0
	Viramgám Táluka.			
53	Rudátal	Mádhoji Rámáji	6th June 1889 ...	10,594 0 0
54	Do.	Dhanáji Nathuji	Do. ...	7,723 0 0
55	Sinaj Kánpur	Hariji Badarji, &c.	20th June 1889 ...	11,158 0 0
56	Do.	Sáwáji Rámáji, &c.	Do. ...	5,798 0 0
57	Do.	Umáji Kasláji, &c.	Do. ...	9,144 0 0
58	Do.	Hamirji Vunjáji, &c.	Do. ...	10,424 0 0
59	Do.	Okháji Dhanáji...	Do. ...	4,122 0 0
60	Jethipura	Agarsing Sáwáji, &c.	4th July 1889 ...	7,149 0 0
61	Dábhsar	Páthája Becharji, &c.	19th September 1889 .	23,626 0 0
	Gogha Táluka.			
62	Khambha	Hamirji Vákábhái, &c.... ...	19th September 1889 .	9,505 0 0
			Total ...	4,64,295 11 10

I—*continued.*

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1890 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1890-91 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1890-91 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1891 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Outstanding Balances.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
5,698 0 0	.....	5,698 0 0	518 0 0	5,180 0 0	9·0	
833 0 0	.....	833 0 0	.....	833 0 0	...	
609 0 0	.....	609 0 0	125 0 0	484 0 0	20·5	
6,326 0 0	.....	6,326 0 0	.....	6,326 0 0	...	
4,107 0 0	.....	4,107 0 0	.....	4,107 0 0	...	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	
10,399 0 0	.....	10,399 0 0	.....	10,399 0 0	...	
7,273 0 0	.....	7,273 0 0	.....	7,273 0 0	...	
4,385 0 0	.....	4,385 0 0	.....	4,385 0 0	...	
45 0 0	.....	45 0 0	.....	45 0 0	...	
45 0 0	.....	45 0 0	.....	45 0 0	...	
1,744 0 0	.....	1,744 0 0	.....	1,744 0 0	...	
1,536 0 0	.....	1,536 0 0	.....	1,536 0 0	...	
1,090 0 0	.....	1,090 0 0	.....	1,090 0 0	...	
1,795 0 0	.....	1,795 0 0	.....	1,795 0 0	...	
1,090 0 0	.....	1,090 0 0	.....	1,090 0 0	..	
4,556 0 0	.....	4,556 0 0	.....	4,556 0 0	...	
2,057 0 0	.....	2,057 0 0	.....	2,057 0 0	...	
2,100 0 0	.....	2,100 0 0	2,100 0 0	.....	100	
10,594 0 0	.....	10,594 0 0	.....	10,594 0 0	...	
7,723 0 0	.....	7,723 0 0	.....	7,723 0 0	...	
11,158 0 0	.....	11,158 0 0	.....	11,158 0 0	...	
5,798 0 0	.....	5,798 0 0	.....	5,798 0 0	...	
9,144 0 0	.....	9,144 0 0	.....	9,144 0 0	...	
10,424 0 0	.....	10,424 0 0	.....	10,424 0 0	...	
4,122 0 0	.....	4,122 0 0	.....	4,122 0 0	...	
7,149 0 0	.....	7,149 0 0	.....	7,149 0 0	...	
23,626 0 0	.....	23,626 0 0	.....	23,626 0 0	...	
9,505 0 0	.....	9,505 0 0	1,283 0 0	8,222 0 0	13·4	
3,30,096 9 5	5,518 5 10	3,35,614 15 3	21,259 0 0	3,14,355 15 3	6·4	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPEN

Ahmedabad Tálukdárs removed from Act VI

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	Dhandhuka Táluka.			Rs. a. p.
1	Keria...	Abhesing Mahomedmia ...	25th June 1863 ...	3,707 15 0
	Dholka Táluka.			
2	Gánol...	Merubhái Falji, &c.	7th April 1864 ...	17,650 2 8
	Viramgám Táluka.			
3	Ánandpura	Manorji Ukáji	Do. ...	3,000 0 0
	Sánand Táluka.			
4	Eyáwa	Bhimji Dosáji, &c.	Do. ...	1,127 7 6
	Dholka Táluka.			
5	Dumáli	Harbhamji Rámábhái	2nd May 1867 ...	3,030 10 1
6	Do.	Bhowsingji Ravábhái	Do. ...	1,109 11 6
7	Do.	Nágbhái Visábhái	Do. ...	1,404 15 5
	Sánand Táluka.			
8	Khod	Takhatsingji Ajubhái, &c. ...	11th February 1869...	9,898 5 4
			Total ...	40,929 3 6

DIX Ia.

of 1862, but continued under Management.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1890, as per Ledger.	Interest on loan for the year 1890-91 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1890-91 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1891 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in column 9 to Outstanding Balances.	Remarks
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
275 1 3	13 12 1	288 13 4	.....	288 13 4	...	
2,894 8 6	142 9 1	3,037 1 7	1,750 0 0	1,287 1 7	60·5	
131 4 2	3 11 8	134 15 10	135 1 6	.....	100	
664 13 10	.....	664 13 10	70 0 0	594 13 10	10·5	
478 13 10	23 12 4	502 10 2	20 0 0	482 10 2	4	
402 14 5	20 0 3	422 14 8	15 0 0	407 14 8	3·7	
381 0 2	18 13 1	399 13 3	35 0 0	364 13 3	9	
5,960 0 5	299 5 10	6,259 6 3	60 0 0	6,199 6 3	1	
11,188 8 7	522 0 4	11,710 8 11	2,085 1 6 * 0 1 8	9,625 9 1	18·5	* Deducted because overpaid.
			2,084 15 10			

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPEN

Kaira Encumbered Estates

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	A'nand Táluka.			Rs. a. p.
1	Nápád	Bháratsing Báwáji ...	18th October 1877 ...	20,657 0 9
2	Do.	Parbatsing Nánábáwa ...	8th November 1877 ...	13,671 4 11
	Borsad Táluka.			
3	Nápa	Nársingji Abhesingji, &c. ...	21st November 1877...	23,208 9 7
4	Do.	Fattesing Takhatsing, &c. ...	22nd November 1877 .	16,552 0 0
5	Do.	Haribhái Amarsing ...	Do. ...	8,727 12 0
	Anand Táluka.			
6	Nápád	Takhatsing Dádábáwa ...	20th December 1877.	4,053 4 0
	Borsad Táluka.			
7	Nápa	Bái Surajaba	17th January 1878 ...	1,911 10 0
	Kapadvanj Taluka.			
8	Alwa	Jamiet Ráju, &c.	2nd May 1878 ...	14,986 3 5
	Borsad Táluka.			
9	Nápa	Punjáji Jijibhái	16th May 1878 ...	5,133 0 0
10	Do.	Partapsing Dábhái, &c. ..	Do. ...	4,029 0 0
11	Do.	Himatsing Dádábáwa ...	Do. ...	2,294 0 0
12	Do.	Motáji Bháiba, &c. ..	Do. ...	3,138 13 7
13	Do.	Sardársing Kábhái ...	Do. ...	3,300 0 0
14	Do.	Takhatsing Banesing ...	Do. ...	440 0 0
15	Gájna	Himatsing Ráesingji ...	Do. ...	22,185 11 8
	Mátar Táluka.			
16	Wárshang	Meghábhái Kaslábhái, &c. ...	16th May 1878 ...	6,738 15 7
	Mehmadabad Táluka.			
17	Kaira	Rattansing Jijibhái ...	16th May 1878 ...	5,574 12 0
18	Kuna	Jibáwa Anopsing	Do. ...	38,434 4 0
	Borsad Táluka.			
19	Nápa	Rájbha Jibhái, &c. ...	23rd May 1878 ...	6,016 14 9
	A'nand Táluka.			
20	Nápád	Sáhebsing Karnábhái ...	13th June 1878 ...	1,938 0 0
21	Kherda	Mádhavsing Jesingji ...	Do. ...	27,015 0 3
	Borsad Táluka.			
22	Nápa	Fattesing Bápuji	13th June 1878 ...	29,374 10 10
23	Bhetasi	Banesing Sardársing ...	15th August 1878 ...	5,270 7 11
24	Dehwán	Dájibáwa Dádábhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	8,471 3 8
25	Sálol	Motábhái Sardarbhái, &c. ...	22nd August 1878 ...	4,335 0 0
26	Do.	Vajesing Lála, &c. ...	Do. ...	1,972 0 0
27	Do.	Khodbhái Kesar	Do. ...	2,609 0 0

DIX II.

under Act XXI of 1881.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1890 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1890-91 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1890-91 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1891 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Outstanding Balances.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
9,879 6 0	486 10 3	10,366 0 3	500 0 0	9,866 0 3	5	The estates are entered according to date of the application of Act.
8,892 3 2	435 13 0	9,328 0 2	600 0 0	8,728 0 2	6·7	
13,147 2 1	648 2 10	13,795 4 11	1,000 0 0	12,795 4 11	7·6	
11,592 13 1	572 4 9	12,165 1 10	800 0 0	11,365 1 10	6·9	
7,143 10 2	353 0 10	7,496 11 0	450 0 0	7,046 11 0	6·1	
2,353 10 1	114 11 3	2,468 5 4	200 0 0	2,268 5 4	8·5	
441 7 2	21 2 6	462 9 8	100 0 0	362 9 8	22·6	
5,740 5 4	257 14 1	5,998 3 5	1,715 2 9	4,283 0 8	29·8	
3,838 7 5	190 1 5	4,028 8 10	200 0 0	3,828 8 10	5·2	
1,775 5 2	86 14 11	1,862 4 1	200 0 0	1,662 4 1	11·26	
392 2 7	17 12 5	409 15 0	200 0 0	209 15 0	51	
2,141 4 7	106 1 9	2,247 6 4	125 0 0	2,122 6 4	5·8	
2,234 9 4	109 14 4	2,344 7 8	200 0 0	2,144 7 8	8·9	
312 12 0	15 7 3	328 3 3	20 0 0	308 3 3	6·4	
16,400 5 4	812 9 11	17,212 15 3	825 0 0	16,387 15 3	5	
246 5 9	11 1 9	257 7 6	257 7 6	.. ...	100	
2,139 11 11	72 15 2	2,212 11 1	300 0 0	1,912 11 1	14	
23,208 15 9	1,123 5 2	24,332 4 11	3,000 0 0	21,332 4 11	12·9	
3,476 2 4	171 0 11	3,647 3 3	300 0 0	3,347 3 3	8·6	
675 9 2	30 13 6	706 6 8	200 0 0	506 6 8	29·6	
9,307 13 5	379 8 0	9,687 5 5	9,687 5 5	.....	100	
22,019 10 5	1,091 10 5	23,111 4 10	1,100 0 0	22,011 4 10	4·9	
3,787 12 4	187 8 11	3,975 5 3	200 0 0	3,775 5 3	5·2	
5,318 8 7	254 14 7	5,573 7 2	1,200 0 0	4,373 7 2	22·5	
2,614 10 10	122 6 6	2,737 1 4	270 13 0	2,466 4 4	10·3	
120 12 11	0 0 6	120 13 5	120 13 5	.....	100	
2,345 4 0	.....	2,345 4 0	335 12 0	2,009 8 0	14·2	

APPENDIX

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	Mátar Táluka.			Rs. a. p.
28	Hariála	Nathuji Jethiji	29th August 1878 ...	3,354 9 6
	Thásra Táluka.			
29	Rania	Jesingbhái Dájibhái ...	12th September 1878 .	10,692 3 0
	Borsad Táluka.			
30	Sálol	Vakhatsing Kábhái, &c. ...	17th October 1878 ...	8,801 0 0
	A'nand Táluka.			
31	Khodwád	Sáhebsing Jiwábhái, &c. ...	17th October 1878 ...	6,870 2 6
32	Do.	Pathibhái Sardársing ...	24th do. ...	10,521 13 6
	Borsad Táluka.			
33	Ánkláv	Dábhai Báwáji, &c. ...	6th March 1879 ...	6,280 0 0
	A'nand Táluka.			
34	Nápad	Rupsing Abhesing, &c. ...	17th July 1879 ...	19,688 0 0
35	Do.	Nánábáwa Motáji... ...	11th September 1879 .	11,808 10 9
36	Ahima	Himatsing Nársingji ...	12th January 1882 ...	17,308 0 0
	Mehmadabad Táluka.			
37	Kaira	Wakhatsing Adesing ...	23rd February 1882..	13,008 0 0
	Borsad Táluka.			
38	Bhetási	Partápsing Mádhavsing ...	23rd March 1882 ...	10,051 0 0
39	Nápa	Mádhavsing Haribhái ...	11th May 1882 ...	1,960 0 0
40	Bhetási	Ráesingji Dádábáwa ...	5th October 1882 ...	7,905 0 0
	A'nand Táluka.			
41	Nápad	Gemalsing Rásábhái, &c. ...	26th October 1882 ...	3,665 0 0
	Thásra Táluka.			
42	Kot...	Himatsing Virábhái ...	15th February 1883...	6,608 0 0
43	Málwan	Husenmia Bhulanmia ...	20th September 1883 .	2,117 0 0
44	Do.	Dádámia Kamumia ...	Do. ...	845 0 0
45	Anghádi	Jabhákhán Aminkhán ...	Do. ...	1,425 0 0
46	Wádad	Amir Amthu	Do. ...	1,121 0 0
47	Anghádi Pádhia ...	Bhulankhán Umráokhán ...	Do. ...	836 0 0
48	Páli...	Káshamali Amumia, &c. ...	26th November 1883...	1,492 0 0
49	Do.	Nánumia Abadmia ...	Do. ...	1,017 0 0
			Total ...	4,30,535 2 2

II.—*continued.*

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1890 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1890-91 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1890-91 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1891 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Outstanding Balances.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
1,995 15 6	98 9 7	2,094 9 1	250 0 0	1,844 9 1	12·5	
5,493 8 8	265 12 10	5,759 5 6	900 0 0	4,859 5 6	16·3	
1,574 11 8	69 8 11	1,644 4 7	1,000 0 0	644 4 7	66	
3,437 9 7	164 8 10	3,602 2 5	500 0 0	3,102 2 5	14·5	
923 2 7	32 2 6	955 5 1	955 5 1	.....	100	
3,841 2 4	188 13 6	4,029 15 10	350 0 0	3,679 15 10	9·1	
9,902 15 8	447 7 9	10,350 7 5	1,000 0 0	9,350 7 5	10	
6,672 5 1	275 14 5	6,948 3 6	531 0 0	6,417 3 6	7·9	
713 2 8	24 14 1	738 0 9	738 0 9	.....	100	
7,710 15 2	233 6 5	7,944 5 7	320 0 0	7,624 5 7	4·1	
6,305 3 0	312 0 9	6,617 3 9	350 0 0	6,267 3 9	5·5	
805 0 0	39 12 8	844 12 8	50 0 0	794 12 8	6·2	
3,208 13 8	156 12 4	3,365 10 0	400 0 0	2,965 10 0	12·4	
2,067 0 8	98 15 4	2,166 0 0	300 0 0	1,866 0 0	14·5	
2,953 10 5	136 5 11	3,090 0 4	400 0 0	2,690 0 4	13·5	
613 14 5	29 11 4	643 9 9	100 0 0	543 9 9	15	
175 8 5	8 9 3	184 1 8	20 0 0	164 1 8	11·4	
671 9 1	33 4 0	704 13 1	60 0 0	644 13 1	8·9	
420 6 2	20 11 6	441 1 8	100 0 0	341 1 8	23·8	
375 10 2	17 15 11	393 10 1	80 0 0	313 10 1	21·3	
155 0 0	6 7 1	161 7 1	161 7 1	.....	100	
316 7 11	15 3 10	331 11 9	75 0 0	256 11 9	23·7	
2,21,880 9 9	10,350 15 8	2,32,231 9 5	32,748 3 0	1,99,483 6 5	14·75	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPEN

Broach Encumbered Estates

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
				Rs. a. p.
	Jambusar Táluka.			
1	Sárod	Ganpatsingji Himatsingji ...	13th July 1871 ...	81,405 0 0
	Vágra Táluka.			
2	Jainádra	Jitsingji Somsingji	Do. ...	19,821 0 0
	A'mod Táluka.			
3	Kolwana	Jitbhái Bháimia, &c.	28th November 1878 .	5,209 3 6
	Jambusar Táluka.			
4	Sigam	Jitsingji Bhimsingji	Do. ...	7,977 4 6
5	Mangnád	Sadáji Dáji, &c.	6th March 1879 ...	24,738 0 4
	Vágra Táluka.			
6	Khandáli	Bháibáwa Bábuji, &c.	20th May 1880 ...	41,826 8 3
	Broach Táluka.			
7	Jhánor	Khushálsingji Mohansingji ...	2nd March 1882 ...	42,175 0 0
			Total ...	2,23,152 0 7

DIX III.

under Act XXI of 1881.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1890 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1890-91 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1890-91 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1891 as per Ledger.	Percentage of Payment shown in Column 9 to Outstanding Balances.	Remarks.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
24,393 6 3	1,127 3 4	25,520 9 7	8,000 0 0	17,520 9 7	32·8	
1,382 7 1	62 6 6	1,444 13 7	1,400 0 0	44 13 7	100	
1,674 15 2	81 4 3	1,756 3 5	300 0 0	1,456 3 5	17·9	
2,180 5 0	107 1 8	2,287 6 8	150 0 0	2,137 6 8	6·9	
12,578 10 11	.....	12,578 10 11	1,677 14 6	10,900 12 5	13·3	
21,729 1 1	1,061 9 3	22,790 10 4	3,200 0 0	19,590 10 4	14·7	
24,587 0 0	.....	24,587 0 0	1,360 0 0	23,227 0 0	5·5	
88,525 13 6	2,439 9 0	90,965 6 6	16,087 14 6	74,877 8 0	18·2	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing the results of the working of the Gujarát Encumbered Estates Acts for the year 1890-91.

Zilla.	Number of Estates under the operation of the Acts on 31st July 1891.	Amount remaining to be paid to Creditors at the end of 1889-90.	Paid to Creditors during 1890-91.			Remaining to be paid to Creditors at the end of the year 1890-91.	Account of Government Advances.					Remarks.
			By Advance from Government.	By Collection from Tálukdárs.	Total.		Advances outstanding at the end of 1889-90.	Further Advances during 1890-91 and Interest accrued up to 31st July 1891.	Total Advances with Interest.	Amount repaid during the year.	Advances outstanding at the end of 1890-91.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ahmedabad—Act VI of 1862, as per Appendices I and Ia	70	47,120 *1,94,089	49,774	13,259	63,033	1,78,176	†1,00,076	55,814	1,55,890	10,085	1,45,805	
Kaira—Act XXI of 1881, as per Appendix II	49	7,668	...	989	989	6,679	†2,14,212	10,351	2,24,563	31,759	1,92,804	
Broach—Act XXI of 1881, as per Appendix III	7	37,187	...	3,037	3,037	34,150	51,338	2,439	53,777	13,050	40,727	

* Added during the year.

† The amounts are given according to the revised calculations made by the Accountant General.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX V.

Minors' Estates managed by the Tálukdári

No.	Name of Minor.	Age.	Native Place.	Section under which charge is held.	Place of Education.	Balance in hand at the end of last year.	Receipts during the year.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
						Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Dhandhuka Táluka.					AH	M E D	ABAD
1	Nathubhái Wallibhái ...	17	Gadhia ...	11	None ...	4,157	116	4,273
2	Gagubhái Bhupatsing ...	18	Kharád ...	„	None ...	428	250	678
3	Ahmedmia Pirmia ...	24	Kotda ...	„	None ...	26	20	46
4	Bákarmia Pirmia ...	17						
5	Gagubha Dipsingji ...	12	Rojka ...	„	Tálukdári Girásia School, Wadhwán.	5,741	5,320	11,061
6	Madársing Chándábhái ...	24	Akru ...	„	None ...	354	453	807
7	Agarsing Chándábhái ...	21		„	None ...			
8	Nánbha Chándábhái ...	15		„	Vernacular School, Báwaliári.			
9	Bhura Jasa	16	Nágarka ...	„	Vernacular School, Nágarka.	894	439	1,333
10	Becharsing Falji	18	Pánchi ...	„	Vernacular School, Sárod.	270	178	448
11	Najibhái Málji	21	Akru	„	None ...	19	30	49
12	Nársing Madársing ...	17		„	Vernacular School, Bháriád.	878	1,062	1,940
13	Udesing Agarsing... ..	19	Cher ...	„	None ...	341	1,868	2,209
14	Umedsing Agarsing ...	14						
15	Kanubhái Agarsing ...	11						
16	Ladhubhái Náyábhái ...	19	Aniali Bhimji	„	.....	397	187	584
17	Bápuráj Dájiráj	15	Ráupur ...	„	None ...	184	206	390
18	Chandrasing Dájiraj ...	3						
	Viramgám Táluka.							
19	Himatsing Mobatsing ...	20	Bhankora ...	„	Tálukdári Girásia School, Wadhwán.	4,045	1,733	5,778
20	Dhanáji Gobarji ...	11	Dekáwáda ...	„	None ...	286	1,323	1,609
21	Kesrising Kubersing ...	10	Tanmánia ...	„	None ...	339	857	1,196
22	Bápumia Miásaheb ...	15	Viramgám ...	„	Tálukdári Girásia School, Wadhwán.	1,689	5,348	7,037
23	Khánji Karansing ...	13	Aghar ...	„	None ...	...	1,080	1,080
24	Nádháji Karansing ...	10						
	Sánand Táluka.							
25	Oghad Mádhavsing ...	16	Kundal ...	„	Vernacular School, Adwál.	116	23	139
26	Gaghubha Ráesingji ...	13	Makhiáv ...	„	Tálukdári Girásia School, Wadhwán.	1,210	9,380	10,590
27	Fulbha Ráesingji	11						

DIX V.

Settlement Officer under Act XX of 1864.

Disbursements.			Balance in hand at the end of the year.			Value of moveable property.	Area of land under management.	Remarks.
Ordinary and other expenditure.	Payment for debt.	Total.	In Government Paper.	Invested in Landed property.	Cash in hand.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
COLLECTORATE.								
1,651	...	1,651	2,000	...	622	1,500	1,611	Unencumbered.
553	78	631	...	...	47	150	1,016	Most of the estate is given in mortgage for debt of about Rs. 6,000.
1	21	22	...	...	24	500	...	Most of the estate has been sold up by Courts.
5,364	2,300	7,664	...	...	3,397	5,337	1,880	Unencumbered.
51	300	351	...	...	456	30	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 1,563.
380	...	380	...	...	953	688	719	Unencumbered.
132	...	132	...	...	316	100	Undivided.	Do.
16	...	16	...	...	33	...	Do. ...	Debts about Rs. 652.
87	768	855	...	...	1,085	...	Do. ...	Unencumbered.
16	1,096	1,110	...	...	1,099	5	...	Debts about Rs. 7,000.
37	330	367	...	...	217	...	...	Debts about Rs. 2,721.
308	29	337	...	...	53	...	...	Debts about Rs. 946. It appears that much of the minor's land is mortgaged for debts amounting to about Rs. 15,000.
1,000	...	1,000	3,000	...	1,778	200	Undivided.	Unencumbered.
979	539	1,518	...	...	91	...	Do. ...	Debts about Rs. 1,500.
586	...	586	...	...	610	...	Do. ...	Unencumbered.
1,916	486	2,402	...	...	4,635	...	..	Do.
352	200	552	...	...	528	...	...	Debts about Rs. 4,853.
7	...	7	...	...	132	482	Undivided.	Unencumbered.
6,636	1,600	8,236	...	...	2,354	1,300	11,019	Debts Rs. 39,861.

No.	Name of Minor.	Age.	Native Place.	Section under which charge in held.	Place of Education.	Balance in hand at the end of last year.	Receipts during the year.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
						Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Dholka Táluka.					AHMED	ABAD	COLLEC
28	Ráesingji Jálamsingji ...	24	Jakhda ...	11	Vernacular School, Jakhda.	401	478	879
29	Mánábhái Vajesing ...	20						
30	Bhaijibhái Rámábhái ...	15	Wárna ...	„	Vernacular School, Alársa	87	91	178
31	Náthábhái Kanubhái ...	12	Ránesar ...	„	Vernacular School, Samarkha.	121	75	196
32	Ráesingji Tejabhái ...	15	Simaj ...	„	Vernacular School, Simaj.	1,519	1,275	2,794
33	Bhágatsing Kesrising ...	17	Dhingda ...	„	Vernacular School, Dewa.	Given	to the	minor for
	Gogha Táluka.							
34	Gagubhái Kálubhái ...	20	Báhdi ..	„	None ...	200	152	352
35	Prathiráj Khodábhái ...	17	Lákadia ...	„	None ...	10	150	160
36	Dolatsing Dudhábhái ...	14	Morchand ...	„	Vernacular School, Morchand.	38	194	232
	Prántij Táluka.							
37	Dalumia Mirsáheb ...	26	Paráutij ...	„	None ...	...	...	...
38	Nánámia Mirsáheb ...	21						
39	Chándmia Mirsáheb ...	18						
40	Kamáludin Najumia ...	11	Majra ...	„	Vernacular School, Ahmedabad.	123	81	204
	Nadiád Táluka.					KAIRA	COL	LECTOR
41	Kisordás Vághjibhái ...	10	Nadiád ...	„	Vernacular School, Nariád.	...	18,873	18,873
42	Kalidás Vághjibhái ...	8						
	A'mod Táluka.					BROAC	H COL	LECTOR
43	Chandrasingji Himatsingji	9⅔	Mátar ...	„	Tálukdári Grássia School, Wadhwán.	28,594	14,877	43,471
	Jambusar Táluka.							
54	Ráesingji Jibáwa ...	18	Sárod ...	„	Vernacular School, Sárod.	1,524	2,668	4,192
	Broach Táluka.							
45	Jitsingji Bháratsingji ...	12	Jhánor ...	„	Tálukdári Grássia School, Wadhwán.	69,964	25,503	95,467
					Total ...	1,23,955	94,290	2,18,245

V—*continued.*

Disbursements.			Balance in hand at the end of the year.			Value of moveable property.	Area of land under management.	Remarks.
Ordinary and other expenditure.	Payment for debt.	Total.	In Government Paper.	Invested in Landed property.	Cash in hand.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Acres.	
TORATE—*continued.*								
477	200	677	...	...	202	622	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 3,700.
22	....	22	...	...	156	..	15	
123	...	123	...	...	73	...	64	Unencumbered.
394	...	394	...	...	2,400	...	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 1,817.
maintenance.								
156	...	156	...	...	196	50	1,872	Unencumbered.
11	..	11	...	...	149	...	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 522.
55	64	119	...	...	113	...	...	Debts about Rs. 3,600.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Most of the land of the estate is in mortgage.
109	...	109	...	...	95	...	...	Unencumbered.
ATE.								
2,819	...	2,819	12,000	...	4,054	...	...	Do.
ATE.								
11,599	...	11,599	17,000	...	14,872	2,636	3,044	Do.
1,738	2,000	3,738	...	...	454	425	560	Debts about Rs. 11,000.
17,428	...	17,428	56,745	20,000	1,294	...	...	Unencumbered.
55,003	10,009	65,012	90,745	20,000	42,488	...		

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX VI.

Miscellaneous Estates managed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, 1890-91.

No.	Name of Owner.	Name of Estate.	Revenue for collection, 1890-91.	Cause of Attachment.
1	2	3	4	5
	Ahmedabad Collectorate.		Rs.	
1	Musámia Ahmedalli of Sháhálam	Vásna, Sársa and Isanpur	10,000	Managed by the consent of the owner.
2	Jaswatsingji Rámsingji	Lolia, $\frac{44}{100}$ Táluka Dholka	300	Attachment by consent.
3	Rájsingji Devisingji	Dumáli, $\frac{27}{100}$ Táluka Dholka... ...	493	Managed until a guardian to the minor is appointed.
4	Dipsing Arjansing	Dumáli, Táluka Dholka	150	Managed for recovering the amount due by him.
5	Ranmalsingji Bhagwatsingji	Koth and Sánand	1,33,716	Managed under section 26 of Act VI of 1888.
6	Umedsing Sangábhái	Chasiâna, Táluka Dhandhuka ...	3,972	Ditto ditto
7	Kesráji Becharji	Ságpur, Táluka Paráutij	.....	Managed under section 28 of Act VI of 1888.
8	Subámia Bápuráj	Umrála, Táluka Dhandhuka ...	4	Management continued on account of disputes between the sharers.
	Kaira Collectorate.			
9	Amirmia Geratkhan	Chándna, Táluka Mátar	2,822	Attachment imposed to secure recovery of Government debt. (See Government Resolution No. 6699 of 18th December 1880).
10	Syed Jáffaralli Nijámali, &c.	Dharoda, Táluka Mátar	5,302	Attachment (by consent of parties) under a consent decree of the Civil Court.
11	Takhatsing Sardársing	Bhánpura, Táluka Borsad	850	Managed by the consent of the owner under Gujarát Tálukdárs' Act—Government Resolution No. 5836 of 12th August 1889.
12	Fattesingji Rupsingji	Dehwan, Táluka Borsad	13,709	Managed under section 26 of Act VI of 1888.
	Broach Collectorate.			
13	Kázi Ahmedhusen Nurudinhusen	Broach	3,568	Managed by consent of the Kázi and with sanction of Government—Government Resolution No. 5488 of 18th August 1887.
14	Rámsingji Khumánsingji	Jhánor, Táluka Broach	4,839	Managed until a guardian to the minor is appointed.
15	Dájibáwa Sardársing	Ditto ditto	1,021	Managed under section 28 of Act VI of 1888.
			1,80,746	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX VII.

Government Dues from Tálukdárs for 1890-91.

Name of Táluka.	Arrears for 1889-90.	Government dues for 1890-91.	Collection.	Arrears.	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Viramgám	.....	39,520 13 7	39,520 13 7	.....	
Sánand	3,046 0 0	44,840 2 1	45,851 2 1	2,035 0 0	Rs. 2,035 are on account of Hirápur, which is recovered by annual instalments under the orders of Government.
Dholka	.....	82,294 14 4	82,294 14 4	.....	
Dhandhuka	2,470 0 0	1,85,140 13 9	1,78,603 13 4	9,007 0 5	Arrears are due to bad season.
Gogha	244 11 3	28,070 5 5	28,315 0 0	.....	
Total ...	5,760 11 3	3,79,867 1 2	3,74,585 12 0	11,042 0 5	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX VIII.

Estates under Attachment for Revenue Default, 1890-91.

Number.	Name of Estate.	Revenue for Collection.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4
		Rs.	
	Sánand Táluka.		
1	Chekhla Rámpura— Rámsingji Dewáji	3,846	Section 144 of Act V of 1879.
2	Godhávi— Motibhái Dungarji	3,417	Ditto.
3	Garodia— Cheláji Rawáji, &c.	1,232	Ditto
	Dhandhuka Táluka.		
4	Khas— Ráning Sánga, &c.	10,181	Ditto.
5	Adwál— Rupsing Verabhái	5,824	Ditto.
6	Návda— Sardársing Hámábhái and Kála Hira.	2,870	Ditto.
7	A'kru— Grássia's share	2,325	Ditto.
8	Kotda— Parsotam Valloo	320	Ditto.
	Dholka Táluka.		
9	Gangad— Heir of Thákor Gagubha Abhesingji.	43,900	Ditto.
10	Jasubha's Jivai	2,504	Ditto.
11	Dholi, Raipur Wánta— Wásna Tálukdárs	1,286	Ditto.
12	Chandisar Wanto— Malubhái Haribhái, &c.	1,446	Ditto.
		79,151	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX IX.

PART I.

Estates managed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer under Section 320, Civil Procedure Code, in lieu of sale during 1890-91.

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1890-91.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka.	Rs.	
	Aniáli, Bhimji—		
1	Jalamsing Kaslábhái, &c.	229	1 warrant.
2	Rukhadbhái Visábhái, &c.	35	1 do.
3	Káyabhái Kaslábhái	275	1 do.
4	Bái Pámba, &c.	233	1 do.
5	Ladhubái Náyábhái	Shown in Appendix V.	2 warrants.
6	Udesing Ajubhái, &c.	190	1 warrant.
7	Nágbhái and Umedsing Khodábhái...	.....	1 do.
8	Nársingji Abhesingji...	157	4 warrants.
9	Rattansing Rasabhái...	185	1 warrant.
	Jhinjhar—		
10	Oghadbhái Jasábhái, &c.	123	1 do.
	Bahdi—		
11	Akhábhái Lákhábhái, &c.	125	1 do.
	Kothadia, &c.—		
12	Kaslábhái Baneshing...	52	2 warrants.
13	Atábhái Jasábhái	25	1 warrant.
14	Kásiábhái Meghábhái	50	1 do.
15	Ladhubhái Máwsing, &c.	.....	1 do.
16	Nathubhái Meghábhái	60	1 do.
17	Dájibhái Málubhái	36	1 do.
18	Govindshing Meghábhái	10	1 do.
19	Ladhubha Mávsing, a minor, by his guardian Bái Fáljiba	52	1 do.
	Kharad, &c.—		
20	Bápji Kesábhái	150	1 do.
21	Khodábhái Rághábhái	125	1 do.
22	Bhagwansing Falabhái, &c.	200	1 do.
23	Noghábhái Pathábhái, a minor, by his guardian Surajram Bhukhanji ...	125	2 warrants.
	Pánchi—		
24	Mánábhái Kesábhái, &c.	160	1 warrant.
25	Nársingji Gopálsingji	79	1 do.
	Jaska—		
26	Dájibhái Abhesingji	75	1 do.
27	Bái Devba, &c.	71	1 do.
	Akru, &c.—		
28	Gagubhái Sámatsing...	485	1 do.
29	Rámábhái Haribhái, &c.	.....	1 do.
30	Nájibhái Málji, &c.	Shown in Appendix V.	1 do.
31	Shivsingji Wághábhái	.....	1 do.
	Timla, &c.—		
32	Agarsing Chándábhái, &c.	Shown in Appendix V.	2 warrants.
33	Motibhái Mádhavsing, a minor, by guardian his mother Bái Bonji ...	9	1 do.
34	Shivsingji Wághábhái	15	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART I—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1890-91.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka—continued.	Rs.	
	Báwliári, &c.—		
35	Juwánsing Mepji	.....	2 warrants.
36	Bhagatsing Hálábhái	453	1 warrant.
37	Rupsingji Falji	45	1 do.
38	Harbhamji Atabhai	90	1 do.
39	Mulubhái Banesing	144	1 do.
40	Mánubhái Merubhái	301	1 do.
41	Sájbhá Gágábhái	.....	1 do.
42	Sámatsing Hathibhái...	80	1 do.
43	Chagan Hámisji and Hathibhái Gagábhái	50	1 do.
44	Amarsing Bhojji	.....	1 do.
	Cher—		
45	Wakhátsing Rásábhái	65	1 do.
	Bhadiád and Khamidana—		
46	Rámsingji Nársingji, &c.	Shown in Appendix V.	1 do.
47	Mánábhái Ajabhái, &c.	.....	2 warrants.
48	Jethibhái Alubhái	.....	1 warrant
49	Lakhabhái Bhimji, &c.	.....	1 do.
50	Kásalsing Nánjibhái	201	1 do.
	Unchadi—		
51	Bái Hirába	1,911	2 warrants.
	Rojka—		
52	Nágbhái Háthibhái	275	1 warrant.
53	Shivubhá Vajesing, &c.	150	8 warrants.
	Ankewália—		
54	Narsingji Arjansingji	1,314	1 warrant
	Kádipur—		
55	Bhawabhái Dálábhái...	40	1 do.
56	Kesrising Banesing, &c.	475	2 warrants.
57	Amarsing Adábhái, &c.	12	1 warrant.
58	Rawábhái Jiwábhái, &c.	45	1 do.
59	Mánábhái Sámatsing, &c.	.....	1 do.
	Fedra—		
60	Wakhatsing Khodábhái, &c. ...	24	1 do.
61	Vissabhái Lakhábhái, &c.	35	1 do.
62	Náránsing Lakhábhái, &c.	6	1 do.
	Adval—		
63	Ranchodji Bhupatsing, &c.	70	1 warrant.
64	Devising Asábhái, &c.	315	1 do.
	Wawdi Moti—		
65	Wádá Ráthod, &c.	.....	1 do.
66	Ráwat Ráthod, &c.	150	1 do.
67	Desa Devit	20	1 do.
68	Jethá Mánchа	50	1 do.
	Náháni Wavdi—		
69	Bái Náthi and Mokbái	70	1 do.
	Gunda—		
70	Uga Raning, &c.	12	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART I—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1890-91.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka—continued.	Rs.	
	Nádálá—		
71	Nathoo Lakhman	40	1 warrant.
72	Sámat Hamir...	47	1 do.
73	Champa Oghad	114	1 do.
	Noli—		
74	Unad Hamir	179	1 do.
75	Desa Odha, &c.	197	1 do.
	Nagarka—		
76	Lunvir Aliya	150	1 do.
77	Silar Desa	125	1 do.
	Khas Jalila and Sundriana—		
78	Desa Gagá, &c.	See under Jama.	1 do.
79	Raning Uga, &c.		1 do.
80	Nathu Dosa, &c.		1 do.
81	Bái Sumribái		1 do.
82	Champa Mansur		1 do.
83	Raning Sanga...		1 do.
84	Waskur Santa, &c.		1 do.
85	Nathu Sadul		2 warrants.
86	Nathu Vela		1 warrant.
87	Amra Wasta		1 do.
88	Jetsur Kumpa...		1 do.
89	Bái Rathodbái		1 do.
90	Raning Rawat		1 do.
91	Jasa and Champa Devit	77	1 do.
92	Meram Harsur and Samat Harsur ...	See under Jama.	1 do.
	Chandarva—		
93	Moka Surang, &c.	70	2 warrants.
	Vejalka—		
94	Devit Ghela	170	1 warrant.
95	Desa Merám	29	1 do.
96	Samat Manasia	101	1 do.
97	Moka Jiva, a minor, by his guardian Devit Ghela	170	2 warrants.
	Chácharia—		
98	Dewa Wágha	8	1 warrant.
	Khámbda—		
99	Aliya Ukarda...	20	1 do.
	Godháwáta—		
100	Rupála Bhoja, &c.	38	1 do.
101	Ratna Bogha	18	1 do.
102	Adabhái Raemál	.....	1 do.
103	Chágan Raemál	.....	1 do.
	Cháranki—		
104	Bai Sitbhai and Motiba, widows of Mavalji Bapji	.....	1 do.
	Dhandhuka, Wásna, &c.—		
105	Mahomadmia Pirmia	10	1 do.
106	Mirasáheb Badámia	53	1 do.
107	Mamdumia Fattebhái	46	3 warrants.
	Dharpipla, Hansalpur, &c.—		
108	Bápuráj Lákhábhái	3360	4 warrants.
109	Báwásáheb Ájambhái	1,100	2 do.
110	Bápubha, &c., heir of Dájiráj Ájambhái...	Shown in Appendix V.	1 warrant.
	Khojápura and Ránpur—		
111	Rájebhái Máwábhái	137	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART I—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1890-91.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka—concluded.	Rs.	
	Galsána, Keria and Kinárá—		
112	Málubhái Badámia, &c.	.....	1 warrant.
113	Fattubha Gágjibhái	.....	1 do.
114	Abhesing Warsábhái, &c.	.....	2 warrants.
115	Rawábhái Dosubhái, &c.	.....	2 do.
116	Harising Jijibhái	.....	1 warrant.
117	Punju Rájbhái	34	1 do.
118	Kesrising Jiwábhái	.....	1 do.
119	Ajábhái Gulábbhái, &c.	.....	1 do.
120	Punjbha Madarsing, &c.	.....	1 do.
121	Desubha Motibhái	.....	1 do.
122	Bhupatsing Jijibhái	.....	1 do.
123	Hanubhái Motibhái	.....	1 do.
	Derdi, Umrála, &c.—		
124	Bápuráj Lákhábhái	3,350	1 do.
	Devalia Rájpura—		
125	Rájebhái Máwábhái, &c.	.....	2 warrants.
126	Kaslábhái Navrangji	60	1 warrant.
	Dholka Táluka.		
	Simaj—		
127	Madársing Jálamsing, &c.	120	1 do.
	Gángad, &c.—		
128	Heir of Gagubha Abhesing	See under Jama.	1 do.
	Jákhda, Lilápur—		
129	Káyábhái Narsingji, &c.	.....	2 warrants.
	Wasna Kelia—		
130	Abhesing Khodábhái	366	2 do.
	Viramgám Táluka.		
	Gunjála—		
131	Lálji Mádhuji...	33	1 warrant.
132	Lálji Mádhuji, &c.		1 do.
	Aghár, Chanothia, &c.—		
133	Sádáji Kassuji, &c.	6,474	9 warrants.
134	Khánji Karansing, &c.	Shown in Appendix V.	2 do.
	Dángarwa—		
135	Rupáji Kumpáji, &c.	411	1 warrant.
	Jetápur—		
136	Sulemánmia Gulámhusenmia ...	1,000	1 do.
	Rájpura—		
137	Jiváji Galdharji, &c.	173	1 do.
	Dekawada, &c.—		
138	Khodaji Abhaji, &c.	Included in Appendix V.	1 do.
	Hathipura, &c.—		
139	Kesrising Gulabsing, &c. ...	1,333	1 do.
	Bhankoda, &c.—		
140	Becharji Rathansing, &c. ...	1,436	1 do.
	Vithlapur—		
141	Becharji Andaji, &c.	205	1 do.
142	Kesarji Rawaji, &c.	463	1 do.
	Bamroli and Kukwav—		
143	Rawaji Kumpaji, &c.	381	1 do.
144	Dhirtaji Pabaji, &c.	258	1 do.

APPENDIX—IX—*continued.*

PART I—*concluded.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1890-91.	REMARKS.
		Rs.	
	Madrisana—		
145	Rupsing Bhowsing, &c. ...	.....	1 warrant.
146	Rupsingji Umaji	659	1 do.
	Chaniar, &c.—		
147	Amarsing Punjaji, &c.	303	1 do.
148	Jodhaji Jethaji Danaji, &c. ...	1,378	1 do.
149	Hiraji Karsanji	1,382	1 do.
	Karanpura Nana—		
150	Mavsingji Adaji, &c.	363	1 do.
	Panar—		
151	Samatsingji Jesalsingji	2,022	2 warrants.
152	Bai Pranbai	141	1 warrant.
	Ranti Moti—		
153	Pratheraj Shivsingji, &c. ...	179	1 do.
	Gogha Táluka.		
	Nawagam Nana—		
154	Gagabhai Jethibhai	12	1 do.
155	Fattesing Jebhai	10	1 do.
156	Abhesing Alubhai, &c.	25	1 do.
157	Lakhabhai Motibhai	21	1 do.
158	Wakabhai Desalbhai	40	1 do.
159	Amarsing Bhupatsing	7	1 do.
160	Hanubhai Bawaji	10	1 do.
161	Madarsing Mokabhai	5	1 do.
162	Abhesing Alubhai	.....	1 do.
163	Visabhai Jibhai	10	1 do.
164	Ramsing Varsabhai	11	1 do.
165	Bapubhai Hadabhai	8	1 do.
	Morchand—		
166	Desalsing Mulubhai	21	1 do.
167	Dolutsing Dudabhai, a minor, by his guardian Umedba and Moghiba ..	Shown in Appendix V.	3 warrants.
	Lakadia—		
168	Prathiraj Khodabhai	do. ...	1 warrant.
169	Jaswatsing Varsabhai	130	1 do.
170	Madarsing Jethibhai	200	1 do.
171	Kasiabhai Khatabhai, &c. ...	150	1 do.
	Khokhra Mota and Nana—		
172	Bawaji Monji	425	1 do.
	Kukad and Goriali—		
173	Hazabhai Atabhai	100	1 do.
174	Nagbhai, &c., heirs of Vagjibhai Hematsing	.....	1 do.
	Awania, &c.—		
175	Amarsing Jiwabhai, &c. ...	71	1 do.
	Bhankhel—		
176	Agarsing Mulubhai	10	1 do.

H. O. QUIN,

Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX IX.

PART II.

Estates under processes of sale received under Section 320, Civil Procedure Code, by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer during 1890-91.

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka.		
	Kotda—	A. g.	
*1	Bu Nathibu, daughter of Lálmia Hanifmia	264 39 of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
2	Ahmadmia Pirmia and others...	23 11 do. ...	1 warrant.
	Dhandhuka—		
3	Ahmadmia Pirmia and others...	5 houses ...	1 do.
		A. g.	
4	Sayad Miasáheb Badamia and others.	130 4 of land ...	1 do.
	Báwaliári—		
5	Wakabhái Wághabhái's heir Hematsang Wakabhái and others.	2 dokdas' share in the village.	1 do.
6	Ránmalji Rawabhái ...	4 dokdas' share in the village.	1 do.
7	Udesang Agarsang ...	Do. do. ...	1 do.
		A. g.	
8	Samatsang Hathibhái ...	10 0 of land ...	1 do.
		2 houses	1 do.
	Nabhoi—	D. a. r.	
9	Falji Sujabhái	7 2 3 in 42½ dokdas' share in Nabhoi.	1 do.
		A. g.	
10	Sartanji Alubhái and others ...	⅕th share of 144 4 of land.	1 do.
11	Samatsang Hathibhái ...	⅛th share of 239 22 of land.	1 do.
12	Ránmalji Rawabhái ...	½ share of 215 4 & 8 dokdas share of 8,351-13 of land.	1 do.
		A. g.	
13	Takhatsang Agarsang & others.	¼th share of 34 6 of land.	1 do.
14	Chudasama Jiwabhái Báwáji ...	1¼ dokda share in the villages of Nabhoi and Akru.	1 do.
		A. g.	
15	Amarsang Bhojajibhái and others.	378 14 of land ...	1 do.
	Pipal—		
16	Wakhatsang Moghabhái and others.	2 houses and 55 bighás of land.	1 do.
17	Sujabhái Sujabhái and others ...	41 bighás of land ...	1 do.
		61 ditto ...	1 do.
		D. a. r.	
18	Wakhatsang Moghabhái and Parbhatsang Moghabhai.	4 2 8 share in the ¼th share of 4,800 bighás.	1 warrant returned to Court.
19	Mulubhái Sajabhái and others...	42 bighás of land ...	1 warrant.
20	Hothibhái Bhojajibhái and others.	18 do. ...	1 do.
21	Wakhatsang Moghabhái ...	12 do. ...	1 do.
	Bela—	A. g.	
22	Ghadwi Bhema Dosa ...	1/12th share of 99 19 of land.	1 do.
23	Jiva Alu and others ...	Ditto ...	2 warrants.
24	Rupala Bhoja and another ...	70 bighás of land ...	1 warrant.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART II—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka—continued.		
	Chandarwa—		
25	Rawat Khedu and others ...	115 bighás of land with right in a well.	1 warrant.
	Tagdi—	A. g.	
*26	Patabhái Rasabhái and others .	5 30 of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
27	Hathibhái Rasabhái ...	112 21 of land ...	1 warrant.
	Kothadia—		
28	Govindsáng Meghabhái ...	18 24 and 2¾th share in 375 bighás of land in Bhalgamda.	1 do.
	Do. ..	12 1 of land ...	1 do.
29	Pathubha Falji ...	22 28 of land ...	1 do.
30	Kaslabhái Banesang ...	3 26½ of land ...	1 do.
31	Dewaji Halubhái and others ...	23 30½ and 4 dokdas' share in 147 29 in Bhalgamda.	
	Khas—		
32	Raning Sanga	4 pies share in the village.	1 do.
		A. g.	
33	Khachar Rukhad Rám ...	⅓rd share of 98 6 of land.	1 do.
34	Raning Uga	2¾ pies share in the village.	1 do.
	Rojka—		
35	Chudasama Sivubha Vajesang and others.	Guhban, measuring 52 gajs from east to west and 30 gajs from north to south.	1 do.
	Gher—	A. g.	
36	Chudasama Udesang Agarsang Hanubhái.	193 36 of land ...	2 warrants.
	Badi—		
37	Chudasama Madhavsang Akhabhái and others.	½ share in 50 bighás of land.	1 warrant.
	Jijhar—	A. g.	
38	Chudasama Gawansang Gopalji and others.	20 18 of land	1 warrant returned to Court.
39	Chudasama Maghabhái Kayabhái.	2 acres of land ...	1 do. do.
		A. g.	
40	Chudasama Akhabhai Vajesang and others.	5 4 of land	1 warrant.
41	,, Merubhái Patabhái.	7 24½ of land	1 do.
42	,, Mogbabhái Kayabhái and others.	11 32 and 8 bighás of land.	1 do.
	Godhavata—		
43	Ghadvi Rupla Bhoja and Rawa Bhoja. ...	70 bighás of land ...	1 do.
	Charanki—		
44	Ghadvi Pachaubhái Fulbhái ...	40 bighás of land and right to two 'kos' in a well.	1 warrant returned to Court.
45	Ghadvi Sayarbhái Khodabhái and others.	1 house	1 warrant.
	Kadipar—		
46	Chudasama Jesibhái Dalabhái .	2 dokdas' share in the village.	1 do.
47	Anandsing Khatabhái ...	Ditto ...	1 do.
		A. g.	
	Ditto ...	23 38 of land ...	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART II—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
	Dhandhuka Táluka—continued.		
	Dhollera—		
48	Chudasama Umedsang Desabhái.	22 bighás of land ...	1 warrant.
	Bhadiad—		
49	Chudasama Manubhai Ájabhái and others.	2½ shares out of 3½ shares in 120 acres and 11 gunthás of land.	1 do.
	Akru—		
50	Chudasama Jivabhái Bawaji ...	1 house...	1 do.
		D. b. v.	
51	Ranmalji Rawabhái ...	1 6 10½ share in the village.	1 do.
52	Jalamsang Bhavsang ...	2 houses	1 do.
	Nagadka—	A. g.	
53	Khachar Oghad Dada ...	113 20 of land ...	1 do.
	Ditto ...	2 houses	1 do.
	Nadala—	A. g.	
54	Khachar Unád Joga and others.	1,101 34 of land ...	1 do.
55	Ráma Bhana	1 house	1 warrant returned to Court
	Jalila—		
56	Khachar Raning *alias* Giga Rawat.	1 house and open ground measuring 12 cubits.	1 warrant.
57	Raning Rawat	40 bighás of land in the village of Jalila, and 1½ pies share in the village of Khás	1 do.
58	Natha Deha and others ...	12 bighás of land ...	1 do.
	Sundariana—		
59	Khachar Raning Sanga ...	11 bighás of land ...	1 do.
		D. b. v.	
60	Khachar Jaita Sanga ...	8 5 4 share in ¼th income of 1,100 bighás of land.	1 do.
	Vejalka—		
61	Khachar Ravat Manshir ...	30 bighás of land ...	1 do.
62	Desa Meram	100 bighás of land and ½ share in a well.	1 do.
	Sarwal—		
63	Jhala Partapsing Bhavabhái and others.	10 bighás of land and 2 houses.	1 do.
	Ránpur—		
64	Malek Bápuji Jivabhái ...	1 shop	1 do.
65	Bai Sardarba and others, heirs of Bai Gulabba, widow of Vajabhái Bhaimia.	1 story	1 warrant returned to Court.
66	Malek Bapabhái Lakhabhái ...	Gabhan land	1 do. do.
	Rájpara—	A. g.	
67	Malek Rájebhái Mavabhái and others.	71 8 of land	1 do. do.
68	Bapabhái Lakhabhái and others.	99 1 of land	1 warrant.
	Galsana—		
69	Malek Punjbha Madarsing and others.	17 2½ of land	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART II—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	Remarks.
	Dhandhuka Táluka—continued.		
	Galsana—*continued.*	A. g.	
70	Gagubha Aluji ...	⅛th share in 792 36 of land.	1 warrant.
71	Abhesang Varsaji and others...	A. g. ⅓rd share in 166 11 of land.	1 do.
	Devalia—	A. g.	
72	Malek Rájebhái Mawabhái ...	20 31 of land	1 do.
73	Bapabha Lakhabhái and others.	52 26 of land	1 do.
	Ditto ...	65 12 do.	1 do.
74	Bajibhái Mavabhái and others .	22 35 of land	1 warrant returned to Court.
	Piparia—	A. g.	
75	Sayad Imamali Husenmia and others.	⅛th share in 2,210 31 of land.	1 warrant.
	Viramgám Táluka.		
	Bhankoda and other villages—		
76	Thákor Hiráji Dolátsing and others.	⅛th share in the undermentioned villages: Bhankoda, Rámpora, Kánj, Daslana, Kointia, Kantroli, Gatisána, Chuninapara, Entra, Kanpara, Balsasan (half), Dangarwa (half) and Kadwasan (half).	2 warrants.
77	Hiráji Dolátsingji ...	1½ dokdas' share out of 25 dokdas' share in the villages named above.	1 warrant.
	Kadwasan—		
78	Thákor Badsangji Dosaji and others.	16¼ dokdas' share out of 50 dokras' share in half the village.	1 do.
	Dadhana—		
79	Thákor Kanaji Sagaji ...	⅓rd of the village lands .	1 do.
80	Thákor Waghaji Lakhaji and others.	12 bighás of land ...	1 do.
	Ditto ...	12½ do. ...	1 do.
	Abasana—		
81	Thákor Sujaji Anaji and others.	10 dokdas' share in the village.	1 warrant returned to Court.
	Bhagapara, Shihor, Balsasan and Galdharpara—		
82	Thákor Himatsing Kesrising ...	Bhagapara with Dangarwa, half of Shihor, 25 dokdas' share in Balsasan and half of Galdharpara.	1 warrant.
83	Thákor Amarsing Kesrising ...	D. b. 33¼ 1 share in the village of Bhagapara with Dangarwa. 16¾ dokdas' share in Shihor, 16¼ dokdas' share Goldharwa & 8¼ dokras' share in Balsasan.	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*

PART II—*continued.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
	Viramgám Táluka—continued.		
	Bhoyani—		
84	Thákors Banesang Kanaji and Kanthaji Kalaji.	1 out of 5¼ shares in the village.	1 warrant.
	Shihor—		
85	Thákor Kanaji Nathaji ...	38 bighás of land ...	1 do.
	Bátai—		
86	Thákor Jodhaji Pragji and others.	The whole village ...	1 do.
	Aghar and Chanothia—		
87	Thákor Mahasang Amorsang and others.	25 dokdas' share in the village.	1 do.
88	Thákor Sadaji Kasuji and Vajesang Malaji.	5½ out of 6½ shares in Aghar.	2 warrants.
	Ditto ...	The whole of the village of Chanothia and 3,190 acres 14 gunthás of land in Aghar.	1 warrant.
	Chhania—		
89	Thákor Sujaji Chandaji ...	20 bighás of land ...	1 do.
	Chhaniar and other villages—		
90	Thákors Kanaji and Jesalsang Karsanji.	20 dokdas' share in Chhaniar, 40 dokdas' share in Ughroj and 10 dokdas' share in Balsasan.	2 warrants.
91	Ditto and Sujaji Chandaji ...	Do. ...	1 warrant.
92	Sujaji Chandaji and others ...	26½ dokdas' share in Ughroj, 26½ dokdas' share in half of Chhaniar and 26½ dokdas' share in ¼th of Balsasan.	1 warrant returned to Court.
93	Thákor Gamansang Abhesang and Bhupatsang Bhathiji.	20 dokdas' share in Chhaniar, 40 dokras' share in Ughroj and 10 dokdas' share in Balsasan.	2 warrants.
	Sánand Táluka.		
	Kaneti—		
94	Vaghela Nayabhai Jasabhai and others.	8 bighás of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
	Chekhla—		
95	Vaghela Hathibhái Rawaji and others.	15 bighás of land ...	1 warrant.
	Gogha Táluka.		
	Nana Khokhra—	A. g.	
96	Vachhani Rámsang Jethibhá ...	62 3 of land ...	1 warrant.
97	Vachhani Rawábhái Pathábhái.	Beligala Hill ...	1 do.
	Válukad—		
98	Barot Fatesang Gobarbhái and others.	19 bighás of land and Gabhan land measuring 25 gajs.	1 warrant returned to Court.
99	Láthia Gagabhái Nathubhái ...	1 house	1 warrant.

APPENDIX IX—*concluded.*

PART II—*concluded.*

Number.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	Remarks.
	Gogha Táluka—continued.		
100	Kamlej— Rámábhái Máljibhái ...	16 mango trees, 1 Purple apple tree, ¼ share in 4 gunda trees.	1 warrant.
101	Malpar— Khátábhái Ghelábhái and others.	20 bighás of garden land with right in a well and a field measuring 16 bighás.	1 do.
102	Morchand— Hamábhái Kalábhái ...	A. g. ⅛th share of 79 7 of land.	1 do.
103	Sanodar— Madhavsang Ajabhái ...	1 Saragawawali Hill, 2 Sarwania Hill.	1 do.
104	Odarka— Goghári Hathibhái Jalamsang and others.	29 bighás of land, 12 mango trees and 1 well.	1 do.
105	Sampára— Vachhani Kulbha Patháhbái ...	7 bighás of garden land with right in a well.	1 do.
106	Khambha— Govindáni Devising Dosábhái...	½ share in a 'bid' measuring 348 acres 4 gunthás.	1 do.
107	Bhekda— Láthia Takhatsang Bhávsang and others.	A. g. 84 14 of land, 4 acres 4 gunthás garden with ¼th share in 22 mango trees and 1 gunda tree, 2 houses and ⅛th share out of the 30th part of the surplus income of the village.	1 do.
108	Padwa— Dadábhái Gajábhái ...	Garden land measuring 5 bighás with right in the new well therein.	1 do.
109	Kanad— Bawáji Khánjibhái ...	Cotton crop grown in 5 bighás, chillies grown in 5 bighás, jowári and bájri crop grown in 40 bighás.	1 do.
110	Malekvádor— Madársang Togábhái and others.	45 bighás of land ...	1 do.
			124 warrants. 15 returned to Court.
			109

* Property was sold only in the two cases marked *.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX X.

Estates managed under Section 504, Civil Procedure Code, the Tálukdári Settlement Officer acting for the Collector as Receiver, 1890-91.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection 1890-91.	Remarks.
	Viramgám Táluka.	Rs.	
	Madrisana—		
1	Gobarsing Anopsingji, &c.	659	1 warrant.
	Bhankoda—		
2	Adesing Banesing	1,432	1 do.
	Aghar, Chanothia—		
3	Sadáji Kassuji, &c.	.....	1 do.
	Dhandhuka Táluka.		
	Khas—		
4	Desa Gaga, &c.	Shown in Appendix VIII.	1 do.
	Chokdi Ankewália—		
5	Hasanmia Badamia, &c.	1,500	2 warrants.
	Sháhpur—		
6	Gajrájgar Ishwargar	1,597	1 warrant.
	Parántij Táluka.		
	Majra—		
7	Girdhárlal Ghelábhai...	210	1 warrant returned to Court.
	Kaira Collectorate.		
	Borsad Táluka.		
	Dehwán and Gorva—		
8	Fattesingji Rupsingji...	Shown in Appendix VI.	1 warrant.
			9 warrants.
			1 returned to Court.
		5,398	8

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX XI.

List of Civil Suits conducted by or on behalf of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
		SUITS PENDING AT THE CLOSE OF LAST YEAR.		
		AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE.		
1	Máhárána Shri Jaswatsing Fattesingji of Limbdi.	The Secretary of State for India.	To establish that no more jama can be levied on the portion of the village of Akru which was given to the Grássias of the village, as the jama is included in his permanently settled lump sum, and to recover back the amount already paid for Samvat 1941 and 1942.	Decided in favour of the plaintiff, and three months allowed for satisfaction of decree by the District Court on retrial.
2	Do. do.	Do. do.	Do. do. for Samvat 1943.	Do. do.
3	Punja Ladha, deceased, by his heirs Jiwan and Kuwarji Punja	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the estate of the minor Narsing Madársing of Akru.	To recover money due on a bond...	The lower Court rejected the claim. The plaintiff filed an appeal in the High Court, which on the 4th August 1890 passed a decree for Rs. 700 in favour of the plaintiff in satisfaction of all claims as settled between the parties.
4	Jiwandás Anandji of Gogha ..	The Secretary of State for India.	To establish that no more jama can be levied from him than what may be due on the land purchased by him and actually came into his possession and to recover back the excess levied from him.	The lower Courts rejected the plaintiff's claim. The plaintiff has filed an appeal in the High Court. It is pending. Government Resolution No. 4124 of 13th June 1890.
5	Mohan Karsan and others of Bávla.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the Gangad Estate.	To recover back the amount levied on account of Local Fund cess on the lands in their cultivation which they claim to hold at a fixed rental.	Decided in favour of the plaintiff by the Assistant Judge. An appeal has been preferred to the High Court. Government Resolution No. 1593, dated 2nd March 1891.
6	Grássias of Akru...	The Secretary of State for India in Council. The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of three minors' estates.	To establish that their share of Akru is not liable to payment of jama and to recover the jama for Samvat 1944 collected by attachment.	Decided in favour of the plaintiff and three months allowed for the satisfaction of the decree by the District Court.
7	Noghábhai Khodábhai and others of Dholera.	1 Jethibhai Sawábhai. 2 Madarsing Haribhai. 3 The Collector of Ahmedabad.	To obtain possession of certain lands of Sángásar alleged to have been in mortgage with them.	The Collector's name has been removed from defendants and the plaintiff referred to the lower Court by the Assistant Judge. Government Resolution No. 7967 of 21st October 1889.
8	Hothiji Bápusáheb of Ránpur ...	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the estate of the minor Subámia Bápusaheb, Alambhai Badámia and others of Ránpur.	To obtain share in the property of his father.	Rejected by the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
9	Makwána Prág Aja of Wárna ...	The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as managar of the estate of Latifkhan Sardarmahomed.	To establish title that no salámi can be levied for the vegetable grown in the site belonging to him in the village.	Suit dismissed by the District Judge of Ahmedabad. Prág Aja has appealed to the High Court. The appeal is pending. Government Resolution No. 814 of 30th January 1891.
		KAIRA COLLECTORATE.		
10	Dámoder Lálbhai of Nadiád ...	Thakore Madhavsing Kesrising. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer	To recover rent of certain land and houses in Bhetási which the plaintiff alleges to be his property.	The High Court remanded the case to the Joint Judge for retrial and the Joint Judge rejected the claim with costs. Government Resolution No. 1909 of 28th March 1887.

APPENDIX XI—*continued.*

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
		KAIRA COLLECTORATE—*continued.*		
11	Sanábhái Sidhar of Dabhán ...	The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the estate of Wakhatsing Adesing and Rátansing Jijibhai of Kaira.	To establish that no more can be levied from him than the amount mentioned in the lease of the Wánta of Dabhán which is alleged to be a permanent one, and to recover the excess levied from him.	The claim was rejected by the Assistant Judge. The plaintiff appealed to the District Judge, who also rejected the appeal. He has now appealed to the High Court.
12	Dádábhai Narotam, heir of Gala Govind of Dabhán.	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
13	Khusál Gala of Dabhán	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
14	Bhikha Vithal of Dabhán ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
15	Sana Aju of Dabhán	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
16	Haribhai Rattanji of Dabhán ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
17	Girdhar Shivábhái, heir of Shivabhai Warajbhai of Dabhán.	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	The claim was rejected by the Assistant Judge. The plaintiff appealed to the District Judge, who also rejected the claim.
18	Shanker Báji, deceased, his heir Bhula Mulji, his friend Lallu Mathur and Lallu Mathur himself of Dabhán.	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
19	Mádhavsing Báwáji of Nápád ...	The Talukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the estate of Bharatsing Báwáji.	To obtain certain land and houses from the estate which he alleges he is entitled to get as brother of the Thákore.	The claim has been rejected with costs by the District Judge, Ahmedabad. The plaintiff has appealed to the High Court.
20	Gosái Pitámargar Hansgar of Nápád.	1. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the estates of Nápád. 2. Nánábáva Motaji. 3. Rupsing Abhesing and Gambhirsing Abhesing.	To establish title to certain land in Nápád which the plaintiff alleges to have been purchased by his ancestors and to recover mesne profits for three years.	The claim has been rejected with costs by the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
		BROACH COLLECTORATE.		
21	Sardársing Himatsing of Sárod...	Ganpatsingji Himatsingji. The manager of the Sárod estate.	To establish that he is entitled to the gádi of the Sárod estate or an equal share therein.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., decided the suit againt the plaintiff. The plaintiff has appealed to the High Court against this decision. The appeal is pending. Government Resolution No. 1259, dated the 16th February 1891.
22	Thákore Ráesingji Jaswatsingji, minor, by his guardian and mother Rájuba of Sigam.	The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the estate of Jitsingji of Sigam.	To recover back rent of a field and house levied from the plaintiff, who alleges that he being the owner of the property no levy can be made.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., rejected the plaintiff's claim with costs. Against this decision he has appealed to the High Court, and the appeal is pending. Government Resolution No. 2948, dated 29th April 1891.
23	Rámsingbáwa Khumánsing. minor, by his guardian and mother Bái Gumánba.	1. Khushálsingji Mohansingji of Jhánor. 2. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer.	To establish that plaintiff is entitled to a Toda-girás hak which Government have ordered to be entered in the name of the defendant No. 1.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., rejected the plaintiff's claim with costs.

APPENDIX XI—*continued.*

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
		BROACH COLLECTORATE—*continued.*		
24	Bái Rattanba, widow of Rámsingji Bhagwánsingji of Jhánor.	The Collector of Broach as administrator of the estate of Jitsingji Bharatsingji of Jhánor.	To obtain maintenance allowance from the estate.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., rejected the plaintiff's claim with costs.
		NEW SUITS FILED DURING THE YEAR.		
		AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE.		
25	Jádav Bhowsing Pachan of Akru.	Akhábhai Rattanji's heir Jorsing and 17 others. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer.	To recover surplus profits of his one-sixth share in Survey Nos. 169 and 200 of the village of Akru and to obtain partition of it.	The District Judge rejected the plaintiff's claim for partition and recommended a compromise of the claim for surplus profits. The compromise has been sanctioned by Government Resolution No. 4698 of 10th July 1891.
26	Jesing Mulchand of Ránpur ...	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the estate of Bápubha and Chandrasing Dájiráj, minors, of Ránpur.	To recover Rs. 118 due on a bond .	The District Judge passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff on 30th August 1890.
27	Jamnádás Nánchand of Dholka .	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the estate of Gangad.	To recover the amount of his decree rs. 1,400 by the sale of certain houses belonging to the estate.	The Subordinate Judge of Dholka rejected the plaintiff's proposal. Against this order of the Subordinate Judge, the plaintiff appealed to the District Judge, who transferred the appeal to the First Class Subordinate Judge, Ahmedabad. The First Class Subordinate Judge rejected the plaintiff's appeal.
*28	Lawjibhái Dipchand and Jesingbhái Dipchand of Koth.	Do. do. ...	To recover the amount of his decree for Rs. 3,350 by the sale of certain houses belonging to the estate.	Do. do.
29	Girdharlál Hargovan of Viramgám.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the estate of minor Himatsing Mobatsing of Bhankoda.	To establish claim to receive the income of the Golwádi Darwája *choki* in Viramgám, the amount being in deposit in the Viramgám Mámlatdár's Treasury in execution of his decree against the Tálukdárs of Chaniár.	Pending in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
30	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
31	Juwansingji Bháisáheb, minor, by his friend Nánbha Wakhatsingji of Gangad.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of minor Gagubha Ráesing of Makhiáv.	The plaintiff filed a suit in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge claiming to be the heir to the Gangad estate.	The Subordinate Judge decided that some others who claimed to be heirs to the estate should be joined as co-defendants. Against this decision the plaintiff has preferred an appeal to the High Court. This appeal is pending.
32	Bái Shri Májirájba, widow of Mahárána Gagubha Abhesing. late Thákore of Gangad.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as administrator of the Gangad estate under Regulation VIII of 1827.	To obtain refund of the amounts of jama levied from her on account of the village of Bhumli and certain other lands given to her by her husband as jivai and an injunction to the defendant to pay in future the amount of jama on her jivai lands from the income of the Gangad estate.	Pending in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge, Ahmedabad.
		KAIRA COLLECTORATE.		
33	*Second Appeal No. 699 of 1890.* The Municipality of Nadiád (appellant).	Kishorbhái and Kálidás Wághjibhái, minors, by their guardians the Collector of Kaira (respondent).	To have an encroachment made by the minor respondent's father removed.	Pending.

APPENDIX XI—*concluded.*

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
		BROACH COLLECTORATE.		
34	Venilál Narotamdás of Jambusar.	1. Ganpatsingji Himatsingji of Sárod as heir of Bái Rájuba, widow of Becharsing Bhagwánsing. 2. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the estate of Ganpatsingji Himatsingji.	To recover possession of certain land mortgaged by the deceased Bái Rájuba to the plaintiff, the possession of which was taken away by defendant No. 2 on behalf of the Thákor or to obtain the mortgage money.	Pending in the Court of the Assistant Judge, F. P., Broach. Government Resolution No. 6134 of 29th August 1890.
35	Harprasád Rájárám of Broach...	1. Bhathi Dos Báji of Khandáli. 2. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the Khandáli estate.	To obtain possession and mesne profits of certain land in the village of Samni which he purchased from one Rámdayál Govri, who purchased it at an auction sale held in execution of a decree.	Do. do. Government Resolution No. 1552 of 26th February 1891.
36	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	To obtain possession and mesne profits of certain land in the village of Vasti Khandáli, táluka Vagra, which he purchased from one Rámdayál Govri, who purchased it at an auction sale held in execution of a decree.	Do. do.
37	Do. do. ...	1. Bhati Kálu Gulbha, heir of Gulbha Nurbha, deceased, of Khandáli. 2. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as manager of the Khandáli estate.	Do. do. ...	Do. do.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

APPENDIX XII.

Financial Review of the Estates under Management for the year 1890-91.

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balance.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt Outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges, in the Táluka.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Tálukdars	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
								AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE.										
								UNDER ACT VI OF 1862.										
								UNDER DIRECT MANAGEMENT.										
	Sánand Táluka.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Khoda (crop-share)— Takhatsing Ajubhái— Khoda, Bhat, Bagodra ...	The whole estate.	36	459	52	437	489	288	17	18	...	60	...	383	58	106	...	
2	Eyáwa (crop-share)— Bhimji Dosaji	Do. ...	...	91	42	91	133	12	1	4	...	70	...	87	...	46	...	
3	Makhiáv (crop-share)— Gagubha Ráisingji	Do. ...	689	9,789	1,210	9,380	10,590	3,287	578	430	2,140	1,600	201	8,236	1,098	2,354	...	
	Dholka Táluka.																	
4	Latifkhán (acre-rates)— Dholka Estate	The whole estate.	472	4,296	2,228	3,262	5,490	1,187	180	...	2,141	...	27	3,535	1,506	1,955	...	
	Návda (crop-share common) ...	Do. ...	273	18,782	2,777	18,554 R. 20	21,351	10,367	907	959	1,225	5,500	386	19,344	481	2,007	...	
	Dhandhuka Táluka.																	
5	Bodána (crop-share)— Gokal Jivan	The whole estate.	150	19	798	19	817	...	17	34	...	700	...	751	150	66	...	
	Bhala Ransur	Do. ...	40	3	282	3	285	...	4	7	...	23	...	34	40	251	...	
	Puná Teja, &c....	Do. ...	25	20	25	20	45	...	1	1	...	20	...	22	25	23	...	
	Khimráv Ghela	Do. ...	...	...	49	...	49	...	1	2	20	18	...	41	...	8	...	
	Dádá Rájsi	Do. ...	...	1	60	1	61	...	2	2	...	...	...	4	...	57	...	
	Ashkarn Gangádás	Do. ...	35	3	864	3	867	...	4	15	...	42	...	61	35	806	...	
6	Bela (crop-share)— Vika Dosa	Do. ...	113	128	579	212	791	...	10	25	52	650	9	746	29	45	...	
	Jethá Vastá	Do. ...	104	145	723	220	943	...	10	25	54	78	9	176	29	767	...	
7	Bagad (acre-rates)— Punja Vikamshi	Do. ...	...	665	60	653	713	510	70	...	...	...	12	592	12	121	...	
	Bhoka Oghad	Do. ...	...	665	105	653	758	510	73	...	...	...	12	595	12	163	...	
	Najá Ebhal	Do. ...	...	1,381	677	1,306	1,983	1,020	6	29	...	600	...	1,655	75	328	..	
	Gogha Táluka.																	
8	Bhawánipura (crop-share)— Kárábá Káshamji	The whole estate.	34	91	102	113	215	63	...	...	80	...	3	146	12	69	...	
9	Awánia (crop-share)— Bhupatsing Sawábhái... ...	Do. ...	75	180	100	255	355	239	...	...	...	...	...	239	...	116	...	
10	Khambha (crop-share)— Hamirji Vakábhái	Do. ...	...	1,211	1,074	1,211	2,285	123	...	40	...	990	...	1,153	...	1,132	...	

APPENDIX XII.—*continued.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Out-standing Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt out-standing on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Táluk-dars.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Viramgám Táluka.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
11	Rudátal—																	
	Umáji Jodháji, &c.	The whole estate	617	4,097	1,344	3,156	4,500	803	122	78	...	1,063	51	2,117	1,558	2,383	...	
	Hazurji Godáji, &c.	Do. ...	..	385	312	385	697	34	...	...	...	185	2	221	...	476	...	
	Dhanáji Nathuji, &c.	Do. ...	438	1,070	208	944	1,155	170	22	41	...	...	14	247	561	908	...	
	Madhaji Rámaji	Do. ...	333	1,341	527	R. 3 1,295	1,822	293	23	44	...	...	15	375	379	1,447	...	
12	Sinaj Kánpura (crop-share)—																	
	Hariji Badorji, &c.	Do. ...	18	310	508	310	818	126	31	18	...	...	28	202	18	616	...	
	Sawaji Rámaji, &c.	Do. ...	13	445	442	454	896	127	28	25	...	...	28	208	4	688	...	
	Umáji Kásláji, &c.	Do. .	84	766	783	766	1,549	82	24	29	...	...	21	156	84	1,793	...	
	Hamirji Punjáji. &c.	Do. ...	87	988	1,878	988	2,866	82	19	41	...	...	20	162	87	2,704	...	
	Okhaji Dhanaji, &c.	Do. ...	46	533	762	533	1,295	82	15	22	...	...	19	138	46	1,157	...	
	Common land	Do. ...	937	82	169	81	282	...	48	16	...	...	33	97	906	185	...	
13	Jethipura (crop-share)—					R. 32												
	Agarsing Sawáji, &c.	Do. ...	230	997	249	1,003	1,252	301	9	30	...	...	47	387	224	865	...	
14	Dabhsor (crop-share)—																	
	Pathája Becharji, &c.	Do. ...	212	2,922	1,048	2,516 R. 13	3,577	559	244	78	...	...	81	962	605	2,615	...	
					Not under Direct Management.													
	Gogha Táluka.																	
15	Bhojpura (crop-share)—																	
	Devising Bháiji...	The whole estate	30	130	176	160	336	55	...	...	...	230	4	289	...	47	—	
16	Awánia (crop-share)—																	
	Virábhái Sumrábhái	Do. ...	...	150	246	150	396	21	...	...	...	359	...	380	...	16	...	
	Dholka Táluka.																	
17	Ganol (crop-share)—																	
	Vajesing Morabhái, &c. ...	The whole estate	72	1,636	382	1,600	1,982	...	12	81	...	1,750	...	1,843	108	139	...	
18	Dumáli (crop-share)—																	
	Harbhamji Rámábhái	Do. ...	300	150	22	...	22	...	...	...	..	20	..	20	450	2	...	
	Nágbhái Visábhái	Do. ...	150	75	16	20	36	...	...	...	...	35	...	35	205	1	...	
	Kesrising Bháwsing	Do. ...	150	225	21	225	246	...	...	...	...	15	...	15	150	154	...	
	Dhandhuka Táluka.																	
19	Hadmanlata (crop-share)—																	
	Bulaphi Modji	The whole estate	...	364	635	200	835	...	10	48	...	500	...	558	164	277	...	
20	Kinara (crop-share)—																	
	Válji Modji	Do. ...	...	...	135	...	135	...	3	17	...	...	...	20	...	115	...	
21	Keria (crop-share)—																	
	Abhesing Mamdumia	Do. ...	75	73	5	148	153	...	...	...	75	...	...	75	...	78	...	

22	Sumadiala (crop-share)—																	
	Ramráo Lakha	Do.	...	...	600	...	600	600	275	4	...	...	250	...	529	...	71	...
	Dhana Versal	Do	...	...	600	15	600	615	275	5	...	...	300	...	580	...	35	...
	Bhagu Lakhman	Do.	...	...	612	119	612	731	275	5	30	...	350	...	660	...	71	...
23	Kuckharnesn (crop-share)—																	
	Jiwábhái Desubhái, &c. ..	Do.	...	...	701	602	255	857	254	9	...	...	500	...	763	446	94	...
24	Aniali Kasbati, &c. (crop-share)—																	
	Kanubha Tirbhái, &c. ..	Do.	...	2,836	2,355	5,983	2,685	8,668	2,128	121	331	2,100	100	38	4,818	2,506	3,850	...
25	Jhanjharkha (crop-share)—																	
	Bhagvatsing Devising, &c.	Do.	...	9	5,954	5,921	2,254	8,175	...	200	...	1,771	5,923	66	6,960	3,709	1,215	...
	Viramgám Táluka.																	
26	Anandpura (crop-share)—																	
	Manorji Ukáji	The whole estate.		...	150	4	150	154	...	...	1	12	135	...	148	...	6	...
	Dhandhuka Táluka.						Estates under Act XX of 1864 (Minors' Act).											
27	Kharad (crop-share)—																	
	Gágubha Bhupatsing	The whole estate.		...	250	428	250	678	126	11	16	400	78	...	631	...	47	...
28	Rojka (crop-share)—																	
	Gagubha Dipsingji	Do.	....	228	5,249	5,741	5,320	11,061	2,128	520	616	2,014	2,300	86	7,664	157	3,397	...
29	Kotda (crop-share)—																	
	Ahmadmia Permia	Do.	..	...	20	26	20	46	...	...	1	...	21	...	22	...	24	...
30	Bavaliari (crop-share)—																	
	Agarsing Chandabhái	Do.	..	39	421	354	453	807	2	5	25	...	300	19	351	7	456	...
31	Akru (crop-share)—																	
	Najibhái Malji	Do.	...	2	30	19	30	49	1	8	2	...	...	5	16	2	33	...
	Narsing Madarsing	Do.	...	...	1,066	878	1,062	1,940	28	26	24	...	768	9	855	4	1,085	...
32	Gadhia (crop-share)—																	
	Nathubhái Wallibhái	Do.	...	1	2,116	4,157	116	4,273	537	21	113	740	...	240	1,651	2,001	2,622	...
33	Panchi (crop-share)—																	
	Becharsing Falji	Do.	...	70	121	270	178	448	...	1	11	120	...	...	132	13	316	...
34	Nagarka (crop-share)—																	
	Bhura Jasa	Do.	...	...	890	894	439	1,333	325	13	37	...	...	5	380	451	953	...
35	Cher (crop-share)—																	
	Udesing Agarsing	Do.	...	1,157	832	341	1,868	2,209	...	6	8	...	1,094	2	1,110	121	1,099	...
36	Aniali Bhimji (crop-share)—																	
	Ludhubha Nayabhái	Do.	...	...	187	397	187	584	...	12	25	...	330	...	367	...	217	...
37	Ranpur (crop-share)—																	
	Chundrasing Dajiraj	Do.	...	1	260	184	206	390	205	25	16	40	29	22	337	55	53	...
	Dholka Táluka.																	
38	Jakhda (crop-share)—																	
	Ráisingji Jalámsing	The whole estate.		904	795	401	478	879	376	33	68	...	200	...	677	1,221	202	...
39	Warna (crop-share)—																	
	Bhaiji Rámábhái	Do.	...	...	91	87	91	178	16	1	4	...	...	1	22	...	156	...
40	Ransar (crop-share)—																	
	Meghabhái Kanubhái	Do.	...	24	75	121	75	196	16	3	3	101	...	...	123	24	73	...
41	Simaj (crop-share)—																	
	Ráising Tejabhai	Do.	...	730	545	1,519	1,275	2,794	291	29	22	...	...	52	394	...	2,400	...
42	Dhingda (crop-share)—																	
	Bhagatsing Kesrising	Do.	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Sánand Táluka.																	
43	Kundal (crop-share)—																	
	Oghad Madhávsing	The whole estate.		...	23	116	23	139	3	3	1	...	...	...	7	...	132	...
44	Makhiav (crop-share)—																	
	Gagubha Ráesing...	Do.	...	See under Act VI. of 1862, No. 3.														

APPENDIX XII.—*continued.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt Outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Táluk dári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Táluk dárs.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Gogha Táluka.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
45	Lakadia (crop-share)— Prathiraj Khodabhái	The whole estate.	129	150	10	150	160	3	8	...	...	...	...	11	129	149	...	
46	Bahadi (crop-share)— Gagubha Kalubhái	Do. ...	...	152	200	152	352	34	...	...	122	...	...	156	...	196	...	
47	Morchand (crop-share)— Dolatsing Dudhabhái	Do. ...	41	153	38	194	232	...	9	...	...	64	46	119	...	113	...	
	Viramgám Táluka.																	
48	Bhankoda (crop-share)— Himatsing Mobatsing... ...	The whole estate.	...	1,733	4,045	1,733	5,778	397	9	50	544	...	...	1,000	...	4,778	...	
49	Dekawada (crop-share)— Dhanaji Gobarsing	Do. ...	..	1,323	286	1,323	1,609	779	64	56	47	539	33	1,518	...	91	...	
50	Tanmania (crop-share) — Kesrising Kuberji	Do. ...	...	857	339	857	1,196	169	60	28	329	...	...	586	...	610	...	
51	Wanthal (crop-share)— Bapumia Miasáheb	Do. ...	...	5,348	1,689	5,348	7,037	227	...	..	1,292	486	397	2,402	...	4,635	..	
52	Sadatpura, &c. (crop-share) — Khanji Karansing, &c. ...	Do. ...	...	1,091	...	1,080	1,080	345	...	...	...	2 0	7	552	...	528	...	
	Parántij Táluka.																	
53	Parantij— Dalumia Mirasáheb	The whole estate.	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	
54	Majra (crop-share)— Kamaludin Najumia	Do. ...	...	81	123	81	204	...	6	3	100	...	...	109	...	95	...	
	Daskrohi Táluka.																	
55	Ahmedabad— Musamia Ahmadali, Sarsa Wasna and Isanpur	The whole estate	..	10,000	...	10,000	10,000	1,876	1,177	50	1,200	4,480	226	9,0. 9	...	991	...	
	Dholka Táluka.					ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.												
56	Lolia (crop-share)— Raisingji Harising	The whole estate.	...	300	8	300	308	...	2	...	300	...	...	302	...	6	...	
57	Dumali (crop-share)— Devising Mandabhái	Do. ...	17	493	338	290	628	264	37	27	228	...	...	556	220	72	...	
	Dipsing Arjansing	Do. ...	...	150	124	150	274	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	274	...	
	Sánand Táluka.																	
58	Sánand and Koth (Acre-rate)— Ranmalsing Bhagvatsing ...	The whole estate.	7,415	1,33,716	3	1,26,876 R. 40	1,26,919	56,632	4,795	500	9,723	15,762	16,741	1,04,117	14,215	22,802	...	
	Parántij Táluka.																	
59	Sagpur Kesraji Becharji ..	The whole estate.	Recently came under management.															

	Dhandhuka Táluka.																	
60	Chhasiana (crop-share)—																	
	Udesing Sangabhái	The whole estate.	...	3,972	46,033	3,927	49,960	1,064	459	305	...	1,400	1,889	5,117	45	44,843	...
61	Umrala (crop-share)—																	
	Subamia Bapuraj	One field ..	...	4	158	4	162	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	162	...
	Sánand Táluka.					ESTATE MANAGED ON ACCOUNT OF REVENUE DEFAULT &c.												
62	Chekhla Rámpura (acre-rates)—																	
	Rámsingji Dewaji	The whole estate.	422	3,846	431	3,785 R. 15	4,231	3,115	314	140	...	...	178	3,747	468	484	...
63	Godhavi (crop-share)—																	
	Motibhái Dungarji	Do. ...	364	3,417	276	3,369 R. 86	3,731	2,774	288	127	...	...	88	3,277	326	454	...
64	Garodia (crop-share)—																	
	Chelaji Bawaji, &c.	Do. ..	...	1,232	10	949	959	571	65	...	249	...	..	885	283	74	...
	Dhandhuka Táluka.																	
65	Khas (acre-rates)—																	
	Raning Sanga, &c.	The whole estate.	814	10,181	10,234	6,379 R. 80	16,693	4,165	378	464	1,905	2,854	99	9,865	4,536	6,828	...
66	Akru (crop-share)—																	
	Rámábhái, Haribhái &c. ...	Do. ...	15	2,325	2,14	2,313	4,456	1,968	269	119	...	...	63	2,419	27	2,037	...
67	Kotda (crop-share)—																	
	Parsotam Vallu	Do. ...	...	320	...	272	272	127	...	...	...	...	...	127	48	145	...
68	Adwal (crop-share)—																	
	Rupsing Virabhái	Do. ..	592	5,824	5,583	6,416	11,999	941	542	306	8,019	305	2	10,115	...	1,884	...
69	Navda (crop-share)—																	
	Sardársing Hamabhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	59	2,870	640	2,683 R. 20	3,343	2,856	125	...	...	...	234	3,215	226	128	...
	Dholka Táluka.																	
70	Dholi Raipur (crop-share)—																	
	Wasna Tálukdárs	The whole estate.	140	1,286	1,307	1,426	2,733	368	215	70	1,000	...	255	1,908	...	825	...
71	Gangad (crop-share)—																	
	Heir of Gagubha Abhesing ...	Do. . .	12,248	43,900	26,156	43,482 R. 4,048	73,686	18,969	5,100	2,470	4,164	30,000	5,857	66,560	8,618	7,126	...
	Jasubha Azarsing	Do. ...	337	2,504	201	2,518 R. 50	2,769	758	434	83	...	...	55	1,330	273	1,439	...
72	Chandisar Wunto—																	
	Maolubhái Haribhái	Do. ...	...	1,446	...	1,446	1,446	1,362	71	...	...	...	...	1,433	...	13	...
	Dholka Táluka.					MANAGED UNDER SECTION 320 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE.												
73	Jakhda, &c. (crop-share)—																	
	Ráesing Vajesing	The whole estate.	Included	in No.	38 above	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
74	Sinaj (crop-share)—																	
	Madarsing Julamsing	Do. ...	...	120	98	120	218	28	1	5	...	...	...	34	...	184	3,634
75	Wasna Kelia (crop-share) —																	
	Abhesing Khodabhái	Do. ...	44	366	198	366	564	202	20	16	...	134	...	372	44	192	263
	Viramgám Táluka.																	
76	Ranti Náni (crop-share)—																	
	Jodhoji Jesáji	The whole estate.	...	534	231	534	765	217	4	19	...	190	32	462	...	303	...
	Ratansing Nâransing	Do. ...	...	54	57	54	111	...	...	2	...	53	...	55	...	56	...
77	Madrisana (crop-share)—																	
	Rupsing Umáji	Do. ...	...	659	43	659	702	258	5	28	...	355	20	666	...	36	3,915
78	Gungala (crop-share)—																	
	Lalaji Madhuji...	Do. ...	...	33	27	33	60	...	2	1	...	54	..	57	...	3	70
79	Bamroli (crop-share)—																	
	Jivaji Goldharji	Do. ...	..	237	37	237	274	110	1	9	...	102	13	235	...	39	1,850
	Rawaji Kumbhaji	Do. ..	...	381	214	381	595	128	3	15	...	409	11	566	...	29	978
	Dhirtaji Pabaji...	Do. ...	...	258	17	258	275	96	2	11	...	145	8	262	...	13	877
80	Jetápur (crop-share)—																	
	Sulemanmia Gulam Husenmia	Do. ...	...	1,000	972	1,000	1,972	...	8	42	...	1,832	...	1,882	...	90	961
81	Aghar, &c. (crop-share)—																	
	Hiráji Kasuji, &c.	Do. ...	...	6,474	4,111	6,474	10,585	2,070	43	250	...	3,761	11	6,135	...	4,450	...
82	Sadatpur &c. (crop-share)—																	
	Sadaji Kasuji, &c.	Do. ...	...	626	936	463	1,399	...	4	22	...	180	44	250	163	1,149	...
	Karansing Dosaji	Do. ...	Included	in No.	49.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

APPENDIX XII—*continued.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Tálukdari Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Tálukdars.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Viramgám Táluka—continued.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
83	Dangarwa (crop-share)— Rupaji Kumpaji	The whole estate	...	411	39	411	450	113	3	17	..	287	...	420	...	30	680	
84	Hathipura (crop-share)— Mahasingji Amaji, &c. ..	Do. ...	...	1,333	581	1,333	1,914	694	170	57	...	877	37	1,835	...	79	7,813	
85	KaranparaNanu (crop-share)— Umaji Navsingji	Do. ...	...	363	98	363	461	318	3	17	...	73	28	439	...	22	1,941	
86	Vithlapur (crop-share)— Kesarji Rawaji...	Do. ...	164	463	148	546 R. 20	714	234	46	20	...	146	45	491	61	223	321	
	Becharji Andaji	Do. ...	60	205	131	115	246	...	18	3	...	102	...	123	150	123	1,030	
87	Dekawada (crop-share)— Dhanaji Gobarji	Do. ...	Included	in No. 49	above	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	
88	Fatepura (crop-share)— Talaji Naruji	Do. ...	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
89	Bhankoda (crop-share)— Bacharsing Rattansing ...	Do. ...	...	1,436	64	1,436	1,500	397	8	44	...	840	...	1,289	..	211	200	
90	Chhaniar (crop-share)— Dapaji Sadaji	Do. ...	...	1,378	611	1 378	1,989	559	9	51	...	465	24	1,108	...	881	2,450	
	Amarsing Punjaji	Do. ...	...	303	366	303	669	...	2	11	...	...	...	13	...	656	3,727	
	Hiraji Karsanji	Do. ...	...	1,382	230	1,382	1,612	1,121	10	...	...	189	...	1,320	...	292	3,515	
91	Pamar (crop-share)— Pai Pran	Do. ...	...	141	15	141	156	...	6	6	...	134	...	146	...	10	2,430	
	Samatsing Jesalsing	Do. ...	...	2,022	...	1,982	1,982	416	...	..	...	27	...	443	40	1,539	6,311	
92	Sihore (crop-share)— Danaji Sadaji, &c.	Do. ...	...	424	...	405	405	129	...	...	...	...	24	153	19	252	...	
93	Ranti Moti (crop-share) ...	Do. ..	...															
	Pruthiraj Sawaji	Do. ...	...	179	...	150	150	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	29	150	3,085	
	Gogha Taluka.																	
94	Nawagam Nana (crop-share)— Ravabhái Nagbha	The whole estate.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Gagabhái Jethibhái	Do. ...	12	12	1	24	25	...	...	...	...	...	10	10	...	15	64	
	Vakabhái Desabhái	Do. ...	23	40	1	40	41	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	41	147	
	Lakhabhái Motibhái	Do. ...	...	21	30	21	51	...	...	...	...	25	...	25	...	26	157	
	Chowralund	Do. ...	77	81	80	158	238	...	..	...	...	85	...	85	...	153	436	
	Abhesing Alubhái	Do. ...	...	25	24	25	49	...	...	..	...	20	...	20	...	29	33	
	Amarsing Bhupatsing	Do. ...	...	7	18	7	25	...	...	...	...	15	...	15	...	10	60	
	Madarsing Mokabhái	Do. ...	...	5	1	5	6	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	6	56	
	Ramsing Varsabhái	Do. ...	5	11	6	16	22	...	...	...	...	9	...	9	....	13	18	
	Fatesing Jibhái	Do. ...	...	10	11	10	21	...	...	...	...	9	...	9	...	12	46	
	Visabhai Jibhái	Do. ...	...	10	21	10	31	...	...	...	...	18	...	18	...	13	18	
	Hanubhai Bawaji	Do. ...	6	10	...	16	16	...	...	...	...	5	2	7	...	9	34	
	Bapubhai Hadabhái	Do. ...	...	8	8	8	16	...	...	...	...	6	...	6	...	10	21	

95	Kukad (crop-share)—																	
	Hajábhái Atábhái	Do.	...	...	100	100	100	200	...	...	...	...	85	...	85	...	115	497
96	Khokhra Nána (crop-share)—																	
	Rámsing Jethibhái	Do.	...	6	7	4	13	17	...	...	...	...	8	1	9	...	8	210
	Báwáji Morji	Do.	...	...	425	805	425	1,230	...	...	...	...	750	...	750	...	480	3,655
97	Lákadia (crop-share)—																	
	Samatsing Ráwábhái	Do.	...	130	130	...	240	240	...	...	...	...	120	...	120	20	120	789
	Mádarsing Jethibhái	Do.	...	129	200	20	188	208	4	5	...	...	...	14	23	141	185	233
	Prathiráj Khodábhái	Do.	...	...	Included	in No.	45 above.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Kasibhái Khatabhái	Do.	...	322	150	...	303	303	131	26	...	...	...	...	157	169	146	183
98	Kamlej (crop-share)—																	
	Rámáji Malji	Do.	...	...	1	6	1	7	...	...	...	...	4	1	5	...	2	105
99	Málpur (crop-share)—																	
	Rásabhái Visabhái	Do.	...	...	40	41	40	81	...	...	...	...	36	...	36	...	45	494
	Kalubhái Hajabhái	Do.	...	...	89	...	89	89	...	...	...	..	...	23	23	...	66	...
100	Awánia (crop-share)—																	
	Amarsing Jiwabhái	Do.	...	...	71	...	71	71	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	71	389
	Jethibhái Madhavsing ...																	
101	Morchand (crop-share)—																	
	Desalsing Mulubhái	Do.	...	..	21	20	21	41	...	...	4	...	12	...	16	...	25	69
102	Bhankhel (crop-share)—																	
	Rásabhái Bhagwansing ...	Do.	...	...	60	...	15	15	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	45	15	...
	Dhandhuka Táluka.																	
103	Kotda (crop-share)—																	
	Ahmedmia Pirmia	The whole estate.		...	Included	in No.	29 above.	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,000
	Mamdumia Miasáheb	Do.	...	...	20	23	20	43	...	...	1	...	21	...	22	...	21	
104	*Galsana (crop-share)*—																	
	Kesrising Jiwabhái	Do.	...	...	...	27	...	27	...	...	1	...	26	...	27	...	...	25
	Málubha Badámia	Do.	...	100	...	4	100	104	...	1	...	...	96	...	97	..	7	358
	Ajábhái Gulábhái	Do.	...	...	...	297	...	297	...	1	20	...	266	...	287	...	10	1,777
	Abhesing Warsáji	Do.	...	12	...	82	12	94	...	1	...	12	74	...	87	...	7	641
	Ráwábhái Dosabhái	Do.	...	97	...	4	97	101	...	1	...	19	75	...	95	...	6	364
	Bapubhái Fattebhái	Do.	...	90	...	4	90	94	..	1	...	12	73	...	86	...	8	163
	Harising Jijibhái	Do.	...	...	...	57	...	57	...	...	2	...	52	...	54	...	3	90
	Bhupatsing Jijibhái	Do.	...	...	...	50	...	50	...	...	2	...	46	...	48	...	2	193
105	Kinora (crop-share)—																	
	Harising Amiji...	Do.	...	...	8	105	8	113	..	1	4	...	88	...	93	...	20	...
106	Keria (crop-share)—																	
	Punjbha Rájbha	Do.	...	...	34	2	34	36	24	1	1	...	...	...	26	...	10	277
107	Bhalgámda (crop-share)—																	
	Govindsing Meghabhái ...	Do.	...	...	10	11	...	11	...	...	...	...	10	...	10	10	1	81
	Pathubha Falji... ...	Do.	...	...	32	32	...	32	..	...	1	...	...	..	1	32	31	269
108	Kothedia (crop-share)—																	
	Kasalsing Banesing	Do.	...	...	52	25	25	50	...	...	2	...	22	...	24	27	26	67
109	Sundariána (crop-share)—																	
	Káthodbái	Do.	...	...	117	38	117	155	...	...	...	...	99	...	99	...	56	1,559
	Jasa Devit	Do.	...	...	77	18	77	95	...	7	...	...	5	...	12	...	83	...
	Amra Vasta	Do.	..	...	20	5	20	25	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	25	62
	Raning Sanga	Do.	...	...	15	5	15	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	200
110	Dharpipla (crop-share)—																	
	Báwásáheb Ajambhái	Do.	...	2	1,100	1,445	324	1,769	320	25	70	...	1,245	...	1,660	778	109	815
	Bapuraj Lakhabhái	Do.	...	897	3,350	815	2,097	2,912	941	17	53	...	1,550	...	2,561	2,150	351	3,407
111	Akru (crop-share)—																	
	Wághábhái Hothibhái, &c. ...	Do.	...	1	15	5	15	20	...	3	...	...	...	2	5	1	15	969
	Motibhái Mádhavsing... ...	Do.	...	1	9	7	9	16	2	1	...	...	...	1	4	1	12	278
	Amarsing Danabhái	Do.	...	3	14	9	15	24	...	2	...	...	...	1	3	2	21	101
	Jálamsing Rásábhái	Do.	...	...	80	31	50	81	...	2	...	...	...	1	3	30	78	...
	Falji Meghábhái	Do.	...	56	45	23	23	46	...	...	...	...	33	8	41	78	5	528
	Amarsing Bhojji	Do.	...	...	100	2	...	2	...	1	...	...	...	1	2	100	...	...
	Samatsing Motibhái	Do.	...	...	485	459	485	944	...	4	27	75	340	...	446	...	498	913
	Fatesing Haribhái	Do.	...	...	250	18	...	18	...	4	...	..	...	4	8	250	10	...
	Hamirji Gagabhái, &c. ...	Do.	...	8	50	101	8	109	5	...	...	...	...	...	5	50	104	220

APPENDIX XII—*continued.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balances	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Tálukdárs.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Dhandhuka Táluka—continued.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
111	Akru (crop-share)—*continued.*																	
	Bhagwatsing Kalabhái ...	The whole estate.	905	453	282	1,078	1,360	...	3	25	790	350	...	1,168	280	192	2,211	
	Agarsing Jethibhái	Do. ...	...	150	8	21	29	7	...	...	...	...	...	7	129	22	...	
	Samatsing Motibhái	Do. ...	..	80	...	80	80	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	80	1,648	
	Harbhamji Atábhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	61	90	65	28	93	...	1	...	...	...	...	1	123	92	163	
112	Bawaliari (crop-share)—																	
	Manubha Merubhái	Do. ...	...	301	...	301	301	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	301	560	
	Mepji Khodabhái	Do. ...	3	139	166	84	250	...	1	8	32	103	..	144	58	106	222	
	Kalbha Becharsing	Do. ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	
	Mulubha Banesing	Do. ...	...	144	...	144	144	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	144	151	
113	Dhandhuka (crop-share)																	
	Mamdumia Pirmia	Do. ...	...	10	83	...	83	..	5	3	...	73	...	81	10	2	631	
	Mamdumia Fatemia	Do. ...	...	46	85	40	125	...	3	3	...	75	...	81	6	44	631	
	Mirasáheb Badamia, &c. ...	Do. ...	...	82	418	61	479	...	20	10	15	306	...	351	21	128	4,795	
114	Kharad (crop-share)—																	
	Bápji Kesábhái...	Do. ...	..	150	57	150	207	95	4	6	...	...	...	105	...	102	1,120	
	Khodábhái Raghábhái ...	Do. ...	...	125	142	...	142	...	1	6	...	125	...	132	125	10	430	
	Pathabhái Mulubhái... ...	Do. ...	...	125	1	125	126	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	126	383	
	Bhagwánsing Falábhái ...	Do. ...	...	200	399	...	399	...	3	16	...	365	...	384	200	15	316	
115	Advál (crop share)—																	
	Ranchhodji Bhupatsing ...	Do. ...	21	70	69	91	160	17	4	3	...	50	...	74	...	86	623	
	Devising Asábhái	Do. ...	..	315	262	315	577	63	2	13	...	230	...	308	...	269	2,066	
116	Khamidáná (crop-share)—																	
	Khengarji Ajábhái	Do. ...	...	1,315	1,659	658	2,317	...	10	69	...	1,540	..	1,619	657	698	....	
117	Nagarka (crop-share)—																	
	Selar Desa	Do. ...	...	125	136	...	136	...	...	13	...	120	...	133	125	3	245	
	Lunvir Aliya	Do. ...	...	150	153	...	153	...	1	6	...	140	..	147	150	6	645	
	Oghad Dáda	Do. ...	...	58	250	58	308	49	3	13	...	220	1	286	..	22	3,934	
118	Báhdi (crop-share)—																	
	Akhábhái Lákhábhái	Do. ...	...	125	126	107	233	...	1	5	...	119	...	125	18	108	...	
119	Unchdi (crop-share)—																	
	Hamjibhái Wághábhái ...	Do. ...	...	1,911	3,127	421	3,548	311	12	70	...	3,010	20	3,423	1,490	125	9,304	
120	Khojápura (crop-share)—																	
	Rájebhái Máwábhái	Do. ...	...	137	124	137	261	...	12	19	...	72	..	103	...	158	974	
121	Vejalka (crop-share)—																	
	Devit Ghela	Do. ...	...	170	280	170	450	...	...	12	...	260	...	272	...	178	1,830	
	Jiwa Ghela	Do. ...	...	170	280	170	450	...	...	12	...	260	...	272	...	178	1,001	
	Desa Merám	Do. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Samat Manasia	Do. ...	...	101	125	101	226	...	...	5	...	116	...	121	...	105	648	
122	Jaska (crop-share)—																	
	Dajibhái Abhesing	Do. ...	...	75	78	75	153	...	1	3	...	72	...	76	...	77	164	
	Mobatsing Nathubhái	Do. ...	3	71	326	71	397	...	1	23	...	290	...	314	3	83	240	

123	Rojka (crop-share)—																			
	Vajising Abhesing	Do.	...	...	150	387	150	537	...	4	14	...	340	...	358	...	179	4,715
	Nagbhai Hathibhái	Do.	...	...	275	529	275	804	...	12	35	...	475	...	522	...	282	1,425
124	Jhinjhr (crop-share)—																			
	Bai Bonjiba	Do.	...	...	123	54	123	177	119	14	8	...	24	...	165	...	12	238
125	Wavdi Moti (crop-share)—																			
	Rathod Khoda...	Do.	...	500	150	3	225	228	...	1	...	..	212	...	213	425	15	453
	Desa Devit ... ...• ...	Do	...	...	20	42	...	42	...	...	..	...	38	...	38	20	4	46
	Jetha Mancha	Do.	...	...	50	108	...	108	...	...	...	...	100	...	100	50	8	524
126	Bela (crop-share)—																			
	Rupala Bhoja, &c.	Do.	...	2	38	57	18	75	...	8	3	...	43	2	56	22	19	731
	Chhagan Raemal, &c.	Do.	...	...	52	102	40	142	...	1	4	...	...	...	5	12	137	957
127	Godahavata (crop-share)—																			
	Ratna Bhoga	Do.	...	...	18	36	15	51	...	...	...	...	30	1	31	3	20	292
128	Gunda (crop-share)—																			
	Uga Raning	Do.	...	...	12	55	5	60	...	...	...	...	50	...	50	7	10	717
129	Fedra (crop-share)—																			
	Ramabhái Hojabhái	Do.	...	...	20	39	20	59	...	...	...	...	56	..	56	...	3	...
	Visabhái Nagbhái	Do.	...	...	35	51	35	86	...	...	...	...	45	...	45	...	41	...
130	Ráeka (crop-share)—																			
	Naransing Lakhabhái	Do	...	...	6	8	6	14	6	...	...	...	6	...	12	..	2	51
	Vakhatsing Khodabhái ...	Do.	...	...	24	16	24	40	13	...	...	...	14	...	27	...	13	550
131	Aniali Bhimji (crop-share)—																			
	Raghabhái Lakhabhái... ...	Do.	...	46	233	292	279	571	...	12	...	46	280	...	338	...	233	4,637
	Rukhadbhái Visabhái... ...	Do.	...	...	35	60	35	95	...	12	34	..	...	...	46	...	49	2,765
	Bhimsingji Visabhái	Do.	...	16	186	329	202	531	...	9	20	15	290	...	334	...	197	...
	Ratansing Rasabhái	Do.	...	...	185	166	185	351	...	11	18	...	...	...	29	...	322	...
	Jalamsing Kaslabhái	Do.	..	20	229	333	247	582	...	11	23	68	227	...	329	...	253	2,331
	Udesing Ajubhái &c.	Do.	...	...	190	346	190	536	...	8	21	...	300	...	329	...	207	2,790
	Narsing Abhesing	Do.	...	50	157	105	207	312	...	15	...	50	90	...	155	...	157	2,173
	Kayabhái Kaslabhái	Do.	.	...	275	307	275	582	...	2	13	...	275	...	290	...	292	817
	Ladhabhái Nayabhái	Do.	...	...	Included in No. 36			...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
132	Nadála (crop-share)—																			
	Unad Joga &c	Do.	...	...	114	100	57	157	...	...	...	...	90	...	90	57	67	246
	Nathu Lakhman	Do.	...	...	40	47	40	87	...	...	...	...	40	...	40	...	47	575
	Samat Hamir	Do.	...	...	47	48	24	72	...	...	...	...	42	...	42	23	30	258
133	Noli (crop-share)—																			
	Desa Odha	Do.	...	224	197	24	197	221	170	3	8	...	10	...	191	224	30	991
	Unad Hamir	Do.	...	154	179	281	207	488	...	70	22	154	166	...	412	126	76	676
134	Panchi &c. (crop-share)—																			
	Nanabhái Kesabhái	Do.	...	60	111	113	98	211	...	2	7	...	155	...	164	73	47	400
	Gopalsing Bharaji	Do.	...	40	79	58	70	128	...	1	6	...	87	...	94	49	34	536
135	Dewalia (crop-share)—																			
	Kaslabhái Navrungbhái ...	Do.	...	...	60	72	41	113	41	...	...	...	55	...	96	19	17	284
136	Chandarva (crop-share)—																			
	Ráwat Khoda, &c.	Do.	...	...	101	235	101	336	...	1	9	...	215	...	225	...	111	5,174
	Rana Suring	Do.	...	...	70	75	70	145	...	...	...	...	70	...	70	...	75	197
	Meram Manasia	Do.	..	13	29	191	29	220	...	2	7	50	120	...	179	13	41	206
137	Wavdi Nani (crop-share)—																			
	Lunvir Khoda, &c.	Do.	...	...	70	71	50	121	...	...	...	...	62	...	62	20	59	228
138	Bhadiad (crop share)—																			
	Kasalsing Najibhái	Do.	...	...	201	...	201	201	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	201	15 337
	Lakhabhai Bhimji	Do.	...	...	...	159	...	159	...	1	6	...	145	...	152	...	7	1,242
	Sardarsing Hamabhái, &c. ...	Do.	...	...	...	149	...	149	...	4	6	..	135	...	145	...	4	425
	Jethibhái Alubhái	Do.	...	...	...	6	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	107
139	Kadipur (crop-share)—																			
	Harising Pachanji	Do.	...	..	15	30	15	45	...	...	...	...	25	...	25	...	20	} 320
	Amarsing Adabhái	Do.	...	...	12	...	12	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	
	Raghabhái Bapatsing &c. ...	Do.	...	...	475	525	475	1,000	...	1	...	...	485	...	486	...	514	1,738
	Bhawabhái Dalabhái	Do.	...	10	40	83	40	123	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	123	1,092
	Raghabá Jiwabhái	Do.	...	...	45	48	45	93	...	...	...	...	43	...	43	...	50	45

APPENDIX XII—*continued.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balance.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1889-90.			Charges, 1890-91.							Balance in hand on 31st July 1891.		Debt outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other penses of Tálukdárs.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
140	Jahla (crop-share)—																	
	Bai Sumribhái	The whole estate.	10	42	112	46	158	...	9	8	50	...	...	67	6	91	813	
	Ransing Gala, &c.	Do. ...	...	53	20	53	73	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	73	309	
	Nathu Sadul	Do. ...	...	47	45	47	92	...	...	...	...	45	...	45	...	47	116	
141	Chacharia (crop-share)—																	
	Dewa Wagha	Do. ...	...	8	37	8	45	...	...	...	...	33	...	33	...	12	752	
142	Khas (acre-rates)—																	
	Mancha Kanthad	Do. ...																
	Raning Sanga	Do. ...	Included in No.	65.		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	
	Bhoj Vaija	Do. ...																
	Selar Harsar	Do. ...																
143	Ankewalia (crop-share)—																	
	Narsing Arjansing	Do. ...	..	1,314	1,965	1,291	3,256	..	182	88	500	1,232	...	2,002	23	1,254	3,127	
144	Kothadia &c. (crop-share)—																	
	Atabhái Jasabhái	Do. ...	...	25	25	...	25	...	...	...	...	22	...	22	25	3	568	
	Kashiabhái Meghabhái ...	Do. ...	...	50	56	...	56	...	...	...	...	52	...	52	50	4	290	
	Nathubhái Meghábhái ...	Do. ...	...	60	60	...	60	...	...	...	...	55	...	55	60	5	1,098	
	Mavsing Banesing	Do. ...	...	52	22	25	47	...	...	...	...	18	..	18	27	29	441	
	Bhagwansing Dewaji	Do. ...	...	22	55	22	77	...	..	..	...	48	..	48	...	29	1,400	
	Jasabhai Patabhai	Do. ...	...	36	36	...	36	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	36	36	316	
	Dajibhá Malubhá	Do. ...	...	36	36	...	36	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	36	36		
145	Khawbda (crop-share)—																	
	Alya Ukarda	Do. ...	...	20	75	20	95	...	...	3	...	70	...	73	...	22	756	
146	Cher (crop-share)—																	
	Vakhatsing Rasabhái	Do. ...	...	65	...	65	65	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65	145	
147	Pipal (crop-share)—																	
	Sajabhái Sujabhai	Do. ...	...	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...	...	
	Maghabhái Kakabhái	Do. ...	...	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	...	
	Viramgám Táluka.	Managed under the Provisions of Section 504, Civil Procedure Code, the Collector being appointed Receiver by the Court.																
148	Bhankoda (crop-share)—																	
	Adesing Bawsing	The whole estate.	...	1,432	80	1,432	1,512	397	8	44	...	850	...	1,299	...	213	...	
149	Mudrisana (crop-share)—																	
	Gobarsing Anopsing	Do. ...	...	659	394	659	1,053	258	5	28	...	705	20	1,016	...	37	...	
	Dhandhuka Táluka.																	
150	Piparia &c. (crop-share)—																	
	Husenmia Badamia	Do. ...	...	1,500	1,703	1,000	2,703	376	16	85	...	1,550	...	2,027	500	676	...	
151	Khas (acre rates)—																	
	Raning Sanga &c.	Do. ...	Included in No.	65.		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
152	Shahpur (crop-share)—																	
	Gajrajgar Ishwagar	Do. ...	...	1,597	2,980	1,583	4,563	1,410	324	142	...	...	62	1,938	14	2,625	...	

	Parántij Táluka.																
153	Majra (crop-share)— Girdharlál Gehlabhái	The whole estate.	...	210	2	210	212	198	...	14	...	...	...	212	...	...	...

KAIRA COLLECTORATE.

Under Act XXI of 1881.

	Anand Táluka.																
154	Nápád (acre-rates)—																
	Bharatsing Báwáji	The whole estate.	...	5,652	2,120	5,652	7,772	805	291	238	4,175	500	158	6,167	...	1,605	...
	Porbátsing Nánábáwa... ...	Do.	...	1,541	264	1,541	1,805	186	72	65	300	600	50	1,273	...	532	...
	Ráesing Mulji	Do.	...	412	140	41	552	30	21	17	199	200	1	468	...	84	...
	Sáhebsing Karnábhái	Do.	...	344	149	344	493	24	17	15	80	200	...	336	...	157	...
	Nánábáwa Motáji	Do.	...	1,360	801	1,360	2,161	241	72	58	765	531	5	1,672	...	489	...
	Rupsing Abhesing	Do.	..	2,369	520	2,369	2,889	441	125	102	329	1,000	269	2,266	...	623	...
	Gemalsing Rásábhái	Do.	...	515	182	515	697	50	26	21	4	300	2	403	...	294	...
	Pámal Wánto	Do.	...	2,321	531	2,321	2,852	1,572	123	101	...	...	532	2,328	...	524	...
155	Kherda (acre-rates)—																
	Madhavsing Jesingji	Do. ...	219	7,301	7,690	7,284	14,996	2,135	320	311	1,420	9,687	238	14,111	214	885	...
156	Kholwád (acre-rates)—					R. 22											
	Mokamsing Dalpatsing ...	Do. ...	5	2,456	746	2,441	3,187	1,033	154	110	500	500	17	2,314	20	873	...
	Pathibhai Sardársing	Do. ...	...	3,354	1,182	3,354	4,536	1,041	324	144	716	955	21	3,201	...	1,335	...
157	Ahima (acre-rates)—																
	Himatsing Narsingji	Do. ...	...	6,795	1,147	6,795	7,942	1,535	339	326	3,792	748	27	6,754	...	1,175	...
	Borsad Táluka.																
158	Nápá (acre-rates)—																
	Fattesing Bápuji	The whole estate.	...	2,637	757	2,618	3,375	573	133	117	818	1,100	...	2,741	19	634	...
	Bái Surajba	Do. ...	...	213	161	213	374	2	11	9	170	100	...	292	...	82	...
	Narsing Abhesing	Do. ..	...	3,086	893	3,086	3,979	497	150	129	1,430	1,000	2	3,208	...	771	...
	Fattesing Tokhatsing	Do. ...	4	2,064	211	2,068	2,279	279	103	88	721	800	18	2,009	...	270	...
	Haribhái Amarsing	Do. ...	...	848	135	848	983	161	42	36	165	450	...	854	...	129	...
	Rájbha Jibhái	Do. ...	18	1,582	343	1,576	1,919	360	74	64	749	300	3	1,550	24	369	...
	Punjáji Jijibhái...	Do. ...	...	363	94	363	457	60	18	15	18	200	2	313	...	144	...
	Partápsing Dábhái	Do. ...	..	526	152	526	678	96	26	22	250	200	...	594	...	84	..
	Motáji Bháiba	Do. ...	...	566	150	566	716	120	25	22	350	125	1	643	...	73	...
	Sardársing Kábhái	Do. ...	..	392	109	392	501	93	19	17	40	200	...	369	...	132	...
	Himatsing Dádábáwa... ...	Do. ...	9	516	245	525	770	101	25	22	300	200	...	648	...	122	...
	Takhatsing Banesing	Do. ...	...	138	3	138	141	33	6	6	68	20	...	133	...	8	...
	Mádhavsing Haribhái	Do. ...	...	720	102	720	822	78	36	31	410	250	1	806	...	16	...
	Common land	Do. ...	...	84	469	84	553	8	4	4	...	...	...	16	...	537	...
159	Dehwán (acre-rates)—																
	Dájibáwa Dádábáwa, &c ...	Do. ...	3	1,980	740	1,983	2,723	152	165	82	423	1,200	...	2,022	...	701	...
160	Gajna (acre-rates)—																
	Himatsingji Ráesingji	Do. ...	6	4,575	681	4,581	5,262	731	328	187	1,458	825	1	3,530	...	1,732	...
161	Sálol (acre-rates)—																
	Vajesing Lálá, &c.	Do. ...	...	282	166	282	448	49	20	11	2	121	...	203	...	245	...
	Motbhái Sardár...	Do. ...	...	797	44	797	841	330	56	31	77	270	..	764	...	77	...
	Khodbhái Kesar	Do. ...	...	199	403	199	602	70	15	8	2	335	...	430	...	172	...
	Vakhatsing Kabhái	Do. ...	...	2,021	707	2,021	2,728	425	149	82	434	1,000	...	2,090	...	638	...
162	Bhetási (acre-rates)—																
	Bápuji Banesing	Do. ...	...	713	205	706	911	136	38	30	280	200	1	685	7	226	...
	Partápsing Mádhavsing ...	Do. ...	..	1,993	335	1,986	2,328	917	111	86	826	350	12	2,302	...	26	...
						R. 7											
	Ráesingji Dádábáwa	Do. ...	...	2,119	271	2,119	2,390	947	115	90	647	400	2	2,201	...	189	...
	Common land	Do. ...	...	1,671	5,271	1,671	6,942	3	84	69	...	...	5	161	...	6,781	...
163	Anklav (acre-rates)—																
	Dábhái Báwáji, &c.	Do. ...	...	614	49	614	663	93	34	25	50	350	34	586	...	77	...

APPENDIX XII—*continued.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Tálukdárs.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	Kapadvanj Táluka.		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
164	Alwa (acre-rates)— Jamiet Ráju, &c.	The whole estate.	382	3,168	911	3,043	3,954	531	251	147	840	1,715	93	3,577	507	377	...	
	Mehmadabad Táluka.																	
165	Kuna (acre-rates)— Dádásaheb Jibáwa	Do. ...	282	7,573	2,013	7,452 R. 63	9,528	2,704	375	309	868	3,000	333	7,589	340	1,939	...	
166	Kaira (acre-rates)— Ratansing Jijibhái	Do. ...	..	2,492	710	2,482	3,192	725	177	104	1,035	300	111	2,472	10	720	...	
	Wakhatsing Adesing	Do. ...	12	2,556	401	2,568	2,969	820	178	110	1,175	320	104	2,707	...	262	...	
	Mátar Táluka.																	
167	Wárshang (acre-rates)— Devising Meghábhái, &c. ...	The whole estate.	50	2,478	678	2,528	3,206	652	245	77	640	257	240	2,111	...	1,095	...	
168	Hariala (crop-share)— Nathuji Jethiji	Do. ...	17	1,886	364	1,886 R. 17	2,267	727	141	77	476	250	20	1,691	...	576	...	
	Thásra Táluka.																	
169	Rania (acre-rates)— Jesingbhái Dájibhái	The whole estate.	...	3,285	272	3,285	3,557	1,345	253	136	600	900	...	3,234	...	323	...	
170	Khot (acre-rates)— Himatsing Virabhái	Do. ...	19	1,501	556	1,501	2,057	566	178	62	400	400	9	1,615	19	442	...	
171	Anghadi (acre-rates)— Jabhakhán Aminkhán... ...	Do. ...	...	63	22	63	85	...	1	4	11	60	...	76	...	9	...	
172	Anghadi Padhia (acre-rates) - Bhulankhán Umraokhán ...	Do. ...	...	116	44	116	160	3	1	5	41	80	...	130	...	30	...	
173	Wadod (acre-rates)— Amir Amthu	Do. ...	...	177	21	177	198	...	2	6	59	100	...	167	...	31	...	
174	Malvan (acre-rates)— Dadmia Kámumia	Do. ...	...	175	5	175	180	...	2	7	30	120	...	159	...	21	...	
	Husenmia Bhulanmia	Do. ...	...	374	122	374	496	...	5	15	350	100	...	470	...	26	...	
175	Jafumia Nachhumia	Do. ...	...	3	48	3	51	...	3	3	45	...	...	51	...	...	..	
	Pali (acre-rates)— Nanumia Abadmia	Do. ...	...	159	29	159	188	...	2	6	78	75	...	161	...	27	...	
	Kashamali Anumia	Do. ...	...	295	62	295	357	...	4	11	81	161	...	257	...	100	...	
	Mátar Táluka.																	
			Miscellaneous Estates under Management.															
176	Dharoda (acre-rates)— Jafarali Nijammáli	The whole estate.	813	5,302	1,298	5,512 R. 565	7,375	3,964	325	212	...	783	610	5,894	38	1,481	...	
177	Chandna (acre-rates) — Amirmia Geratkhán	Do. ...	497	2,822	237	2,669	2,906	2,118	144	...	...	200	39	2,501	650	405	...	

	Borsad Táluka.																
178	Bhanpara (acre-rates)—																
	Takhatsing Sardarsing ...	The whole estate.	...	850	512	850	1,362	146	78	34	550	227	1	1,036	...	326	...
179	Dehwán—																
	Fatehsang Rupsangji	Do. ...	...	13,709	...	10,100	10,100	3,580	...	...	6,110	...	335	10,025	3,609	75	...
							ESTATES UNDER ACT XX OF 1864.										
	Nadiád Táluka.																
180	Nadiád (acre-rates)—																
	Kishordás Kálidás, &c. ...	The whole estate.	4,412	19,569	...	18,496 R. 377	18,873	747	322	...	...	...	1,750	2,819	5,108	16,054	...
							BROACH COLLECTORATE.										
							UNDER ACT XXI OF 1881.										
	A'mod Táluka.																
181	Itola (acre-rates)—																
	Jitbhái Bhimia, &c.	The whole estate.	262	1,031	108	1,006 R. 109	1,223	149	27	43	316	300	110	945	178	278	...
	Jambusar Táluka.																
182	Sárod (acre-rates)—																
	Gunpatsing Himatsing ...	The whole estate.	8,807	26,377	6,040	29,460 R. 169	35,669	9,311	2,451	1,298	6,747	8,000	822	28,629	5,555	7,040	...
183	Shigam (acre-rates)—																
	Jetsingji Bhimsingji	Do. ...	4,722	2,630	751	2,843 R. 234	3,828	671	211	131	1,700	150	261	3,124	4,275	704	...
184	Magnad (acre-rates)—																
	Mádhavsing Sadáji, &c. ...	Do. ...	762	2,429	1,461	2,477 R. 317	4,255	515	281	122	120	1,611	366	3,015	397	1,240	...
	Vágra Táluka.																
185	Khandáli (acre-rates)—																
	Bhaibáwá Bápuji, &c. ...	The whole estate.	3,982	9,189	1,641	8,498 R. 714	10,853	2,070	551	409	2,261	3,200	43	8,534	3,959	2,319	...
	Khundáli and Dabha																
186	Janiadra (acre-rates)—																
	Jitsingji Somsingji	Do. ...	1,709	10,336	3,026	9,830 R. 33	12,889	4,313	742	495	1,285	1,400	794	9,029	2,182	3,860	...
	Broach Táluka.																
187	Jhanor (acre-rates)—																
	Khusálsing Mohansing ..	The whole estate.	211	5,040	5,542	5,007	10,549	754	235	212	317	1,360	36	2,914	244	7,635	...
	Common estate	Do. ...	...	1,694	1,473	1,694	3,167	457	33	74	...	1,070	...	1,634	...	1,533	...
							ESTATES UNDER ACT XX OF 1864.										
	A'mod Táluka.																
188	Matár (acre-rates)—																
	Chandrasingji Hematsingji ...	The whole estate.	1,453	14,247	28,594	14,742 R. 135	43,471	3,949	643	619	5,112	...	1,276	11,599	823	31,872	...
	Jambusar Táluka.																
189	Sarod (acre-rates)—																
	Ráesingji Jibáwá	The whole estate.	660	2,647	1,524	2,668	4,192	699	111	136	669	2,000	123	3,738	939	454	...
	Broach Táluka.																
190	Jhanor (acre-rates)—																
	Jitsingji Bharatsingji	The whole estate.	17,258	17,355	69,964	23,380 R. 2,123	95,467	798	359	528	13,620	...	2,123	17,428	9,110	78,039	...

APPENDIX XII—*concluded.*

No.	Estate, Owner's Name, and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Outstanding Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Receipts, 1890-91.			Charges, 1890-91.							Probable Balance on 31st July 1891.		Debt outstanding on 31st July 1891.	Remarks.
					Cash in hand on 1st August 1890.	Total Realizations during 1890-91.	Total.	Government Dues.	Collection, &c., charges in the Táluka.	Tálukdári Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other Expenses of Tálukdárs.	Paid to Creditors.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Outstanding in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
	Broach Táluka—continued.																	
								Miscellaneous Estates under Management.										
191	Broach (acre-rates)— Kázi Ahmed Husen Nurudin Husen	The whole estate.	364	3,568	459	2,890	3,349	645	201	115	500	900	517	2,878	1,042	471	...	
192	Jhanor (acre-rates)— Rámsingji Khumansingji ...	Do. ...	...	4,839	3,058	4,839	7,897	1,463	287	204	5,670	...	5	7,629	...	268	...	
	Dájibáwá Sardársing	Do. ...	...	1,021	...	1,021	1,021	263	...	...	...	...	...	263	...	758	...	
	Total ...	.....	85,753	5,85,140	3,41,510	5,84,004 R. 9,312	9,34,826	1,99,505	31,782	18,662	1,17,889	1,69,605	39,721	5,77,164	97,973	3,57,662	...	

The figures marked with "R" in column 7 are on account of remissions granted during the year.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer.

REMARKS OF THE COMMISSIONER, N. D., ON THE ADMINISTRATION REPORT OF THE TA'LUKDA'RI SETTLEMENT OFFICER FOR THE YEAR 1890-91.

No. 3553 OF 1891.

Commissioner N. D.'s Office,
Ahmedabad, 10th September 1891.

Mr. Quin held charge of the office for the entire year except for a brief period of about five weeks when Mr. A. F. Maconochie officiated. But Mr. Quin performed in addition the duties of Assistant Collector up to the 6th February, and was also deputed to settle the compensation payable for lands belonging to certain Native Chiefs taken up for the Viramgám-Mehsana Railway. Notwithstanding these drawbacks to a complete tour in his Tálukdári charge Mr. Quin has been able to visit a considerable portion of the estates in the Ahmedabad and Kaira Districts. He was unable to visit Broach, which must receive attention this year.

2. The number of estates managed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer under Acts VI of 1862 and XXI of 1881 in the Ahmedabad, Kaira and Broach Districts and the progress made in the settlement of their liabilities is shown in the following table:—

District.	Number of Estates under management.	Debt at the beginning of the year.			Advances from Government Treasury during the year, and interest accrued up to 31st July 1891.	Payments during the year.			Debt at the end of the year.			Reduction of debt.	Percentage reduction of debt.
		To Creditors.	To Government.	Total.		To Creditors.	To Government.	Total.	To Creditors.	To Government.	Total.		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ahmedabad	70	2,41,209	1,00,076	3,41,285	55,814	63,033	10,085	73,118	1,78,176	1,45,805	3,23,981	17,304	5·07
Kaira	49	7,668	2,14,212	2,21,880	10,351	989	31,759	32,748	6,679	1,92,804	1,99,483	22,397	10·09
Broach	7	37,187	51,338	88,525	2,439	3,037	13,050	16,087	34,150	40,727	74,877	13,648	15·4
Total ...	126	2,86,064	3,65,626	6,51,690	68,604	67,059	54,894	1,21,953	2,19,005	3,79,336	5,98,341	53,349	8·18

3. The number of estates in Ahmedabad has largely increased owing to certain estates, which were incorrectly shown as one in the previous year, now being entered as several distinct estates. The total number is thus 62 against 38 last year, but the above statement also includes 8 estates which though removed from the operation of the Act continue under management, separate figures for these two descriptions of estates not being available. It is satisfactory to notice that 3 estates have been released during the year, two being of the former description alluded to above, and one of the latter. Thus there were 60 of the one description and 7 of the other under management at the close of the year. It will be observed that in nearly half the total number of estates the management remains with the Tálukdárs, but the Tálukdári Settlement Officer exercises a strict supervision over them. The advantage of this arrangement is obvious, and it is to be regretted that it cannot be extended to more of these estates. Three are managed by the creditors under special conditions.

4. The progress of settlement does not compare favourably with that of the preceding year as the reduction of debt has fallen from 12·3 to 5·07 per cent., but Rs. 63,033 (including Rs. 49,774 advanced by Government to 2

estates) have been paid to creditors and Rs. 10,085 to Government in liquidation of former advances. The badness of the season accounts partly for the above falling off and the settlement during the year of claims against certain estates more than doubled the total amount of debts, thus nominally lessening the ratio of reduction of debt.

5. Advances were made during the year to 8 estates, while one paid off its dues to Government. Thus there were 30 estates indebted to Government for the sum of Rs. 1,45,805 against 23 for the sum of Rs. 16,785 last year.

6. The most important circumstance in connection with the administration of the Tálukdári Settlement Department is the attachment of the estate of the Thákor of Koth and the placing of it under the management of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer in consequence of the unfitness of the Thákor on his attaining his majority to be entrusted with the management. The young man has received little education and is weak in intellect, but it is hoped that under the influence of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer he will improve in his conduct.

7. The litigation in connection with the Gangad estate continues and no decision has yet been arrived at as to the rightful heir to the estate. It is much involved and owing to bad seasons the progress of liquidation is unsatisfactory. The introduction of the bigoti system will, it is hoped, secure the cultivation of large tracts which are now lying waste. The Bhal drainage project, which has been referred to the Superintending Engineer, may also affect beneficially some of the Gangad villages, should the project be carried out.

8. During the year 6 estates in the Kaira District have been released from the operation of the Act and the number has thus been reduced to 43. It will be noticed that one of the estates was released owing to the Thákor being able to pay off his debts, a relative having presented him with funds for the purpose. The reduction of debt is more satisfactory in this district, as the ratio has risen from 9 to 10·09 per cent.

9. There are only 7 estates under the Tálukdári Settlement Officer's management in Broach. The progress of settlement appears to be satisfactory. The reduction of debt is 15·4 per cent., which is slightly better than last year.

10. The number of minors' estates managed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer under Act XX of 1864 has increased from 42 to 45 in consequence of 4 having been added while one was released during the year. There are 41 in Ahmedabad, 2 in Kaira and 3 in Broach, and of the total 16 are unencumbered. A good deal of attention is paid to the education of minors and 31 of them are receiving education mostly in the village vernacular schools. The young Thákor of Utelia attends the Rájkumár College, while eleven other minors are at the Tálukdári School at Wadhwán. With the exception of one, all are reported to be making satisfactory progress. The disposal of the Jubilee Memorial Fund is still under discussion.

11. There are 320 other miscellaneous estates under the management of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer against 222 last year. Of these,

15 are managed under the agreement of owners and under Act VI of 1888.

12 are under attachment for default in payment of the Government jama.

286 are managed under Section 320 of the Civil Procedure Code and under process of sale.

7 are managed under Section 504 of the Civil Procedure Code.

There are also 356 villages under the revenue charge of the Tálukdár Settlement Officer and the jama, inclusive of Local Fund due from them, amounted to Rs. 3,79,867. The whole of this sum except Rs. 9,007 from the Dhandhuka Táluka, where the season was particularly bad owing to the insufficiency of the rainfall, has been collected. A large accession has been made to the Local Funds by the decision of Government that the amount payable by the Limbdi Darbár was not limited by the amount accepted in 1885 and to which in 1883 it was considered that Government were pledged for ever. He has appealed but in the meanwhile has paid the amount due under protest.

Digitized with financial assistance from
Government of Maharashtra
on 01 February, 2020

12. The revision survey of the Tálukdári villages is making satisfactory progress. The report as regards Dholka has already been submitted, and the orders of Government on it have also been received. During the season the work in the Dhandhuka and Gogho Tálukas was completed. The difficulty alluded to by Mr. Quin in his 85th paragraph has since been settled in consultation with the Survey and Settlement Commissioner.

13. The number of decrees of the Civil Courts transferred to the Tálukdári Settlement Officer for execution was 654 against 538 in the previous year.

62 were compromised.

354 were under payment by instalments.

109 under process of sale.

129 were under inquiry.

The amount paid to creditors was Rs. 63,818 as against Rs. 35,327 in the previous year.

14. There were 37 suits pending during the year of which 27 were decided.

15. In his Financial Review Mr. Quin has not even alluded to the important subject of outstanding balances which was noticed in paragraph 5 of the Commissioner's review last year. Instead of better results being shown matters are much worse. The outstandings have increased everywhere. In Ahmedabad they have risen from Rs. 34,267 to Rs. 59,004; in Kaira from Rs. 3,488 to Rs. 10,565 and in Broach from Rs. 23,239 to Rs. 28,404. A special report on this subject will now be called for.

16. Mr. Quin submits some interesting observations on the working of the Gujarát Tálukdári Act (VI of 1888) in paragraphs 116 to 128, and it would appear that the Tálukdárs are beginning to appreciate the new provisions made in respect of partitions and the placing of their estates under the management of the Tálukdari Settlement Officer. Mr. Quin's action in the matter of loans required by the Tálukdárs will no doubt, under the circumstances explained by him, meet with approval. The only points on which the Commissioner thinks there might be some disagreement between Mr. Quin's view of the Act and his own are in connection with the working of sections 15 (1) and 31. Mr. Quin is quite right to be cautious in cases where objection is raised as to the right of partition, but Mr. Spence hardly thinks he should go to the extent of refusing to enquire into the objection unless the matter has already been decided by a competent Court or unless all the parties are agreed. This course would only be right in complicated cases, and it is not quite clear whether Mr. Quin exercises the discretion allowed to him in such cases only or in all cases; one portion of his 119th paragraph seems to imply that he only refuses to act under Section 15 (1) in complicated cases, but the latter portion seems to show that he refuses in all disputed cases, some of which may really be very simple.

17. As regards Section 31 Mr. Quin has on the whole taken a just view, but actual experience shows how the power of borrowing is abused, for in his 126th paragraph Mr. Quin gives an instance of an application for a loan of Rs. 10,000 for marriage expenses by the owner of an estate which has just been released from management free of encumbrances. There is probably no help in such cases, as custom is too strong, but this is probably the commencement of a new career of debt and extravagance. Mr. Quin has written an excellently clear report, which shows that he has thoroughly grasped the manner in which his delicate duties should be carried on under the new law, and the Commissioner can testify from personal experience to the interest he takes in his work.

J. K. SPENCE,
Commissioner, N. D.